1	REPORTER'S RECORD APPELLATE COURT CAUSE NO. AP-76,100
2	TRIAL COURT CAUSE NO. 04-CR-3453-C VOLUME 19 OF 25 VOLUMES
3	THE STATE OF TEXAS ) IN THE DISTRICT COURT
4	)
5	VS. 94TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
6	JOHN HENRY RAMIREZ ) NUECES COUNTY, TEXAS
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
12	TRIAL PROCEEDINGS
13	(Continued)
14	FILED IN  COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS
15	
16	OCT 0 6 2009
17	Louise Pearson, Clerk
18	
19	On the 4th day of December, 2008, the
20	following proceedings came on to be heard in the
21	above-entitled and numbered cause before the HONORABLE
22	BOBBY GALVAN, Judge Presiding, held in Corpus Christi,
23	Nueces County, Texas:
24	Proceedings reported by Stenograph
25	Machine.

```
APPEARANCES:
1
 2
   MR. MARK SKURKA
    SBOT NO. 18475570
 3
    MR. VERNON G. SCHIMMEL
    SBOT NO. 24033039
 4
    ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEYS
    901 Leopard, Rm. 205
 5
    Corpus Christi, Texas 78401
    Phone: (361) 888-0410
 6
    ATTORNEYS FOR THE STATE
 7
    -AND-
8
    MR. EDWARD F. GARZA
    SBOT NO. 07731200
    ATTORNEY AT LAW
10
    719 S. Shoreline, Suite 201
    Corpus Christi, Texas 78401
11
    Phone: (361) 888-8877
12
    MR. JOHN GRANT JONES
    SBOT NO. 10917000
13
    ATTORNEY AT LAW
    5826 Beauvals Drive
    Corpus Christi, Texas 78404-6173
14
    Phone: (361) 884-8141
15
    ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT,
    JOHN HENRY RAMIREZ
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Case 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed on 01/26/15 in TXSD Page 4 of 54

EXHIBITS (Continued) FOR THE STATE: Offered Admitted Vol. NO. DESCRIPTION Work notes of P. Smith .... 80 81 SX-198 SX-199-224 Autopsy photos (Castro) .... 125 SX-225-226 Autopsy diagram wounds .... 125 126 

(	Case 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed o	n 01	L/26/15 in TXSD Page 5 of 54
	, 5		7
1	PROCEEDINGS	1	Q. Slow down a second. What is special services
2	December 4, 2008	2	division?
3	(Jury enters courtroom.)	3	A. It is vice and narcotics investigation, which
4	THE COURT: All right, be seated,	4	involves and I went to the vice section, so I spent
5	please.	5	two years there basically investigating any kind of
6	All right, call your next witness, Mr.	6	alcoholic, beverage commission offenses, prostitution
7	Skurka.	7	crimes, and also assisted in narcotics investigation.
8	MR. SKURKA: We call Kelly Isaacks.	8	Q. Okay, I just wanted them to know what the
9	THE COURT: Kelly Isaacks.	9	special services meant. What's the next assignment?
10	(Oath administered.)	10	A. Okay, then I transferred to the criminal
11	THE COURT: Be seated. You may proceed.	11	investigations division.
12	MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Your Honor.	12	Q. What is that?
13		13	A. That is our division of detectives that
14	KELLY ISAACKS,	14	investigate all property crimes and all crimes against
15	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:	15	persons. They do the follow-up investigations after
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION	16	an offense is reported and they ultimately investigate
17	BY MR. SKURKA:	17	the crime and file the charges and conduct all the
18	Q. Would you please introduce yourself to the	18	follow-up investigation of the offense, et cetera.
19	folks on our jury.	19	Q. Would that be fair to say that's essentially
20	A. Lieutenant Kelly Isaacks.	20	the detective bureau?
21	Q. How are you currently employed?	21	A. Yes.
22	A. I am a patrol supervisor for the Corpus	22	Q. And it's divided in how many subsections, and
23	Christi Police Department.	23	like what, give us an example.
24	Q. How long have you been with the Corpus	24	A. Family violence unit, burglary and theft,
25	Christi Police Department altogether?	25	financial crimes, and the homicide and robbery unit.
	6		8
1	A. It will be 19 years next month.	1	Q. And what department of that were you assigned
2	Q. Did you have any other law enforcement	2	to?
3	experience before you joined the department?	3	A. I spent a year in burglary when I first
4	A. Yes, I did.	4	transferred to that division and then I transferred to
5	Q. Where and when?	5	the homicide and robbery unit. I spent seven years
6	A. I spent two years with the Dallas Police	6	there.
7	Department before I came to Corpus Christi.	7	Q. So you were a robbery and homicide detective
8	Q. And what was your duties, if anything, at the	8	for seven years?  A. Yes.
9	Dallas Police Department?  A. I was a patrol officer there.	10	Q. Can you tell the jury essentially when your
10	Q. So you've been a patrol off I mean, a	11	duties were when you did that division?
12	policeman for a police woman for 21 years?	12	A. I would be assigned any crime against a
13	A. Yes.	13	person, whether it be a sexual assault investigation,
14	Q. Starting with what you did when you first	14	a shooting, stabbing, robbery, any crime against a
15	started at the police department here in Corpus	15	person, all the way up to obviously homicide
16	Christi, can you tell the jury briefly what divisions	16	investigations. So the bulk of my of my
17	or departments you've worked in, starting when you	17	investigations were sexual assault investigations and
18	first started up to your current assignment, please.	18	homicide investigations.
19	A. Yes, I spent about after I graduated from	19	Q. Apparently approximately how many homicide
20	the Police Academy I spent about a year and a half on	20	investigations did you have occasion to work on when
21	the streets as a patrol officer, then I transferred to	21	you were in the detective bureau?
22	the traffic section as an accident investigator. I	22	A. I would say at least 50.
23	spent about three-and-a-half years there, then I	23	Q. What was your next stop after you worked in
24	transferred to the special services division. I spent	24	the homicide/robbery division?
25	two years there as a vice investigator.	25	A. After I left there, I spent a year and a half
,	,		

on call that night.

A. Yes.

A. Yes, I was.

Q. Let's go ahead and talk about that -- that

day, July 19th, 2004. Were you -- were you in fact

called out that night to answer a major crime scene?

20

21

22

23

24

25

detective, you're going to be a lieutenant in charge

Q. And what kind of crimes will you be working

Financial crimes, forgeries, embezzlement,

of several detectives now, correct?

A. Yes; that's correct.

20

21

22

23

24

25

there?

16

- 1 Q. Tell the jury what -- what -- how that came
- 2 about and what you did.
- 3 A. About 11:30 that night on the 19th, I was
- 4 called at home and -- by the dispatch supervisor,
- which is our regular procedure. She called me and 5
- advised that we needed to be in route to 1902 Baldwin 6
- for a homicide, that it appeared that a store clerk at 7
- the Times Market at that location, that he had been 8
- beaten and robbed and that I needed to go ahead and 9
- 10 respond.

13

- 11 Q. Did you know all the details that first time
- when you got from the dispatcher? 12
  - A. That was about all I knew.
- Q. So what did you do? 14
- A. I got dressed and got as quickly to the scene 15
- 16 as I could.
- 17 Q. About what time was this?
- 18 A. It was about 11:30 p.m.
- 19 Q. When you arrived at the scene, what did you
- 20 find?

1

10

11

13

- 21 A. I found -- when I arrived, the patrol
- 22 officers had -- had secured the -- the scene there in
- 23 the parking lot with yellow crime scene tape. I --
- when I got there, I spoke to some of the patrol 24
- 25 officers, the first ones that were at the scene, and
  - told them to tell me what they had and what they knew
  - so far. We did not at that time have anybody in 2
  - custody, and -- and the deceased, the victim in this 3
  - 4 case, was laying in the parking hot. He was covered
  - with a sheet at the time because, of course, by this 5
  - 6 time there were a lot of onlookers and a lot of people
  - 7 across the street, across Baldwin. So we were, in
  - 8 essence, trying to protect them from -- from seeing
  - 9 something.
    - Q. Can you give the jury a general description
    - of what you observed when you first arrived at the
- scene, the location of the body and that stuff. 12
- A. Okay. The convenient store -- when I got 14 there, the -- like I said, everything was taped off,
- and I had already requested some other detectives get 15
- there so I was -- we were waiting for them to arrive. 16
- I was advised that I had a couple of witnesses that 17
- saw the -- what we still believed at this time was a 18
- 19 beating and they had witnessed what had happened. I
- was shown where they -- where they were when they saw 20
- 21 it. And I spoke very briefly with them at the scene,
- 22 the two witnesses, and they -- they were very -- very
- confident and expressed to me they were very confident 23
- 24 that they could make an identification if they saw the
- 25 individuals involved, if they saw them again, so --

- Q. So you just briefly talked to those witnesses
- 2 and then what happened with those witnesses?
- A. Well, they were separated when I got there. 3
- They with not able to speak with each other, and the officers did a good job in doing that. 5
  - Q. Why is that important?
- A. So that they don't exchange stories, so that 7
- we get an independent recollection from each of them 8
- about what they saw and that they're not telling us 9
- something that -- that the other witness may have seen 10
- that they did not, so they were separated. Like I 11
- said, I spoke very briefly with them at the time and 12
- obviously we -- we had a fairly large and bloody crime 13
- scene. We had some very -- a very distraught store 14
- clerk that was working with -- Pablo Castro is the 15
- 16 individual that we ended up finding out he was the
  - deceased.
  - Q. So the deceased was identified as Pablo
- 19 Castro?

17

18

14

- A. Yes. 20
- 21 Q. And that was through the co-worker or other
- 22 store clerk --
- 23 A. Lydia Salinas.
- 24 Q. -- you said?
- A -- a co-worker that worked with him and 25
- worked with him for quite some time. She obviously
  - 2 was very, very upset at the scene, but she -- she did
  - identify him. He was identified when I got there. I 3
  - already knew what his name was. 4
  - 5 Q. Can you tell the jury who may not have ever
  - been to a major crime scene how the work is divided 6
  - 7 up, as you, the case officer, in charge of the
  - investigation, how do you divide up the case work and 8
  - make it into assignments to the patrol people, the
  - 10
    - I.D. techs and maybe other detectives, what's the
    - procedure?

11

21

- A. Well, because I was the lead investigator on 12 this case and we did have some complicating factors 13
- that we were dealing with at the same time when this 14
- 15 homicide occurred, the patrol officers, I just needed
- to make sure that they were securing the crime scene, 16
- that they were not allowing our witnesses to speak 17
- with each other. I also needed them to -- to attempt 18
- to speak to people who may be in the crowd that may 19
- 20 have seen something that we hadn't identified them as
  - a witness yet.
- 22 So that was really their focus, was just 23 to maintain the crime scene, make sure that no one in
  - the crowd tried to enter the crime scene, and protect.
- it in that way. When the other detectives arrived, 25

on. Thank you, Frank.

the I.D. techs coming in.

Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) Now, let's talk about at the

At that time, were any suspects

Times Market. You're doing this investigation, you

officers, you know, keeping the crowd away, you have

have detectives doing things, you have patrol

apprehended at or near the Times Market store?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that -- because I'm not going to see everything, they

the scene as long as is -- is needed.

have the time where they can spend so much longer at

Q. So would it be fair to say you make a kind of

a preliminary viewing or observation of the scene, but

then you turn it over to the I.D. techs, so they can I

guess photograph it and record it and collect the

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

evidence?

$\mathbf{C}$	Case 2:12-cv-00410	n 0:	1/26/15 in TXSD Page 9 of 54
	21		23
1	A. At or near the Times Market store?	1	A. Yes, they they both seemed very confident.
2	Q. At that time were any suspects	2	Q. Well, what's the next thing that happened
3	A. No.	3	with those witnesses?
4	Q apprehended?	4	A. Well, those witnesses were ultimately they
5	A. No, sir.	5	were transported back to the our our criminal
6	Q. At that time did you have any information	6	investigations division office for for statements
7	from either the patrolmen, the witnesses, or the store	7	and for interviews, and ultimately that's that's
8	owner or anybody around there as a possible suspect or	8	where they went.
9	suspect vehicle descriptions?	9	Q. And they give gave a final full-fledged, I
10	A. Yes, we did.	10	guess, statement to the officers
11	Q. And what evidence I'm sorry, what	11	A. Yes, sir.
12	information was broadcast out on the radio for that?	12	Q at the at the department, correct?
13	A. The two witnesses that were Mariano Cervantes	13	A. That's correct.
14	and Kashif Butt who were at the car wash across the	14	Q. Now, switching gears. While you were at the
15	street, or eyewitnesses in this case, gave a	15	Times Market doing your investigation on I'm sorry,
16	description of two reddish-colored vans, large	16	you called it a capital murder, you knew it was a
17	full-sized vans that the that a male Hispanic	17	capital murder. Why did you think it was at that
18	male suspect got into and a Hispanic female got into	18	time?
19	the passenger side of the other van.	19	A. Just per the preliminary information that I
20	They told us that the they gave us a	20	got from the communication supervisor. She indicated
21	very good description of the vans and also of the of	21	it was a store clerk that had been robbed and
22	the male and the female that were out at the scene.	22	murdered, so that makes it a capital.
22 23	Q. Was there only one female identified both of	23	Q. And what does robbery and murder equal in
	the by both of the witnesses or two?	24	Texas?
24 25	A. Just one. We knew that we had two	25	A. Capital murder.
20	77. Just one. We knew that we had two	20	24
1			£ 1
	obviously three suspects. We had a driver of the	1	
	obviously three suspects. We had a driver of the other van that the female got into the passenger side		Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything
2	other van that the female got into the passenger side		Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything
2	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know	2	Q. So while you're investigating this capital
2 3 4	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.	2	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought
2 3 4 5	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question	2 3 4	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902
2 3 4 5 6	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question directly, but involved in the altercation out in the	2 3 4 5	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902 Baldwin?
2 3 4 5 6 7	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question directly, but involved in the altercation out in the parking lot, with the injuries to Pablo Castro, were	2 3 4 5 6	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902 Baldwin?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question directly, but involved in the altercation out in the parking lot, with the injuries to Pablo Castro, were two or three people identified as actually doing the	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902 Baldwin?  A. Yes.  Q. Can you tell the jury what that would have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question directly, but involved in the altercation out in the parking lot, with the injuries to Pablo Castro, were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902 Baldwin?  A. Yes.  Q. Can you tell the jury what that would have been?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question directly, but involved in the altercation out in the parking lot, with the injuries to Pablo Castro, were two or three people identified as actually doing the injuries?  A. Two.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902 Baldwin?  A. Yes.  Q. Can you tell the jury what that would have been?  A. Actually, by the time I got to the scene at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question directly, but involved in the altercation out in the parking lot, with the injuries to Pablo Castro, were two or three people identified as actually doing the injuries?  A. Two.  Q. And the third person you're saying was the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902 Baldwin?  A. Yes.  Q. Can you tell the jury what that would have been?  A. Actually, by the time I got to the scene at the Times Market, I the officers there were already
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question directly, but involved in the altercation out in the parking lot, with the injuries to Pablo Castro, were two or three people identified as actually doing the injuries?  A. Two.  Q. And the third person you're saying was the driver of one of the vans, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902 Baldwin?  A. Yes.  Q. Can you tell the jury what that would have been?  A. Actually, by the time I got to the scene at the Times Market, I the officers there were already informing me that there had been, approximately ten minutes after the assault on Pablo Castro was called
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question directly, but involved in the altercation out in the parking lot, with the injuries to Pablo Castro, were two or three people identified as actually doing the injuries?  A. Two.  Q. And the third person you're saying was the driver of one of the vans, correct?  A. Yes, that's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902 Baldwin?  A. Yes.  Q. Can you tell the jury what that would have been?  A. Actually, by the time I got to the scene at the Times Market, I the officers there were already informing me that there had been, approximately ten minutes after the assault on Pablo Castro was called in, about ten minutes later an aggravated robbery was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question directly, but involved in the altercation out in the parking lot, with the injuries to Pablo Castro, were two or three people identified as actually doing the injuries?  A. Two.  Q. And the third person you're saying was the driver of one of the vans, correct?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Okay. And besides the general description of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902 Baldwin?  A. Yes.  Q. Can you tell the jury what that would have been?  A. Actually, by the time I got to the scene at the Times Market, I the officers there were already informing me that there had been, approximately ten minutes after the assault on Pablo Castro was called in, about ten minutes later an aggravated robbery was called in at the Whataburger there at Staples and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question directly, but involved in the altercation out in the parking lot, with the injuries to Pablo Castro, were two or three people identified as actually doing the injuries?  A. Two.  Q. And the third person you're saying was the driver of one of the vans, correct?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Okay. And besides the general description of Hispanic male and Hispanic female, did you also have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902 Baldwin?  A. Yes.  Q. Can you tell the jury what that would have been?  A. Actually, by the time I got to the scene at the Times Market, I the officers there were already informing me that there had been, approximately ten minutes after the assault on Pablo Castro was called in, about ten minutes later an aggravated robbery was called in at the Whataburger there at Staples and Baldwin, which is a short distance from from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question directly, but involved in the altercation out in the parking lot, with the injuries to Pablo Castro, were two or three people identified as actually doing the injuries?  A. Two.  Q. And the third person you're saying was the driver of one of the vans, correct?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Okay. And besides the general description of Hispanic male and Hispanic female, did you also have other things like height, weight, age, sex, race,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902 Baldwin?  A. Yes.  Q. Can you tell the jury what that would have been?  A. Actually, by the time I got to the scene at the Times Market, I the officers there were already informing me that there had been, approximately ten minutes after the assault on Pablo Castro was called in, about ten minutes later an aggravated robbery was called in at the Whataburger there at Staples and Baldwin, which is a short distance from from the Times Market.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question directly, but involved in the altercation out in the parking lot, with the injuries to Pablo Castro, were two or three people identified as actually doing the injuries?  A. Two.  Q. And the third person you're saying was the driver of one of the vans, correct?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Okay. And besides the general description of Hispanic male and Hispanic female, did you also have other things like height, weight, age, sex, race, hair, all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902 Baldwin?  A. Yes.  Q. Can you tell the jury what that would have been?  A. Actually, by the time I got to the scene at the Times Market, I the officers there were already informing me that there had been, approximately ten minutes after the assault on Pablo Castro was called in, about ten minutes later an aggravated robbery was called in at the Whataburger there at Staples and Baldwin, which is a short distance from from the Times Market.  Q. How far away is that, would you guesstimate?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question directly, but involved in the altercation out in the parking lot, with the injuries to Pablo Castro, were two or three people identified as actually doing the injuries?  A. Two.  Q. And the third person you're saying was the driver of one of the vans, correct?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Okay. And besides the general description of Hispanic male and Hispanic female, did you also have other things like height, weight, age, sex, race, hair, all  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902 Baldwin?  A. Yes.  Q. Can you tell the jury what that would have been?  A. Actually, by the time I got to the scene at the Times Market, I the officers there were already informing me that there had been, approximately ten minutes after the assault on Pablo Castro was called in, about ten minutes later an aggravated robbery was called in at the Whataburger there at Staples and Baldwin, which is a short distance from from the Times Market.  Q. How far away is that, would you guesstimate?  A. Maybe two miles, three miles? It's a guess.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question directly, but involved in the altercation out in the parking lot, with the injuries to Pablo Castro, were two or three people identified as actually doing the injuries?  A. Two.  Q. And the third person you're saying was the driver of one of the vans, correct?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Okay. And besides the general description of Hispanic male and Hispanic female, did you also have other things like height, weight, age, sex, race, hair, all  A. Yes.  Q that stuff?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902 Baldwin?  A. Yes.  Q. Can you tell the jury what that would have been?  A. Actually, by the time I got to the scene at the Times Market, I the officers there were already informing me that there had been, approximately ten minutes after the assault on Pablo Castro was called in, about ten minutes later an aggravated robbery was called in at the Whataburger there at Staples and Baldwin, which is a short distance from from the Times Market.  Q. How far away is that, would you guesstimate?  A. Maybe two miles, three miles? It's a guess.  Q. Why did you think that was connected to this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question directly, but involved in the altercation out in the parking lot, with the injuries to Pablo Castro, were two or three people identified as actually doing the injuries?  A. Two.  Q. And the third person you're saying was the driver of one of the vans, correct?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Okay. And besides the general description of Hispanic male and Hispanic female, did you also have other things like height, weight, age, sex, race, hair, all  A. Yes.  Q that stuff?  A. Yes, we did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902 Baldwin?  A. Yes.  Q. Can you tell the jury what that would have been?  A. Actually, by the time I got to the scene at the Times Market, I the officers there were already informing me that there had been, approximately ten minutes after the assault on Pablo Castro was called in, about ten minutes later an aggravated robbery was called in at the Whataburger there at Staples and Baldwin, which is a short distance from from the Times Market.  Q. How far away is that, would you guesstimate?  A. Maybe two miles, three miles? It's a guess.  Q. Why did you think that was connected to this or the officers think it was connected to this one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question directly, but involved in the altercation out in the parking lot, with the injuries to Pablo Castro, were two or three people identified as actually doing the injuries?  A. Two. Q. And the third person you're saying was the driver of one of the vans, correct?  A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Okay. And besides the general description of Hispanic male and Hispanic female, did you also have other things like height, weight, age, sex, race, hair, all A. Yes. Q that stuff? A. Yes, we did. Q. Did the did the gentlemen give you a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902 Baldwin?  A. Yes.  Q. Can you tell the jury what that would have been?  A. Actually, by the time I got to the scene at the Times Market, I the officers there were already informing me that there had been, approximately ten minutes after the assault on Pablo Castro was called in, about ten minutes later an aggravated robbery was called in at the Whataburger there at Staples and Baldwin, which is a short distance from from the Times Market.  Q. How far away is that, would you guesstimate?  A. Maybe two miles, three miles? It's a guess.  Q. Why did you think that was connected to this or the officers think it was connected to this one at Times Market?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question directly, but involved in the altercation out in the parking lot, with the injuries to Pablo Castro, were two or three people identified as actually doing the injuries?  A. Two.  Q. And the third person you're saying was the driver of one of the vans, correct?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Okay. And besides the general description of Hispanic male and Hispanic female, did you also have other things like height, weight, age, sex, race, hair, all  A. Yes.  Q that stuff?  A. Yes, we did.  Q. Did the did the gentlemen give you a fairly good description of the people?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902 Baldwin?  A. Yes.  Q. Can you tell the jury what that would have been?  A. Actually, by the time I got to the scene at the Times Market, I the officers there were already informing me that there had been, approximately ten minutes after the assault on Pablo Castro was called in, about ten minutes later an aggravated robbery was called in at the Whataburger there at Staples and Baldwin, which is a short distance from from the Times Market.  Q. How far away is that, would you guesstimate?  A. Maybe two miles, three miles? It's a guess.  Q. Why did you think that was connected to this or the officers think it was connected to this one at Times Market?  A. Well, the same description of the two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question directly, but involved in the altercation out in the parking lot, with the injuries to Pablo Castro, were two or three people identified as actually doing the injuries?  A. Two. Q. And the third person you're saying was the driver of one of the vans, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Okay. And besides the general description of Hispanic male and Hispanic female, did you also have other things like height, weight, age, sex, race, hair, all A. Yes. Q that stuff? A. Yes, we did. Q. Did the did the gentlemen give you a fairly good description of the people? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902 Baldwin?  A. Yes.  Q. Can you tell the jury what that would have been?  A. Actually, by the time I got to the scene at the Times Market, I the officers there were already informing me that there had been, approximately ten minutes after the assault on Pablo Castro was called in, about ten minutes later an aggravated robbery was called in at the Whataburger there at Staples and Baldwin, which is a short distance from from the Times Market.  Q. How far away is that, would you guesstimate?  A. Maybe two miles, three miles? It's a guess.  Q. Why did you think that was connected to this or the officers think it was connected to this one at Times Market?  A. Well, the same description of the two vehicles, the two large full-sized vans, red in color;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 21 22	other van that the female got into the passenger side of but we didn't know at that time, we didn't know if it was another male or female.  Q. Okay. I don't think I asked this question directly, but involved in the altercation out in the parking lot, with the injuries to Pablo Castro, were two or three people identified as actually doing the injuries?  A. Two.  Q. And the third person you're saying was the driver of one of the vans, correct?  A. Yes, that's correct.  Q. Okay. And besides the general description of Hispanic male and Hispanic female, did you also have other things like height, weight, age, sex, race, hair, all  A. Yes.  Q that stuff?  A. Yes, we did.  Q. Did the did the gentlemen give you a fairly good description of the people?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So while you're investigating this capital murder investigation at the Times Market, did anything else happen at a neighboring location that you thought might be related to the incident that happened at 1902 Baldwin?  A. Yes.  Q. Can you tell the jury what that would have been?  A. Actually, by the time I got to the scene at the Times Market, I the officers there were already informing me that there had been, approximately ten minutes after the assault on Pablo Castro was called in, about ten minutes later an aggravated robbery was called in at the Whataburger there at Staples and Baldwin, which is a short distance from from the Times Market.  Q. How far away is that, would you guesstimate?  A. Maybe two miles, three miles? It's a guess.  Q. Why did you think that was connected to this or the officers think it was connected to this one at Times Market?  A. Well, the same description of the two

25 they could describe them or identify them, correct?

there at the Whataburger at Staples and Baldwin. It

1 matched the description of the -- of the vehicles that

- 2 were involved in this homicide. They were close,
- 3 geographically, they were close in proximity to each
- 4 other, and the suspects were a male and a female,
- 5 Hispanic male and a female. And so it -- it was -- it
- 6 was -- it seemed pretty simple to us, that there was a
- 7 relation there.
- 8 Q. And besides the geographic proximity -- and
- 9 you said it was only about ten minutes later from the
- 10 first call?
- 11 A. The calls that were -- yes, they were ten 12 minutes apart.
- 13 Q. Was anybody hurt at the Whataburger?
- 14 A. Yes, the victim in that case, April Metting,
- 15 reported that she had put her hand up to defend
- 16 herself, basically, from the Hispanic male who was
- 17 robbing her at knife point and she received a small
- 18 laceration on her finger from that.
- 19 Q. Now, were you aware, or I guess based on your
- 20 experience as a homicide detective at this time, you
- 21 said you first went in there and thought Pablo Castro
- 22 had been beaten because of the, I guess, the radio
- 23 traffic, what you had heard from the dispatcher. Did
- 24 you identify the body of -- I mean, observe the body
- 25 of Pablo Castro at the scene?
- 26

- 1 A. Yes, I did.
- 2 Q. And based on your experience and training,
- 3 did you think he had been beaten to death or what had
- 4 caused those wounds?
- 5 A. No, as soon as we removed the sheet there was
- 6 no doubt in my mind he had been stabbed to death.
- 7 Q. With what instrument?
- 8 A. With a knife, it appeared to me. The wounds
- 9 that I could see, and like I said, he was extremely
- 10 bloody, but it was apparent to me this was not a
- 11 beating.
- 12 Q. So, the knife -- I'm sorry, at the -- at the
- 13 Whataburger that you heard the call about from Staples
- 14 and -- and Baldwin, what instrument was used or item
- 15 was used in that robbery?
- 16 A. A knife.
- 17 Q. Now, did you go to that scene at that
- 18 Whataburger or did somebody else take care of that
- 19 scene?
- 20 A. No. Another detective went to that scene.
- 21 Q. And that's -- I'm assuming, because you're
- 22 still at the 1902 Baldwin --
- 23 A. Right, yes.
- Q. -- location, correct? So you dispatch -- oh,
- 25 I'm sorry, I think you said that. One of the officers

- never made it to the 1902 Baldwin because you sent him
- 2 to the other place.
- 3 A. Right. Detective Stimmler I believe went to
- 4 that scene.
- 5 Q. So that's the sequence of events. So you
- 6 hear -- you know -- you're working this one scene and
- 7 then you hear about the Whataburger scene that
- 8 happened ten minutes later, you dispatched another
- 9 detective over there, what's the next thing that
- 10 happened?

19

- 11 A. About seven minutes after that we got another
- 12 report of an aggravated robbery that occurred
- 13 involving a knife, a Hispanic male and Hispanic female
- 14 at the Whataburger at 510 Texan Trail, which is Texan
- 15 Trail and Alameda. Once again, we're -- we had the
- 16 same description except now we only had one red van
- 17 because we had one red van that was still -- that as
- 18 left at the scene at Staples and Baldwin.
  - So once again, we're -- you know, we are
- 20 very confident at this point that our suspects are still
- 21 moving and -- and still committing offenses. So it was
- 22 shortly thereafter that we became in pursuit or
- 23 police officers came in pursuit of that vehicle.
- Q. Okay. Before we go into that, I wanted to
- 25 ask you some follow-up questions at the other -- about
- 1 the other Whataburger. Again, did the suspects'
- 2 vehicle description match the same description of the
- 3 vehicle or the vehicles that had been used in the
- 4 Times Market incident?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And did it also match the description of the
- 7 vehicle that was used in the first Whataburger
- 8 incident?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And the suspects' themselves description, did
- 11 that match, also?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Now, you mentioned earlier that Pablo Castro
- 14 had been stabbed, based on your experience and
- 15 training, with a knife?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Again, based on your experience and training,
- 18 is a knife considered -- could be a deadly weapon, in
- 19 that its manner and use is capable of causing serious
- 20 bodily injury or death?
  - A. Yes.

21

24

- 22 Q. And, for record, is 1902 Baldwin, is that
- 23 located in Nueces County, Texas?
  - A. Yes, it is.
  - Q. Where the Times Market store was.

Case 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed on 01/26/15 in TXSD Page 11 of 54 31 wasn't there then, and it was a very brushy, difficult 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Again, did you go to the Whataburger at the 2 area to -- to search, but we searched all night long, into the following day, and then the search obviously 3 Texan Trail thing or did you have another detective go 3 4 over there? 4 continued much further after that, but --Q. So the police department searched all night 5 No, I had another detective go there. 5 and into the following daytime, correct? 6 Q. Now, you mentioned something about after that 6 7 7 Whataburger happened -- the second Whataburger robbery Α. Yes. Q. During that time of the search, were you able occurred, you went to -- you heard about a pursuit 8 8 to make an identification of who the third suspect 9 9 that took place. 10 was? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Did you participate in the pursuit? 11 A. Yes. 12 12 Q. How? Or by who? Α. No. 13 Did -- as the case officer, though, you are 13 A. Well, ultimately, the van that was left at the Staples and -- and Baldwin restaurant, the 14 aware of what happened at the pursuit, correct? 14 15 registration --15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Were you aware or made aware, as a case 16 Q. Well, I'm mostly asking about was anybody able to identify the driver of the van during the 17 officer, that two people had been apprehended in the 17 pursuit? area of Brewster Street by the Port area? 18 18 19 A. Yes. 19 A. During the pursuant -- later he was able to. 20 Q. Okay. 20 Q. And who were -- had been apprehended? 21 A. Christina Chavez and Angela Rodriguez. 21 Α. Later. 22 Q. Did anybody find the Defendant, John Henry 22 Q. I know I'm getting fuzzy about what date and what time it was --23 Ramirez, at that time? 23 24 24 A. Okay. Α. No. 25 25 Q. -- but was an officer who was involved in the Ω Base -- and based on your time with this 30 pursuit able to identify the driver of the van he was investigation, what kind of efforts were made to 2 locate him that night? 2 pursuing? A. Yes. 3 3 A. Really, unprecedented search in the City. We had our SWAT team, really every uniformed police 4 Q. Who was that? 4 5 officer in Corpus Christi was looking for him. 5 A. That was Officer Jaime Gray, at the time. 6 Q. And who, if anybody, did he identify as the 6 Q. I'm going to talk about that night and then 7 we'll talk about later on. 7 one driving the van during the dispute -- I mean, 8 A. Okay. 8 during the pursuit? 9 9 Q. But let's talk about that night. What --A. John Henry Ramirez, Jr. 10 Q. Okay. Is John Henry Ramirez, Jr. Present in what efforts were made to coordinate any possible 10 11 apprehension of the third suspect? 11 the courtroom today? 12 12 Α. Uh --A. Yes, he is. 13 Q. And, I'm sorry, before you say that, by that 13 Q. Can you describe -- can you point to him and 14 describe something he's wearing? time had you found -- or had him identified by one of 14 15 officers in the pursuit as the suspect? 15 A. He's wearing kind of an orange-colored 16 A. Uh, not at that -- not at that time, no. 16 long-sleeved shirt. 17 We -- we had the helicopter -- we had a Coast Guard 17

18 helicopter looking; we had our SWAT Team out looking; 19 we had bloodhounds from the Beeville Corrections, the 20 prison there, they had brought their bloodhounds over, 21 we were searching for him with those dogs. We had just every available police officer in that area 22 23 searching, checking any kind of location. 24 This location was a very brushy area, kind 25 of over near where Whataburger Field is now, but it

32 MR. SKURKA: Your Honor, may the record reflect the witness has identified the Defendant as 18 19 John Henry Ramirez, Jr.? 20 THE COURT: It will so reflect. 21 MR. SKURKA: Thank you. 22 Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) After this officer made the 23 I.D., you knew who you were looking for, correct? 24 A. Well, the -- the identification -- the first 25 identification of John Henry Ramirez, Jr. Was not made

Ca	ase 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed or	า 01	
	. 33		35
1	by that officer.	1	Q. During that night of the chase or the
2	Q. It was made by somebody else.	2	A. The night of the chase.
3	A. Yes.	3	Q night of the pursuit?
4	Q. But once that officer made the	4	A. Yes, sir.
5	identification, did that identification go out to all	5	Q. Did she know him from before?
6	the people involved in the search?	6	A. Yes.
7	A. Oh, yes.	7	Q. And was she able to identify the person who
8	Q. I guess what I'm trying to say, I always see	8	came to the door as John Henry Ramirez, Jr.?
9	it on T.V., they all have like a picture or something,	9	A. Yes.
10	they go look, or they have a general description. Did	10	Q. So based on that, you were I guess you
11	the officers all have that description	11	became aware the next day that he apparently had
12	A. Uh	12	eluded the manhunt.
13	Q or most of the officers have that	13	A. Yes. Somehow he did.
14	description?	14	Q. After that, after the fact I'm sorry, did
15	A we didn't get the picture out that night,	15	Ruby Garcia apprehend him or keep him there at her
16	um, but we had it out first thing in the morning.	16	house?
17	Q. But it was would it be fair to say,	17	A. No, she she was getting ready to have a
18	though, you did have the general description provided	18	physical altercation with him and
19	by the witnesses at the Times Market, correct?	19	Q. Why was she mad at him?
20	A. Yes, we did.	20	A. Angela Rodriguez is her sister and she was
21	Q. Looking all around that area of Brewster	21	very angry for the situation I guess that Angela was
22	Street and the Port area, was anybody ever able to	22	now in.
23	find him	23	Q. Did she help John Henry Ramirez at all?
24	A. No.	24	A. No.
25	Q that night?	25	Q. That night, the next morning, did anybody in
	34		36
1	A. No, sir.	1	Corpus Christi locate this Defendant you pointed out,
2	Q. Now, did you become aware, later, of a	2	John Henry Ramirez?
3	witness who did see him that night earlier in	3	A. No, sir.
4	morning?	4	Q. Tell us what efforts you made since the
5	A. Yes.	5	police department since the night in question to
6	Q. Who was that?	6	apprehend or find John Henry Ramirez.
7	A. That was Ruby Pena.	7	A. Basically for two years my task was to find
8	Q. Would that be Ruby Pena or Ruby Garcia?	8	John Henry Ramirez, Jr.
9	A. Ruby Garcia, I'm sorry. Ruby Garcia.	9	Q. What do you mean?
10	Q. There's a lot of names in here.	10	A. When I when I was transferred to the
11	A. Yes, sir.	11	organized crime unit that was my responsibility,
12	Q. That's okay, And how did you become aware of	12	number one, from the chief, to find John Henry
13	Ruby Garcia?	13	Ramirez. That involved obviously, we asked for the
14	A. She I believe she called me the following	14	assistance from the F.B.I. He was on Crime Stoppers,
15	day. I can't remember exactly how I came in contact	15	relentlessly on T.V. He was in the newspaper. Anyone
16	with her.	16	anyone having any information regarding the
17	Q. Were you able to obtain a statement and	17	whereabouts of John Henry Ramirez, Jr. To contact me.
4.0	interview Ruby Garcia?	18	We formed a sort of task force with the
18			U.S. Marshals where we used a lot of their resources in
19	A. Yes, I went by and talked to her and she gave	19	
	me information that that John Henry Ramirez, Jr.	19 20	trying to track phone records. I can't even tell you
19	·		trying to track phone records. I can't even tell you how many hours of surveillance we conducted on his
19 20	me information that that John Henry Ramirez, Jr. had come by that night while we were searching in the Brewster area she lives off of York Street, which	20	trying to track phone records. I can't even tell you how many hours of surveillance we conducted on his family members around the time of John Henry's
19 20 21	me information that that John Henry Ramirez, Jr. had come by that night while we were searching in the	20 21	trying to track phone records. I can't even tell you how many hours of surveillance we conducted on his family members around the time of John Henry's birthday, around Mother's Day, any day any type of
19 20 21 22	me information that that John Henry Ramirez, Jr. had come by that night while we were searching in the Brewster area she lives off of York Street, which	20 21 22	trying to track phone records. I can't even tell you how many hours of surveillance we conducted on his family members around the time of John Henry's

Ci	ase 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed on	ı 01	/26/15 in TXSD Page 13 of 54
	37		39
1	his family. It literally went on every day for about	1	They broadcast that nationally. It wasn't
2	two years.	2	a long segment, but it was it was broadcast on the
3	Q. You said something about phones. What do you	3	national program, which spurred a lot of all the
4	mean, you did with something with the phones?	4	tips then came to me from America's Most Wanted.
5	A. Well, we we attempted to well, I'm	5	Q. For the record, what is America's Most
6	trying to think, here. We set up a "trap and trace"	6	Wanted?
7	on his mother's phone.	7	A. It's a it's a national television show
8	Q. Can you tell the folks what a "trap and	8	that that basically they will showcase an offense
9	trace" means?	9	that occurs and a person that has eluded law
10	A. Basically, we weren't able to monitor any	10	enforcement, they'll put their picture up on the on
11	phone calls coming in or going out from his mother's	11	the program and give a description of him, and, you
12	telephone. And we felt if John Henry Ramirez was	12	know, I believe a description of John Henry Ramirez'
13	going to make an attempt to get help or if he needed	13	tattoos were put on there so that if he had changed
14	money or if if he was going to make contact with	14	his name, or anything like that, he would be
15	anyone it would I'm sorry, it would be with his	15	identifiable with some distinctive tattoos that he had
		16	on his body.
16	grandmother, not his mother, his grandmother.		
17	Q. So it was his grandmother's phone?	17	It was a very very detailed
18	A. His grandmother, yes, on his mother's side.	18	description. We did that did generate quite a bit of
19	Q. It would be his maternal grandmother.	19	tips from all over the United States.
20	A. His maternal grandmother, thank you.	20	Q. With any luck?
21	Q. Now, is this a "trap and trace," is this	21	A. No.
22	the kind you listen in on, like you hear taps on or is	22	Q. Did you trace track down all I'm sorry,
23	this the one that just records what number's been	23	try to track down every tip that you received about
24	called or	24	the whereabouts of John Henry Ramirez?
25	A. This one is	25	A. Yes, we did.
		25	
	38	25	40
1	Q where it's coming from?	1	
1 2	E-1		40
	Q where it's coming from?	1	Q. And that was either locally or generated
2	<ul><li>Q where it's coming from?</li><li>A. Right, it it recorded we were not</li></ul>	1 2	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct?
2	Q where it's coming from? A. Right, it it recorded we were not listening to actual conversations. We were simply	1 2 3	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct?  A. That's correct.
2 3 4	Q where it's coming from? A. Right, it it recorded we were not listening to actual conversations. We were simply monitoring phone every phone call that came in,	1 2 3 4	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct? A. That's correct. Q. When was John Henry Ramirez apprehended
2 3 4 5	Q where it's coming from?  A. Right, it it recorded we were not listening to actual conversations. We were simply monitoring phone every phone call that came in, what number it came from and every phone call that	1 2 3 4 5	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct? A. That's correct. Q. When was John Henry Ramirez apprehended finally?
2 3 4 5 6	Q where it's coming from?  A. Right, it it recorded we were not listening to actual conversations. We were simply monitoring phone every phone call that came in, what number it came from and every phone call that went out, and who they were who that call was being made to. And we we tried to follow-up on every	1 2 3 4 5 6	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct? A. That's correct. Q. When was John Henry Ramirez apprehended finally? A. He was apprehended on February 20th of this year, 2008.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q where it's coming from?  A. Right, it it recorded we were not listening to actual conversations. We were simply monitoring phone every phone call that came in, what number it came from and every phone call that went out, and who they were who that call was being made to. And we we tried to follow-up on every single number that we could follow-up on.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct? A. That's correct. Q. When was John Henry Ramirez apprehended finally? A. He was apprehended on February 20th of this year, 2008. Q. February 20th of 2008?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q where it's coming from?  A. Right, it it recorded we were not listening to actual conversations. We were simply monitoring phone every phone call that came in, what number it came from and every phone call that went out, and who they were who that call was being made to. And we we tried to follow-up on every single number that we could follow-up on.  We had other agencies in other cities	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct? A. That's correct. Q. When was John Henry Ramirez apprehended finally? A. He was apprehended on February 20th of this year, 2008. Q. February 20th of 2008? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q where it's coming from?  A. Right, it it recorded we were not listening to actual conversations. We were simply monitoring phone every phone call that came in, what number it came from and every phone call that went out, and who they were who that call was being made to. And we we tried to follow-up on every single number that we could follow-up on.  We had other agencies in other cities follow-up on phone numbers because we would have to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct? A. That's correct. Q. When was John Henry Ramirez apprehended finally? A. He was apprehended on February 20th of this year, 2008. Q. February 20th of 2008? A. Yes. Q. And what agency made that apprehension?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q where it's coming from?  A. Right, it it recorded we were not listening to actual conversations. We were simply monitoring phone every phone call that came in, what number it came from and every phone call that went out, and who they were who that call was being made to. And we we tried to follow-up on every single number that we could follow-up on.  We had other agencies in other cities follow-up on phone numbers because we would have to get a subpoena then to find out who the who the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct? A. That's correct. Q. When was John Henry Ramirez apprehended finally? A. He was apprehended on February 20th of this year, 2008. Q. February 20th of 2008? A. Yes. Q. And what agency made that apprehension? A. The U. S. Marshal's Task Force apprehended
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q where it's coming from?  A. Right, it it recorded we were not listening to actual conversations. We were simply monitoring phone every phone call that came in, what number it came from and every phone call that went out, and who they were who that call was being made to. And we we tried to follow-up on every single number that we could follow-up on.  We had other agencies in other cities follow-up on phone numbers because we would have to get a subpoena then to find out who the who the phone number came back to. It was a very really a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct? A. That's correct. Q. When was John Henry Ramirez apprehended finally? A. He was apprehended on February 20th of this year, 2008. Q. February 20th of 2008? A. Yes. Q. And what agency made that apprehension? A. The U. S. Marshal's Task Force apprehended him.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q where it's coming from?  A. Right, it it recorded we were not listening to actual conversations. We were simply monitoring phone every phone call that came in, what number it came from and every phone call that went out, and who they were who that call was being made to. And we we tried to follow-up on every single number that we could follow-up on.  We had other agencies in other cities follow-up on phone numbers because we would have to get a subpoena then to find out who the who the phone number came back to. It was a very really a very long, tedious process. And, you know, we tried	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. When was John Henry Ramirez apprehended finally?  A. He was apprehended on February 20th of this year, 2008.  Q. February 20th of 2008?  A. Yes.  Q. And what agency made that apprehension?  A. The U. S. Marshal's Task Force apprehended him.  Q. What is the U. S. Marshal's Task Force, just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q where it's coming from?  A. Right, it it recorded we were not listening to actual conversations. We were simply monitoring phone every phone call that came in, what number it came from and every phone call that went out, and who they were who that call was being made to. And we we tried to follow-up on every single number that we could follow-up on.  We had other agencies in other cities follow-up on phone numbers because we would have to get a subpoena then to find out who the who the phone number came back to. It was a very really a very long, tedious process. And, you know, we tried different ways of trying to spur activity, but	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct? A. That's correct. Q. When was John Henry Ramirez apprehended finally? A. He was apprehended on February 20th of this year, 2008. Q. February 20th of 2008? A. Yes. Q. And what agency made that apprehension? A. The U. S. Marshal's Task Force apprehended him. Q. What is the U. S. Marshal's Task Force, just briefly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q where it's coming from?  A. Right, it it recorded we were not listening to actual conversations. We were simply monitoring phone every phone call that came in, what number it came from and every phone call that went out, and who they were who that call was being made to. And we we tried to follow-up on every single number that we could follow-up on.  We had other agencies in other cities follow-up on phone numbers because we would have to get a subpoena then to find out who the who the phone number came back to. It was a very really a very long, tedious process. And, you know, we tried different ways of trying to spur activity, but  Q. So would it be fair to say that the search	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct? A. That's correct. Q. When was John Henry Ramirez apprehended finally? A. He was apprehended on February 20th of this year, 2008. Q. February 20th of 2008? A. Yes. Q. And what agency made that apprehension? A. The U. S. Marshal's Task Force apprehended him. Q. What is the U. S. Marshal's Task Force, just briefly. A. It's just a task force that had been working,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q where it's coming from?  A. Right, it it recorded we were not listening to actual conversations. We were simply monitoring phone every phone call that came in, what number it came from and every phone call that went out, and who they were who that call was being made to. And we we tried to follow-up on every single number that we could follow-up on.  We had other agencies in other cities follow-up on phone numbers because we would have to get a subpoena then to find out who the who the phone number came back to. It was a very really a very long, tedious process. And, you know, we tried different ways of trying to spur activity, but  Q. So would it be fair to say that the search was limited just to Corpus Christi?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct? A. That's correct. Q. When was John Henry Ramirez apprehended finally? A. He was apprehended on February 20th of this year, 2008. Q. February 20th of 2008? A. Yes. Q. And what agency made that apprehension? A. The U. S. Marshal's Task Force apprehended him. Q. What is the U. S. Marshal's Task Force, just briefly. A. It's just a task force that had been working, as well as on apprehending other other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q where it's coming from?  A. Right, it it recorded we were not listening to actual conversations. We were simply monitoring phone every phone call that came in, what number it came from and every phone call that went out, and who they were who that call was being made to. And we we tried to follow-up on every single number that we could follow-up on.  We had other agencies in other cities follow-up on phone numbers because we would have to get a subpoena then to find out who the who the phone number came back to. It was a very really a very long, tedious process. And, you know, we tried different ways of trying to spur activity, but  Q. So would it be fair to say that the search was limited just to Corpus Christi?  A. No, no, no.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct? A. That's correct. Q. When was John Henry Ramirez apprehended finally? A. He was apprehended on February 20th of this year, 2008. Q. February 20th of 2008? A. Yes. Q. And what agency made that apprehension? A. The U. S. Marshal's Task Force apprehended him. Q. What is the U. S. Marshal's Task Force, just briefly. A. It's just a task force that had been working, as well as on apprehending other other criminals, they had been working on capturing John
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q where it's coming from?  A. Right, it it recorded we were not listening to actual conversations. We were simply monitoring phone every phone call that came in, what number it came from and every phone call that went out, and who they were who that call was being made to. And we we tried to follow-up on every single number that we could follow-up on.  We had other agencies in other cities follow-up on phone numbers because we would have to get a subpoena then to find out who the who the phone number came back to. It was a very really a very long, tedious process. And, you know, we tried different ways of trying to spur activity, but  Q. So would it be fair to say that the search was limited just to Corpus Christi?  A. No, no, no.  Q. Explain.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct?  A. That's correct. Q. When was John Henry Ramirez apprehended finally?  A. He was apprehended on February 20th of this year, 2008. Q. February 20th of 2008? A. Yes. Q. And what agency made that apprehension? A. The U. S. Marshal's Task Force apprehended him. Q. What is the U. S. Marshal's Task Force, just briefly. A. It's just a task force that had been working, as well as on apprehending other other criminals, they had been working on capturing John Henry Ramirez, Jr. They had they had worked with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q where it's coming from?  A. Right, it it recorded we were not listening to actual conversations. We were simply monitoring phone every phone call that came in, what number it came from and every phone call that went out, and who they were who that call was being made to. And we we tried to follow-up on every single number that we could follow-up on.  We had other agencies in other cities follow-up on phone numbers because we would have to get a subpoena then to find out who the who the phone number came back to. It was a very really a very long, tedious process. And, you know, we tried different ways of trying to spur activity, but  Q. So would it be fair to say that the search was limited just to Corpus Christi?  A. No, no, no.  Q. Explain.  A. After no, we obviously, we suspected	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct? A. That's correct. Q. When was John Henry Ramirez apprehended finally? A. He was apprehended on February 20th of this year, 2008. Q. February 20th of 2008? A. Yes. Q. And what agency made that apprehension? A. The U. S. Marshal's Task Force apprehended him. Q. What is the U. S. Marshal's Task Force, just briefly. A. It's just a task force that had been working, as well as on apprehending other other criminals, they had been working on capturing John Henry Ramirez, Jr. They had they had worked with us from the very beginning on this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q where it's coming from?  A. Right, it it recorded we were not listening to actual conversations. We were simply monitoring phone every phone call that came in, what number it came from and every phone call that went out, and who they were who that call was being made to. And we we tried to follow-up on every single number that we could follow-up on.  We had other agencies in other cities follow-up on phone numbers because we would have to get a subpoena then to find out who the who the phone number came back to. It was a very really a very long, tedious process. And, you know, we tried different ways of trying to spur activity, but  Q. So would it be fair to say that the search was limited just to Corpus Christi?  A. No, no, no.  Q. Explain.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct? A. That's correct. Q. When was John Henry Ramirez apprehended finally? A. He was apprehended on February 20th of this year, 2008. Q. February 20th of 2008? A. Yes. Q. And what agency made that apprehension? A. The U. S. Marshal's Task Force apprehended him. Q. What is the U. S. Marshal's Task Force, just briefly. A. It's just a task force that had been working, as well as on apprehending other other criminals, they had been working on capturing John Henry Ramirez, Jr. They had they had worked with us from the very beginning on this. Q. But would it be fair to say the U. S.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q where it's coming from?  A. Right, it it recorded we were not listening to actual conversations. We were simply monitoring phone every phone call that came in, what number it came from and every phone call that went out, and who they were who that call was being made to. And we we tried to follow-up on every single number that we could follow-up on.  We had other agencies in other cities follow-up on phone numbers because we would have to get a subpoena then to find out who the who the phone number came back to. It was a very really a very long, tedious process. And, you know, we tried different ways of trying to spur activity, but  Q. So would it be fair to say that the search was limited just to Corpus Christi?  A. No, no, no.  Q. Explain.  A. After no, we obviously, we suspected	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct? A. That's correct. Q. When was John Henry Ramirez apprehended finally? A. He was apprehended on February 20th of this year, 2008. Q. February 20th of 2008? A. Yes. Q. And what agency made that apprehension? A. The U. S. Marshal's Task Force apprehended him. Q. What is the U. S. Marshal's Task Force, just briefly. A. It's just a task force that had been working, as well as on apprehending other other criminals, they had been working on capturing John Henry Ramirez, Jr. They had they had worked with us from the very beginning on this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q where it's coming from?  A. Right, it it recorded we were not listening to actual conversations. We were simply monitoring phone every phone call that came in, what number it came from and every phone call that went out, and who they were who that call was being made to. And we we tried to follow-up on every single number that we could follow-up on.  We had other agencies in other cities follow-up on phone numbers because we would have to get a subpoena then to find out who the who the phone number came back to. It was a very really a very long, tedious process. And, you know, we tried different ways of trying to spur activity, but  Q. So would it be fair to say that the search was limited just to Corpus Christi?  A. No, no, no.  Q. Explain.  A. After no, we obviously, we suspected that he may have headed down towards down south	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And that was either locally or generated nationally, correct? A. That's correct. Q. When was John Henry Ramirez apprehended finally? A. He was apprehended on February 20th of this year, 2008. Q. February 20th of 2008? A. Yes. Q. And what agency made that apprehension? A. The U. S. Marshal's Task Force apprehended him. Q. What is the U. S. Marshal's Task Force, just briefly. A. It's just a task force that had been working, as well as on apprehending other other criminals, they had been working on capturing John Henry Ramirez, Jr. They had they had worked with us from the very beginning on this. Q. But would it be fair to say the U. S.

24

A. Exactly.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$  Because there are other duties U. S.

25 Marshal's have, like guarding the courthouse, or --

23

24

25

Most Wanted.

this homicide and the information about John Henry

Ramirez, Jr., we were able to get that on America's

C	ase 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed or	<u>01</u>	/26/15 in TXSD Page 14 of 54
	41		43
1	the federal courthouse, or escorting people, or doing	1	I'm sorry.
2	security at the courthouse, but this is a task force	2	Q. Start again.
3	just designed for the apprehension of felons, is it	3	A. Two tubes of blood from Christina Chavez and
4	not?	4	two tubes of blood from Angela Rodriguez.
5	A. That's correct.	5	Q. And you were the one that witnessed that
6	Q. And can you tell the jury approximately what	6	blood being drawn from them?
7	area on February 20th of 2008, Mr. Ramirez, the	7	A. Yes, I did.
8	Defendant in this case, was apprehended?	8	MR. SKURKA: Your Honor, I tender
9	A. He was apprehended near the Texas/Mexico	9	State's Exhibit No. 194, as a brown manilla envelope
10	border.	10	containing two clear plastic envelopes of blood tubes.
11	Q. By what town?	11	Tender to Defense Counsel and offer them
12	A. Brownsville, I believe.	12	in evidence.
13	Q. And, as you said it was February 20th, 2008.	13	MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.
14	How much time had passed from July 19th, 2004, to the	14	THE COURT: They're admitted.
15	time this man was finally brought and apprehended?	15	Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) What did you do with these
16	A. Almost four years, three-and-a-half years.	16	after they were obtained?
17	Q. During your investigation, did you also have	17	A. I took them over to D.P.S. for D.N.A.
18	opportunity and I'm going to backtrack, now, back	18	analysis.
19	to the investigation of the two ladies that were	19	Q. And was that for the purpose of having them
20	apprehended by Brewster Street. You were the person	20	take D.N.A. samples from these items?
21	that was I believe helping the officer not officer,	21	A. Yes, sir.
22	the I.D. tech, Kirksey, collect their clothing after	22	Q. And that way they would know where they came
23	they were apprehended; is that correct?	23	from or who they came from, I'm sorry.
24	A. Yes.	24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Okay. That clothing has already been	25	Q. I show you the next exhibit, which is marked
	42		44
1	admitted into evidence, but were you also present or	1	195. What is that?
2	have a part in obtaining blood samples from Christina	2	A. That is the two tubes of blood that were
3	Chavez and Angela Rodriguez?	3	taken from John Henry Ramirez, Jr.
4	A. Yes, I did.	4	Q. Now, did you direct a detective to do that?
5	MR. SKURKA: May I approach, Your Honor.	5	A. Yes, I of course, I was no longer in this
6	THE COURT: Yes.	6	division, so I directed Detective Garcia to have that
7	Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) Okay. I show you what's	7	done after after John Henry Ramirez was apprehended
8	been marked for purposes of identification as 194.	8	that night.
9	Can you identify that?	9	Q. Is that the same Detective Garcia you
10	A. Yes.	10	mentioned earlier that had helped you at the scene
11	Q. What is it?	11	that night?
12	A. It is an envelope containing the blood	12	A. Yes.
13	the tubes of blood from Angela Rodriguez and Christina	13	Q. And do you recognize his initials on the
14	Chavez.	14	package?
15	Q. And you're the one that got that evidence?	15	A. Yes.
16	A. Yes, sir.	16	Q. Could you open that package.
17	Q. I'm going to ask you to open what's been	17	A. Does it matter which end or
18	marked State's Exhibit 194. And maybe open on the	18	Q. Say again?
19	other end where there's no seals so you don't disturb	19	A. Does it matter which end?
20	any seals on there.	20	Q. I don't know, just try not to disturb the
21	A. (Witness complying.)	21	seals on there
22	Q. Do you recognize the contents of State's	22	A. Okay.
23	Exhibit 194, please, and describe them for the jury.	23	Q too much. What does for the record,
1			
24	A. Yes, one is a plastic bag containing two purple top tube bloods or two tubes of purple	24	State's Exhibit 195 is actually a manilla envelope and you pulled out a clear plastic envelope from within

111	ase 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed or	n 01	./26/15 in TXSD Page 15 of 54
	. 45		47
1	that, I guess, is similar to those other ones in 194.	1	(Pause in proceedings.)
2	A. Yes.	2	MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Ms. Isaacks.
3	Q. What does that indicate it is, 195?	3	I pass the witness.
4	A. Inside here?	4	THE COURT: Cross.
5	Q. Yes.	5	MR. GARZA: Yes, Your Honor, if you
6	A. Two tubes of the blood belonging to John	6	please.
7	Henry Ramirez, that was removed from John Henry	7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	Ramirez, Jr.	8	BY MR. GARZA:
9	Q. And that's the same person you've identified	9	Q. Detective Isaacks, basically, your testimony
10	previously in the courtroom?	10	is that on that evening you were on call, I guess, so
11	A. Yes.	11	to speak, as the detective that was put in charge of
12	Q. And you know it's his because it has his name	12	this investigation, correct?
13	and R. L. Garcia's name on it, too, correct?	13	A. Yes.
14	A. Yes.	14	Q. And you had arrived at the scene after
15	Q. Go ahead and put it back in there, and I'm	15	everything had already happened, to gather
16	going to give it to Defense Counsel. And was this	16	information?
17	also submitted to the D.P.S. lab?	17	A. Yes. Correct.
18	A. Yes, it was.	18	Q. Okay. And then, based on that information,
19	Q. And there's another person's name on here, I	19	you started giving out tasks or directives to some of
20	believe, the evidence technician's name. I'm sorry, I	20	the other police involved in the investigation that
21	shouldn't make you look from across the room.	21	evening
22	A. Yes, it looks like.	22	A. Yes, sir.
23	Q. And the submission form on the back, who	23	Q is that correct? And then basically
24	submitted it to the lab?	24	followed up on everything as the investigation
25	A. William Kirksey, one of our I.D. techs that	25	continued. Is that pretty much it?
20	7. William Kirksey, one of our xib. coers and	-	48
1	was out at the scene there.		
		1	A. That's pretty much it.
2		1 2	<ul><li>A. That's pretty much it.</li><li>Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical</li></ul>
2 3	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab,	l	
3 4	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?	2	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical
3	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab,	2	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical
3 4 5	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense	2 3 4	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a
3 4 5 6	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense  Counsel and offer into evidence.	2 3 4 5	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a part of the chain of custody, so to speak, with regard to maintaining some sort of custody of all this
3 4 5 6 7	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense  Counsel and offer into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a part of the chain of custody, so to speak, with regard to maintaining some sort of custody of all this evidence; is that correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense  Counsel and offer into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: It's admitted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a part of the chain of custody, so to speak, with regard to maintaining some sort of custody of all this evidence; is that correct?  A. Right. Well, the bulk of the physical
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense  Counsel and offer into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: It's admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) So that's what that last	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a part of the chain of custody, so to speak, with regard to maintaining some sort of custody of all this evidence; is that correct?  A. Right. Well, the bulk of the physical evidence that was out there and the vans that was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense  Counsel and offer into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: It's admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) So that's what that last item we introduced, 195, that's what would be called a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a part of the chain of custody, so to speak, with regard to maintaining some sort of custody of all this evidence; is that correct?  A. Right. Well, the bulk of the physical evidence that was out there and the vans that was recovered, I did not
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense  Counsel and offer into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: It's admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) So that's what that last item we introduced, 195, that's what would be called a known sample of the Defendant, John Henry Ramirez,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a part of the chain of custody, so to speak, with regard to maintaining some sort of custody of all this evidence; is that correct?  A. Right. Well, the bulk of the physical evidence that was out there and the vans that was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense  Counsel and offer into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: It's admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) So that's what that last item we introduced, 195, that's what would be called a known sample of the Defendant, John Henry Ramirez, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a part of the chain of custody, so to speak, with regard to maintaining some sort of custody of all this evidence; is that correct?  A. Right. Well, the bulk of the physical evidence that was out there and the vans that was recovered, I did not  Q. You weren't involved in the gathering of that, but that was somebody else's duties
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense  Counsel and offer into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: It's admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) So that's what that last item we introduced, 195, that's what would be called a known sample of the Defendant, John Henry Ramirez, correct?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a part of the chain of custody, so to speak, with regard to maintaining some sort of custody of all this evidence; is that correct?  A. Right. Well, the bulk of the physical evidence that was out there and the vans that was recovered, I did not  Q. You weren't involved in the gathering of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense  Counsel and offer into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: It's admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) So that's what that last item we introduced, 195, that's what would be called a known sample of the Defendant, John Henry Ramirez, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Because they know where that blood came from.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a part of the chain of custody, so to speak, with regard to maintaining some sort of custody of all this evidence; is that correct?  A. Right. Well, the bulk of the physical evidence that was out there and the vans that was recovered, I did not  Q. You weren't involved in the gathering of that, but that was somebody else's duties  A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense  Counsel and offer into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: It's admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) So that's what that last item we introduced, 195, that's what would be called a known sample of the Defendant, John Henry Ramirez, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Because they know where that blood came from.  A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a part of the chain of custody, so to speak, with regard to maintaining some sort of custody of all this evidence; is that correct?  A. Right. Well, the bulk of the physical evidence that was out there and the vans that was recovered, I did not  Q. You weren't involved in the gathering of that, but that was somebody else's duties  A. Yes.  Q correct?  A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense  Counsel and offer into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: It's admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) So that's what that last item we introduced, 195, that's what would be called a known sample of the Defendant, John Henry Ramirez, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Because they know where that blood came from.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And those samples are necessary for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a part of the chain of custody, so to speak, with regard to maintaining some sort of custody of all this evidence; is that correct?  A. Right. Well, the bulk of the physical evidence that was out there and the vans that was recovered, I did not  Q. You weren't involved in the gathering of that, but that was somebody else's duties  A. Yes.  Q correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And you weren't involved in the chase.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense  Counsel and offer into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: It's admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) So that's what that last item we introduced, 195, that's what would be called a known sample of the Defendant, John Henry Ramirez, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Because they know where that blood came from.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And those samples are necessary for the D.N.A. people at the D.P.S. lab to do their analysis?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a part of the chain of custody, so to speak, with regard to maintaining some sort of custody of all this evidence; is that correct?  A. Right. Well, the bulk of the physical evidence that was out there and the vans that was recovered, I did not  Q. You weren't involved in the gathering of that, but that was somebody else's duties  A. Yes.  Q correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And you weren't involved in the chase. You didn't witness any part of that, didn't witness
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense  Counsel and offer into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: It's admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) So that's what that last item we introduced, 195, that's what would be called a known sample of the Defendant, John Henry Ramirez, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Because they know where that blood came from.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And those samples are necessary for the  D.N.A. people at the D.P.S. lab to do their analysis?  A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a part of the chain of custody, so to speak, with regard to maintaining some sort of custody of all this evidence; is that correct?  A. Right. Well, the bulk of the physical evidence that was out there and the vans that was recovered, I did not  Q. You weren't involved in the gathering of that, but that was somebody else's duties  A. Yes.  Q correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And you weren't involved in the chase. You didn't witness any part of that, didn't witness anything going down at the Whataburgers or anything
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense  Counsel and offer into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: It's admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) So that's what that last item we introduced, 195, that's what would be called a known sample of the Defendant, John Henry Ramirez, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Because they know where that blood came from.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And those samples are necessary for the  D.N.A. people at the D.P.S. lab to do their analysis?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And, in fact, in this case there was actually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a part of the chain of custody, so to speak, with regard to maintaining some sort of custody of all this evidence; is that correct?  A. Right. Well, the bulk of the physical evidence that was out there and the vans that was recovered, I did not  Q. You weren't involved in the gathering of that, but that was somebody else's duties  A. Yes.  Q correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And you weren't involved in the chase. You didn't witness any part of that, didn't witness anything going down at the Whataburgers or anything like that either, correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense  Counsel and offer into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: It's admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) So that's what that last item we introduced, 195, that's what would be called a known sample of the Defendant, John Henry Ramirez, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Because they know where that blood came from.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And those samples are necessary for the  D.N.A. people at the D.P.S. lab to do their analysis?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And, in fact, in this case there was actually four sets of blood that were given to the D.N.A	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a part of the chain of custody, so to speak, with regard to maintaining some sort of custody of all this evidence; is that correct?  A. Right. Well, the bulk of the physical evidence that was out there and the vans that was recovered, I did not  Q. You weren't involved in the gathering of that, but that was somebody else's duties  A. Yes.  Q correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And you weren't involved in the chase. You didn't witness any part of that, didn't witness anything going down at the Whataburgers or anything like that either, correct?  A. No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense  Counsel and offer into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: It's admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) So that's what that last item we introduced, 195, that's what would be called a known sample of the Defendant, John Henry Ramirez, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Because they know where that blood came from.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And those samples are necessary for the  D.N.A. people at the D.P.S. lab to do their analysis?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And, in fact, in this case there was actually four sets of blood that were given to the D.N.A the D.N.A. people, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a part of the chain of custody, so to speak, with regard to maintaining some sort of custody of all this evidence; is that correct?  A. Right. Well, the bulk of the physical evidence that was out there and the vans that was recovered, I did not  Q. You weren't involved in the gathering of that, but that was somebody else's duties  A. Yes.  Q correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And you weren't involved in the chase. You didn't witness any part of that, didn't witness anything going down at the Whataburgers or anything like that either, correct?  A. No.  MR. GARZA: Okay. Thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense  Counsel and offer into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: It's admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) So that's what that last item we introduced, 195, that's what would be called a known sample of the Defendant, John Henry Ramirez, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Because they know where that blood came from.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And those samples are necessary for the  D.N.A. people at the D.P.S. lab to do their analysis?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And, in fact, in this case there was actually four sets of blood that were given to the D.N.A the D.N.A. people, correct?  A. Yes, the victim.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a part of the chain of custody, so to speak, with regard to maintaining some sort of custody of all this evidence; is that correct?  A. Right. Well, the bulk of the physical evidence that was out there and the vans that was recovered, I did not  Q. You weren't involved in the gathering of that, but that was somebody else's duties  A. Yes.  Q correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And you weren't involved in the chase. You didn't witness any part of that, didn't witness anything going down at the Whataburgers or anything like that either, correct?  A. No.  MR. GARZA: Okay. Thank you.  I'll pass the witness.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense  Counsel and offer into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: It's admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) So that's what that last item we introduced, 195, that's what would be called a known sample of the Defendant, John Henry Ramirez, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Because they know where that blood came from.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And those samples are necessary for the  D.N.A. people at the D.P.S. lab to do their analysis?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And, in fact, in this case there was actually four sets of blood that were given to the D.N.A the D.N.A. people, correct?  A. Yes, the victim.  Q. Those three, plus the victim.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a part of the chain of custody, so to speak, with regard to maintaining some sort of custody of all this evidence; is that correct?  A. Right. Well, the bulk of the physical evidence that was out there and the vans that was recovered, I did not  Q. You weren't involved in the gathering of that, but that was somebody else's duties  A. Yes.  Q correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And you weren't involved in the chase. You didn't witness any part of that, didn't witness anything going down at the Whataburgers or anything like that either, correct?  A. No.  MR. GARZA: Okay. Thank you.  I'll pass the witness.  THE COURT: Anything else?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So this does show it was taken to the lab, correct?  A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I tender 195 to Defense  Counsel and offer into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: It's admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) So that's what that last item we introduced, 195, that's what would be called a known sample of the Defendant, John Henry Ramirez, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Because they know where that blood came from.  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And those samples are necessary for the  D.N.A. people at the D.P.S. lab to do their analysis?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And, in fact, in this case there was actually four sets of blood that were given to the D.N.A the D.N.A. people, correct?  A. Yes, the victim.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay. As well as this whatever physical evidence you took charge of, whatever physical evidence you turned over to D.P.S., that you were a part of the chain of custody, so to speak, with regard to maintaining some sort of custody of all this evidence; is that correct?  A. Right. Well, the bulk of the physical evidence that was out there and the vans that was recovered, I did not  Q. You weren't involved in the gathering of that, but that was somebody else's duties  A. Yes.  Q correct?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And you weren't involved in the chase. You didn't witness any part of that, didn't witness anything going down at the Whataburgers or anything like that either, correct?  A. No.  MR. GARZA: Okay. Thank you.  I'll pass the witness.

Case 2:12-cv-00410 Document	31-50 Filed on 01	
	49	51
1 THE COURT: All right, you	u may stand 1	A. Industrial chemistry.
2 down. Please don't discuss your testim	ony with anyone 2 <sup>-</sup>	Q. What does that mean?
3 while this trial's going on.	3	A. Well, this particular industrial chemistry
4 THE WITNESS: Thank you	u. 4	program focused on forensic D.N.A. analysis.
5 THE COURT: All right, cal	l your next 5	Q. So you have a base I'm sorry, you have a
6 witness.	6	Bachelor's degree in forensic science; and then a
7 MR. SKURKA: Pam Smith	. 7	Master's degree in industrial chemistry, which really
8 THE COURT: All right. Pa	sm Smith. 8	focuses on D.N.A.
9 (Oath administered.)	9	A. Yes, sir.
10 THE COURT: Be seated.	10	Q. Can you explain to the jury because I was an
11 MR. SKURKA: May I proce	eed, Your Honor. 11	English major, I don't know what forensic science
12 THE COURT: Yes.	12	major means. What does that mean?
13	13	A. Well, forensic science is simply the
14 PAMELA SMITH,	14	application of science to to the public arena or
15 having been first duly sworn, testified a	s follows: 15	the application of science, in this particular
16 DIRECT EXAMINATION		instance, to the criminal justice system.
17 BY MR. SKURKA:	17	Q. Now that we've talked about your background
18 Q. Good morning. Would you ple	ase introduce 18	and the fact you have a Bachelor's degree and Master's
19 yourself to the folks on the jury.	19	degree, I want to start talking about your work
20 A. My name is Pamela Smith. I w	vork for the 20	experience. And I would like you start at the
21 Texas Department of Public Safety in th	ne Corpus 21	beginning where you're first employed, moving up to
22 Christi Crime Laboratory.	22	the jobs you've had and to your present job.
23 Q. How long have you been an er	nployee of the 23	A. Well, previously, I was employed by the
24 Department of Public Safety?	24	Florida Department of Law Enforcement and there I
25 A. For seven years.	25	completed a one-year training program in forensic
	50	52
1 Q. What is your current occupation	on or role or 1	serology. I later was employed by the Texas
2 title with the Department of Public Safe	ety? 2	Department of Public Safety.
3 A. Currently, I'm the laboratory r	manager. 3	Upon employment with them, I completed a
4 Q. I know you're talking straight	to the jury, 4	one-year training program in forensic serology in
5 but you're getting away from the micro	phone a little 5	D.N.A. analysis. And I continued to participate in
6 bit. Would you push the microphone a	little closer to 6	continuing education on an annual basis. That's a
7 you.	7	requirement of my position. I meet the educational
8 A. (Witness complying.)	8	requirements as set forth for a Forensic D.N.A.
9 Q. Okay, thank you. You're the l	aboratory 9	Examiner by the F.D.I., as their quality assurance
10 manager?	10	standards; and as a forensic scientist doing D.N.A.
11 A. Yes, sir.	11	analysis, I was proficiency tested about twice a
12 Q. I'm going to start off by talkin		year.
13 about your educational background and	d then I'm going   13	0
		Q. Your work at the Florida Department of Law
14 to switch to your work background, ok	ay? First, tell	Enforcement, is the department of law enforcement
	ay? First, tell	Enforcement, is the department of law enforcement similar to like the D.P.S. here in Texas?
14 to switch to your work background, ok	ay? First, tell	Enforcement, is the department of law enforcement
14 to switch to your work background, oka 15 the jury if you have a degree, and if so	ay? First, tell 14 b, where and 15 16	Enforcement, is the department of law enforcement similar to like the D.P.S. here in Texas?  A. Yes, it is. It's a state police organization.
14 to switch to your work background, oka 15 the jury if you have a degree, and if so 16 what schools did you attend?	ay? First, tell 14 15 16 nce in forensic 17	Enforcement, is the department of law enforcement similar to like the D.P.S. here in Texas?  A. Yes, it is. It's a state police organization.  Q. Just like D.P.S. is, correct?
<ul> <li>to switch to your work background, okan</li> <li>the jury if you have a degree, and if so</li> <li>what schools did you attend?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have a Bachelor of Scientific</li> </ul>	ay? First, tell 14 b, where and 15 16 nce in forensic 17 Florida; and I 18	Enforcement, is the department of law enforcement similar to like the D.P.S. here in Texas?  A. Yes, it is. It's a state police organization.  Q. Just like D.P.S. is, correct?  A. Yes, sir.
<ul> <li>to switch to your work background, oke</li> <li>the jury if you have a degree, and if so</li> <li>what schools did you attend?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have a Bachelor of Science</li> <li>science from the University of Central I</li> </ul>	ay? First, tell 14 b, where and 15 nce in forensic 17 Florida; and I 18 chemistry, with 19	Enforcement, is the department of law enforcement similar to like the D.P.S. here in Texas?  A. Yes, it is. It's a state police organization.  Q. Just like D.P.S. is, correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. You said that you have a lot of working as
to switch to your work background, okan the jury if you have a degree, and if so what schools did you attend?  A. Yes, I have a Bachelor of Scientification of Scientification of Scientification of Scientification of Science from the University of Central Indiana.	ay? First, tell 14 b, where and 15 nce in forensic 17 Florida; and I 18 chemistry, with 19	Enforcement, is the department of law enforcement similar to like the D.P.S. here in Texas?  A. Yes, it is. It's a state police organization.  Q. Just like D.P.S. is, correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. You said that you have a lot of working as a technical leader and forensic scientist and
to switch to your work background, oke the jury if you have a degree, and if so what schools did you attend?  A. Yes, I have a Bachelor of Scient science from the University of Central II have a Master of Science in industrial of a focus on forensic D.N.A. analysis, als	ay? First, tell 14 b, where and 15 nce in forensic 17 Florida; and I 18 chemistry, with 19 to from the 20 21	Enforcement, is the department of law enforcement similar to like the D.P.S. here in Texas?  A. Yes, it is. It's a state police organization.  Q. Just like D.P.S. is, correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. You said that you have a lot of working as a technical leader and forensic scientist and essentially your tenure with the D.P.S. has gone from
to switch to your work background, okan the jury if you have a degree, and if so what schools did you attend?  A. Yes, I have a Bachelor of Scientification of Scientification of Science from the University of Central III have a Master of Science in industrial of a focus on forensic D.N.A. analysis, also University of Central Florida.	ay? First, tell 14 b, where and 15 16 nce in forensic 17 Florida; and I 18 chemistry, with 19 so from the 20 21 se degrees? 22	Enforcement, is the department of law enforcement similar to like the D.P.S. here in Texas?  A. Yes, it is. It's a state police organization.  Q. Just like D.P.S. is, correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. You said that you have a lot of working as a technical leader and forensic scientist and
to switch to your work background, okan the jury if you have a degree, and if so what schools did you attend?  A. Yes, I have a Bachelor of Scients science from the University of Central III have a Master of Science in industrial of a focus on forensic D.N.A. analysis, also University of Central Florida.  Q. And when did you receive the	ay? First, tell 14 b, where and 15 16 nce in forensic 17 Florida; and I 18 chemistry, with 19 so from the 20 21 se degrees? 22	Enforcement, is the department of law enforcement similar to like the D.P.S. here in Texas?  A. Yes, it is. It's a state police organization.  Q. Just like D.P.S. is, correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. You said that you have a lot of working as a technical leader and forensic scientist and essentially your tenure with the D.P.S. has gone from

11

12

14

15

yourself, taught in the area of D.N.A. analysis? 16

A. No, I have not. 17

25

Q. Have you ever done any courses or other 18

19 training besides your educational background in

getting your Master's degree in the specific area of 20

D.N.A., either put on by D.P.S. or any other agency? 21

A. Yes. I receive regular training, up-dated 22

training on an annual basis in the field of D.N.A., as 23

24 a continuing education requirement.

Q. Have you ever published or written any

16

17

20

25

A. Seven years.

Q. Have you testified in courts before as an

18 expert witness in the area of D.N.A. or D.N.A.

comparisons? 19

A. Yes, I have.

21 Q. How many times?

A. In excess of 20 -- in excess of between -- in 22

excess of 20. 23

24

A. Yes.

Q. Over 20 times?

Q. And have you qualified as an expert test --1 1

- have you been qualified as an expert in this field in 2
- 3 the courts of Nueces County or any other counties?
- 4 A. Yes, I have.
- 5 Q. How many times?
- 6 A. In excess of 20.
- 7 Q. Now that we've talked about your background
- and your qualifications, I want to switch gears and 8
- now educate the jury a little bit about D.N.A. First 9
- of all, can you tell jury what D.N.A. is? 10
- 11 A. Well, D.N.A. is the genetic material that you
- 12 inherit at conception. You receive half from your
- mother and half from your father. D.N.A. is what 13
- makes you unique to all other individuals. It is 14
- found in every cell of the body, with the exception of 15
- mature red blood cells. In blood we get D.N.A. from 16
- 17 the white cells.
- Q. What does -- does a person -- does a person 18
- 19 -- one person's D.N.A. different from another
- 20 person's?
- 21 A. Yes. Much of human D.N.A. is the same, as
- 22 you may have heard. But I look specifically at
- noncoding regions of the D.N.A. that are known to vary 23
- 24 greatly among individuals.
- 25 Q. What about people that -- we had an expert
- testify about fingerprints and no two fingerprints are 1
- 2 the same. My next question to you is, do you have the
- same thing in D.N.A.? What about everybody have --3
- especially different D.N.A? 4
- A. Well, D.N.A. is unique to each individual, 5
- 6 with one exception, and that is identical twins.
- 7 Identical twins do have the same D.N.A. profile.
- Q. And that's the only time? 8
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. What if they come from the same family, like, 10
- you know, a mother and a daughter? Wouldn't they have 11
- 12 the same D.N.A?
- A. There would be similarities in their D.N.A. 13
- 14 profiles, but they would not have the same D.N.A.
- 15 profile.
- 16 Q. In other words, it would match in some
- places, but not match in other places on the D.N.A. 17
- chain? 18
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. So is that how they can do paternity testing?
- For example, you can tell a child came from this 21
- mother or this father because of some of those 22
- similarities? 23
- 24 A. It's because they receive half their D.N.A.
- from the mother and half the D.N.A. from the father. 25

Q. Okay. So that's where D.N.A. comes from,

59

- 2 it's genetic, it's inherited.
- A. Yes, it is. 3
- Q. But, again, the child wouldn't have the exact
- 5 same D.N.A. as the mother or father.
- A. Correct. 6
- 7 Q. Does D.N.A. profile change over a person's
- lifetime?
- 9 A. No, it does not.
- 10 Q. Why?
- 11 A. Because your D.N.A. remains the same.
- 12 Q. Because you were born with it and you die
- 13 with it, correct?
- 14 A. That's correct. It's in every cell of your
- body and it cannot be changed. 15
- 16 Q. The word D.N.A. profile, when I say D.N.A.
- profile, can you explain to the jury what that means? 17
- 18 A. Well, to obtain a D.N.A. profile, I look at
- 19 specific locations on the D.N.A. and I identify
- 20 different forms of D.N.A. that can occur at each
- location. Those forms are called, "alleles." A 21
- person has two alleles in each location, and those 22
- alleles are identified by a number. 23
- 24 So if I can identify both alleles at all
  - the locations I examine, then I obtain a complete D.N.A.
- 25
- profile. Essentially, it looks like a list of
  - 2 numbers.

58

- 3 Q. And that profile is, again, inherent just to
- 4 that one person.
- 5 A. Correct, with the exception of identical
- 6 twins.
- 7 Q. With the exception of identical twins. How
- is the use of D.N.A. profile, how is that applied in a
- 9 forensic setting?
- 10 A. Well, I receive a item of evidence that's
- associated with a crime. I perform presumptive tests 11
- 12 to look for biological stains, such as blood, semen
- 13 and saliva. I then extract D.N.A. from the biological
- 14 stain to obtain a D.N.A. profile. If I obtain a
- D.N.A. profile from the evidentiary item, then I 15
- compare that profile to the D.N.A. profile of known 16
- individuals that were submitted to me to determine if 17
- 18 there's a match.

- If there is a match then I perform a 19 statistical calculation to determine the significance 20
- or weight of that match and I issue a report. 21
- 22 Q. Well, let's talk about the first part and
- 23 then the second part you talked about. You first
- 25
- compare items to see if there's a match, and then you
- compare a statistical report. Let's start off with

20

21

25

stain.

A. Well, I can extract D.N.A. from any cellular material, but the cellular material that -- well, what is most likely to leave a lot of cells from behind a person is blood, semen or saliva. In some cases where something's been in contact with an individual's skin repeatedly, skin cells can be rubbed off onto that item. We call those "epithelial cells." And there may be enough epithelial cells present for me to

obtain a D.N.A. profile on some items.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If there is a match, then the suspect 22 could not be excluded and could have contributed the 23 24

whether or not there's a match. If there is not a

match, then I can say that that suspect is excluded

and could not have contributed the D.N.A. to this

D.N.A. that came from that stain.

Q. Now, we mention -- I mentioned in my question

a second ago, "a known blood sample." What do I mean

2 by that?

1

8

15

16

17

1

7

16

19

3 A. That is a blood sample that is taken directly

from an individual, I know who the source is. It is

taken for comparison purposes so that I can determine 5

their D.N.A. profile and compare that D.N.A profile 6

7 from the D.N.A. profile I obtained from evidence.

Q. So how -- how do you usually receive that

9 blood sample?

10 A. It's submitted into the laboratory, much as

any other type of evidence. It may be in a blood tube 11

12 container in a -- in a padded envelope.

13 Q. But that's actually the fluid itself, the

14 blood itself in a -- in a vial?

A. Oftentimes the blood is in a vial, sometimes

the blood's been taken from a vial and stained onto a

piece of paper that -- that is good for preserving

18 D.N.A.

Q. Do you have to always have it in like a 19

20 liquid form in a vial or can you have it on this stain

21 that's put on another piece of paper or card?

22 A. No. The stain is absolutely fine. When we

23 receive it in a liquid vial, we place it on a -- we

24 make a stain on a piece of this type of paper.

25 Q. I just say that because you mentioned

66

something about preserving the evidence or preserving

2 things. Again, is a vial of blood just as good as the

3 stain of the blood?

4 A. Well, eventually, the vial of blood will --

will degrade because it's a liquid sample. The stain, 5

6 once it's in placed on this paper and it dries, it can

be put in stored at room temperature for long periods

of time and the D.N.A. remains viable. 8

9 Q. So when you get the blood vials, you say it's

your procedure at your lab to make a stain out of it, 10

anyway, so you don't have to worry about, I guess, 11

keeping it cold in the refrigerator or something like 12

that or else the blood would, what did you call it, 13

14 "Degrade"?

15 Α. Yes.

Q. What does that mean? How does blood degrade?

A. It -- it breaks apart due to bacterial 17

growth, and that can break down the D.N.A. 18

Q. Okay. If that happened, you wouldn't be able

to preserve anything, correct? 20

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. Okay. So that's why it's important to make a

stain, to keep it, make sure the blood doesn't 23

24 degrade.

25 Α. Yes. 1 Q. Okay. In my last question I asked you about

can you compare the thing and make a comparison of the 2

known blood sample with evidence you have. What would 3

4 that comparison tell us?

A. It would tell me whether or not the

individual was excluded as a contributor or could not 6

7 be excluded as a contributor.

Q. So you can tell whether the blood or the 8

9 semen or saliva came from a person or not.

10 A. Correct.

5

16

25

Q. Is there a particular scientific method used 11

to obtain a D.N.A. profile from biological material? 12

13 A. Yes. I use a technique called "polymerase

14 chain reaction" or P.C.R., for short.

Q. What is that? 15

A. Well, P.C.R. is a process that mimics the

17 body's ability to make exact copies of D.N.A., as your

18 body does whenever you reproduce a cell. It works

much like a Xerox machine makes exact copies of a 19

20 document. P.C.R. allows me to look at certain

21 locations on the D.N.A. molecule and make exact copies

22 of those locations, turning a small sample into a

23 large enough sample for analysis.

24 Q. When you do the P.C.R., you basically just

copy it, correct?

A. Yes. 1

2 Q. Okay. And can you explain a little more

3 detail how that technique works?

4 A. Well, it's fairly involved scientifically.

It replicates what your body does when it reproduces 5

D.N.A. as you grow. You go through a process of 6

7 heating and cooling the D.N.A. in the presence of

certain molecules, primers and polymerases, and that

9 -- that mimics the copying process that takes place in

10 the body.

Q. So is this something that's done like right 11

12 away, where you can go put a blood stain on there and

13 then do D.N.A. and it's ready in five minutes?

14 No, it takes a period of time to do this.

There is an extraction process where the D.N.A. has to 15

16 be taken out of the stain, it has to be purified, and

then taken -- you have to determine how much D.N.A. is

18 present and then perform this P.C.R. process.

Q. So about how long would it take to test for 19

D.N.A.? The reason I ask you is on T.V. it happens in 20

21 30 minutes.

17

24

25

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. How does it really happen?

A. Well, theoretically, if you just had one sample and you were to take it through this process,

67

- 1 you could have results in two to three days. However,
- in the crime laboratory samples are batched, for
- 3 efficiency purposes, and from the beginning of
- extracting D.N.A. to the time a report has been 4
- 5 reviewed and goes out, it could be a two-month period.
  - Q. Do you trust -- test a person's entire D.N.A?
- 7 A. No. I look at 16 specific locations on the
- D.N.A. molecule. As I mentioned, these locations vary 8
- 9 greatly from individual to individual, and I'm
- identifying the forms of D.N.A. that can occur at each 10
- 11 of these locations.
- And, also, as I mentioned, those forms are 12
- called alleles. The alleles are identified with a 13
- number, say a 9, 12, 13, 14. A person has 2 alleles 14
- 15 at each location. If I examine the D.N.A. and I get 2
- alleles at all 16 locations, then I have obtained a 16
- full D.N.A. profile. 17
- Q. So you really only need to find 16 parts, 18
- instead of the whole D.N.A. strain. Is that fair to 19
- 20 say?

- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. I'm trying to say it like a layman would say.
- 23 A. Yes, that's --
- Q. I know you're -- I know you're laughing at me 24
- because I'm not a scientist, but that's pretty much
  - 70

25

9

17

23

- what it is, right, just 16 locations? 1
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Do you always obtain -- once you do that --
- do that 16 thing, do you always obtain a full D.N.A.
- 5 profile?

6

- A. No. Sometimes I only obtain a partial
- profile, which means I dont' get results from all 16 7
- 8 locations. That could be because of the small amount
- of sample that I have to work with, or because the 9
- D.N.A. has degraded. Now, in some occasions I find 10
- more than two alleles at a location, at some or all of 11
- the location. This indicates that it is a mixture and 12
- 13 that this D.N.A. -- that this stain contains D.N.A.
- from two or more individuals. 14
- Q. But I thought you said a person only has one 15
- 16 D.N.A. to them?
- A. Yes. But if I have D.N.A. from two different 17
- people and they're combined together into one stain, 18
- then that is indicated in the D.N.A. profile that I --19
- 20 that I get.
- 21 Q. So, how would that be possible? How would
- 22 that happen? Give us an example of how a mixture
- 23 could happen.
- A. Well, you have -- if the -- if the stain is 24
  - from blood, then you could have two persons that are

- bleeding and each of them contribute to the blood
- stain that -- that I test. Someone may be bleeding 2
- themselves, they may have blood from someone else on 3
- their hand and touch something, you know, they've got
- it on their hand and they touch something and the 5
- mixture is there.
- 7 Q. So, if -- again, we're going to use an
- example, but we're not going to use my socks this
- time. If I touch a pen -- this pen, and I get sweat 9
- 10 on it, perspiration, or I put it in my mouth and get
- saliva on it, and then somebody else picks it up and 11
- 12 skin cells come off on it, is that a way a mixture
- 13 could happen?
- A. Yes, correct. I might very well find a 14
- mixture when I determine D.N.A. -- the D.N.A. that's 15
- 16 present on that pen.
- 17 Q. So that's all -- what does that mean, is just
- basically two people may have come in contact with 18
- that one item. 19
- A. Correct. Two people have contributed to that 20
- 21 D.N.A. profile.
- Q. When you have a mixture, does that mean it's 22
- 23 always a 50/50 percent mixture?
- A. No. It can vary greatly, and depending upon 24
  - how much D.N.A. is from one individual and how much is

from another. Sometimes most of the D.N.A.s from one

- 2 individual and there's just a very little bit of
- D.N.A. from the second individual. Sometimes it is a 3
- 50/50 mixture. It varies from stain to stain. 4
- 5 Q. So when we say the word, "mixture," I just
- want to make it clear, we're not talking it's always 6
- half and half. It could be 90 percent of one person's 7
- D.N.A. and 10 percent of somebody else's. 8
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Can you tell the jury why -- what the 10
- procedure is with law enforcement agencies in this 11
- area in working with the State-run D.P.S. labs and how 12
- 13 they test evidence for them, especially in the area of
- 14 D.N.A., how does that work?
- 15 A. Well, if a law enforcement agency collects
- evidence from a crime scene, they can bring it to our 16
  - laboratory for analysis. We perform a free service.
- 18 They submit it into our laboratory, they fill out a
- 19 submission form, turn it into our laboratory and
- 20 request analysis on certain items. It's assigned to
- 21 an examiner who then examines all the evidence,
- 22 looking for biological stains or anything that may be
- of evidentiary value, goes through the process of 24 extracting D.N.A., obtaining D.N.A. profiles, and then
- making comparisons to known profiles that the law

T <sub>B</sub> /Time	Ca	ase 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed or	01	
		73		75
	1	enforcement agency submits to us.	1	Q. And you didn't were not able to do any
	2	Q. Do any of the local police departments, like	2	further testing on John Henry Ramirez back in 2005
	3	Corpus Christi Police Department, can they do D.N.A.	3	because you did not have his blood sample.
	4	testing?	4	A. That's correct.
	5	A. No. They do not have a D.N.A. unit.	5	Q. And your blood sample was received by your
	6	Q. And do you is your lab certified to do	6	lab this year, I think you said March?
	7	D.N.A. testing?	7	A. March.
	8	A. Yes, we are. And I we are accredited by	8	Q. Of 2008?
	9	the Association of Crime Lab Directors, and we are	9	<ul><li>A. Yes, March of 2008.</li><li>Q. Is that when your lab received a blood sample</li></ul>
	10	also accredited by D.P.S. to perform D.N.A. analysis.	10	Q. Is that when your lab received a blood sample of the Defendant, John Henry Ramirez?
- 1.	11	Q. I may have used the wrong word, "certified,"	11	A. Yes, it is.
- 1	12	it's really accredited.	12	Q. Okay. So, essentially, you did initial
	13	<ul><li>A. That's correct.</li><li>Q. Yours is an accredited facility and has been.</li></ul>	14	reports with what you had at the time
- 1	14	<ul><li>Q. Yours is an accredited facility and has been.</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	15	A. Yes.
- 1	15	Q. I want to direct your attention now to this	16	Q right?
-	16	case and I want to ask you a couple of preliminary	17	A. Yes.
	17 18	questions. First of all, how many reports were issued	18	Q. And I'm talking about back in 2004. But by
	19	in this case involving State of Texas versus John	19	the time in 2008, you had already been bumped up and
	20	Henry Ramirez?	20	you are now a supervisor, correct?
	21	A. There are three reports and one amended	21	A. That's correct.
	22	report.	22	Q. And so you had one of your assistants do the
- 1	23	Q. Okay. Were those reports either done by you	23	the rest of the testing once his known sample got
	24	or the other lady, Robin Olson Castro?	24	to your lab.
	25	A. Yes, they were.	25	A. Yes.
- 1			25	Λ. 165.
		74	25	76
	1		1	
		74		76
	1	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the	1	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the
	1 2	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did	1 2	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab
	1 2 3	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?	1 2 3	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro.
	1 2 3 4	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?  A. I originally did this analysis in 2005.	1 2 3 4	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro.  A. Yes.
	1 2 3 4 5	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?  A. I originally did this analysis in 2005.  Since that time I have left the bench as a D.N.A.	1 2 3 4 5	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro.  A. Yes.  Q. But you, again, supervised that and you have
	1 2 3 4 5	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?  A. I originally did this analysis in 2005.  Since that time I have left the bench as a D.N.A. examiner and no longer participate in the proficiency	1 2 3 4 5	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro. A. Yes. Q. But you, again, supervised that and you have her report and you've collaborated with her on those.
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?  A. I originally did this analysis in 2005.  Since that time I have left the bench as a D.N.A. examiner and no longer participate in the proficiency test cycle, therefore in 2007, a blood sample from	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro.  A. Yes.  Q. But you, again, supervised that and you have her report and you've collaborated with her on those.  A. Well, I have reviewed her work and reviewed
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?  A. I originally did this analysis in 2005.  Since that time I have left the bench as a D.N.A. examiner and no longer participate in the proficiency test cycle, therefore in 2007, a blood sample from John Henry Ramirez was submitted to the laboratory,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro. A. Yes. Q. But you, again, supervised that and you have her report and you've collaborated with her on those. A. Well, I have reviewed her work and reviewed her report.
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?  A. I originally did this analysis in 2005.  Since that time I have left the bench as a D.N.A. examiner and no longer participate in the proficiency test cycle, therefore in 2007, a blood sample from John Henry Ramirez was submitted to the laboratory, and, at that time, I was not performing D.N.A.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro.  A. Yes. Q. But you, again, supervised that and you have her report and you've collaborated with her on those. A. Well, I have reviewed her work and reviewed her report. Q. I keep saying, "collaborated." I didn't mean
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?  A. I originally did this analysis in 2005.  Since that time I have left the bench as a D.N.A. examiner and no longer participate in the proficiency test cycle, therefore in 2007, a blood sample from John Henry Ramirez was submitted to the laboratory, and, at that time, I was not performing D.N.A. examinations, so this was given the another examiner	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro.  A. Yes. Q. But you, again, supervised that and you have her report and you've collaborated with her on those. A. Well, I have reviewed her work and reviewed her report. Q. I keep saying, "collaborated." I didn't mean to say it that way. But you're aware of her report and the results she obtained. A. Yes, I am.
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?  A. I originally did this analysis in 2005.  Since that time I have left the bench as a D.N.A. examiner and no longer participate in the proficiency test cycle, therefore in 2007, a blood sample from John Henry Ramirez was submitted to the laboratory, and, at that time, I was not performing D.N.A. examinations, so this was given the another examiner to obtain a D.N.A. profile from him and compare that profile to profiles that I had previously obtained in 2005.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro.  A. Yes. Q. But you, again, supervised that and you have her report and you've collaborated with her on those. A. Well, I have reviewed her work and reviewed her report. Q. I keep saying, "collaborated." I didn't mean to say it that way. But you're aware of her report and the results she obtained. A. Yes, I am. Q. And did and in reviewing her reports, you
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?  A. I originally did this analysis in 2005.  Since that time I have left the bench as a D.N.A. examiner and no longer participate in the proficiency test cycle, therefore in 2007, a blood sample from John Henry Ramirez was submitted to the laboratory, and, at that time, I was not performing D.N.A. examinations, so this was given the another examiner to obtain a D.N.A. profile from him and compare that profile to profiles that I had previously obtained in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro.  A. Yes. Q. But you, again, supervised that and you have her report and you've collaborated with her on those. A. Well, I have reviewed her work and reviewed her report. Q. I keep saying, "collaborated." I didn't mean to say it that way. But you're aware of her report and the results she obtained. A. Yes, I am.
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?  A. I originally did this analysis in 2005.  Since that time I have left the bench as a D.N.A. examiner and no longer participate in the proficiency test cycle, therefore in 2007, a blood sample from John Henry Ramirez was submitted to the laboratory, and, at that time, I was not performing D.N.A. examinations, so this was given the another examiner to obtain a D.N.A. profile from him and compare that profile to profiles that I had previously obtained in 2005.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro.  A. Yes. Q. But you, again, supervised that and you have her report and you've collaborated with her on those. A. Well, I have reviewed her work and reviewed her report. Q. I keep saying, "collaborated." I didn't mean to say it that way. But you're aware of her report and the results she obtained. A. Yes, I am. Q. And did and in reviewing her reports, you agree with them. A. Yes, I do.
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?  A. I originally did this analysis in 2005.  Since that time I have left the bench as a D.N.A. examiner and no longer participate in the proficiency test cycle, therefore in 2007, a blood sample from John Henry Ramirez was submitted to the laboratory, and, at that time, I was not performing D.N.A. examinations, so this was given the another examiner to obtain a D.N.A. profile from him and compare that profile to profiles that I had previously obtained in 2005.  Q. Okay. Let me back up on the date. Are you sure it was you got the one from him in 2007 or was it 2008?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro.  A. Yes. Q. But you, again, supervised that and you have her report and you've collaborated with her on those. A. Well, I have reviewed her work and reviewed her report. Q. I keep saying, "collaborated." I didn't mean to say it that way. But you're aware of her report and the results she obtained. A. Yes, I am. Q. And did and in reviewing her reports, you agree with them. A. Yes, I do. Q. Did I ask you before the trial, some time
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?  A. I originally did this analysis in 2005.  Since that time I have left the bench as a D.N.A. examiner and no longer participate in the proficiency test cycle, therefore in 2007, a blood sample from John Henry Ramirez was submitted to the laboratory, and, at that time, I was not performing D.N.A. examinations, so this was given the another examiner to obtain a D.N.A. profile from him and compare that profile to profiles that I had previously obtained in 2005.  Q. Okay. Let me back up on the date. Are you sure it was you got the one from him in 2007 or was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro.  A. Yes. Q. But you, again, supervised that and you have her report and you've collaborated with her on those. A. Well, I have reviewed her work and reviewed her report. Q. I keep saying, "collaborated." I didn't mean to say it that way. But you're aware of her report and the results she obtained. A. Yes, I am. Q. And did and in reviewing her reports, you agree with them. A. Yes, I do. Q. Did I ask you before the trial, some time ago, to come up with a chart or help us make a chart
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?  A. I originally did this analysis in 2005. Since that time I have left the bench as a D.N.A. examiner and no longer participate in the proficiency test cycle, therefore in 2007, a blood sample from John Henry Ramirez was submitted to the laboratory, and, at that time, I was not performing D.N.A. examinations, so this was given the another examiner to obtain a D.N.A. profile from him and compare that profile to profiles that I had previously obtained in 2005.  Q. Okay. Let me back up on the date. Are you sure it was you got the one from him in 2007 or was it 2008?  A. Let me look. I'm sorry, it was submitted in March of 2008.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro.  A. Yes. Q. But you, again, supervised that and you have her report and you've collaborated with her on those. A. Well, I have reviewed her work and reviewed her report. Q. I keep saying, "collaborated." I didn't mean to say it that way. But you're aware of her report and the results she obtained. A. Yes, I am. Q. And did and in reviewing her reports, you agree with them. A. Yes, I do. Q. Did I ask you before the trial, some time ago, to come up with a chart or help us make a chart of all the items that you've tested in this case?
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?  A. I originally did this analysis in 2005.  Since that time I have left the bench as a D.N.A. examiner and no longer participate in the proficiency test cycle, therefore in 2007, a blood sample from John Henry Ramirez was submitted to the laboratory, and, at that time, I was not performing D.N.A. examinations, so this was given the another examiner to obtain a D.N.A. profile from him and compare that profile to profiles that I had previously obtained in 2005.  Q. Okay. Let me back up on the date. Are you sure it was you got the one from him in 2007 or was it 2008?  A. Let me look. I'm sorry, it was submitted in March of 2008.  Q. So, essentially, for the jury, you did some	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro.  A. Yes. Q. But you, again, supervised that and you have her report and you've collaborated with her on those. A. Well, I have reviewed her work and reviewed her report. Q. I keep saying, "collaborated." I didn't mean to say it that way. But you're aware of her report and the results she obtained. A. Yes, I am. Q. And did and in reviewing her reports, you agree with them. A. Yes, I do. Q. Did I ask you before the trial, some time ago, to come up with a chart or help us make a chart of all the items that you've tested in this case? A. Yes.
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?  A. I originally did this analysis in 2005. Since that time I have left the bench as a D.N.A. examiner and no longer participate in the proficiency test cycle, therefore in 2007, a blood sample from John Henry Ramirez was submitted to the laboratory, and, at that time, I was not performing D.N.A. examinations, so this was given the another examiner to obtain a D.N.A. profile from him and compare that profile to profiles that I had previously obtained in 2005.  Q. Okay. Let me back up on the date. Are you sure it was you got the one from him in 2007 or was it 2008?  A. Let me look. I'm sorry, it was submitted in March of 2008.  Q. So, essentially, for the jury, you did some blood testing in D.N.A. with the samples that you had	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro.  A. Yes.  Q. But you, again, supervised that and you have her report and you've collaborated with her on those.  A. Well, I have reviewed her work and reviewed her report.  Q. I keep saying, "collaborated." I didn't mean to say it that way. But you're aware of her report and the results she obtained.  A. Yes, I am.  Q. And did and in reviewing her reports, you agree with them.  A. Yes, I do.  Q. Did I ask you before the trial, some time ago, to come up with a chart or help us make a chart of all the items that you've tested in this case?  A. Yes.  Q. In fact, offhand, there was probably 60, 70
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?  A. I originally did this analysis in 2005.  Since that time I have left the bench as a D.N.A. examiner and no longer participate in the proficiency test cycle, therefore in 2007, a blood sample from John Henry Ramirez was submitted to the laboratory, and, at that time, I was not performing D.N.A. examinations, so this was given the another examiner to obtain a D.N.A. profile from him and compare that profile to profiles that I had previously obtained in 2005.  Q. Okay. Let me back up on the date. Are you sure it was you got the one from him in 2007 or was it 2008?  A. Let me look. I'm sorry, it was submitted in March of 2008.  Q. So, essentially, for the jury, you did some blood testing in D.N.A. with the samples that you had at the time, which would have been Pablo Castro, the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro.  A. Yes. Q. But you, again, supervised that and you have her report and you've collaborated with her on those. A. Well, I have reviewed her work and reviewed her report. Q. I keep saying, "collaborated." I didn't mean to say it that way. But you're aware of her report and the results she obtained. A. Yes, I am. Q. And did and in reviewing her reports, you agree with them. A. Yes, I do. Q. Did I ask you before the trial, some time ago, to come up with a chart or help us make a chart of all the items that you've tested in this case? A. Yes. Q. In fact, offhand, there was probably 60, 70 or more items that were actually tested by the lab,
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?  A. I originally did this analysis in 2005.  Since that time I have left the bench as a D.N.A. examiner and no longer participate in the proficiency test cycle, therefore in 2007, a blood sample from John Henry Ramirez was submitted to the laboratory, and, at that time, I was not performing D.N.A. examinations, so this was given the another examiner to obtain a D.N.A. profile from him and compare that profile to profiles that I had previously obtained in 2005.  Q. Okay. Let me back up on the date. Are you sure it was you got the one from him in 2007 or was it 2008?  A. Let me look. I'm sorry, it was submitted in March of 2008.  Q. So, essentially, for the jury, you did some blood testing in D.N.A. with the samples that you had at the time, which would have been Pablo Castro, the victim in this case; Angela Rodriguez, a suspect in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro.  A. Yes. Q. But you, again, supervised that and you have her report and you've collaborated with her on those. A. Well, I have reviewed her work and reviewed her report. Q. I keep saying, "collaborated." I didn't mean to say it that way. But you're aware of her report and the results she obtained. A. Yes, I am. Q. And did and in reviewing her reports, you agree with them. A. Yes, I do. Q. Did I ask you before the trial, some time ago, to come up with a chart or help us make a chart of all the items that you've tested in this case? A. Yes. Q. In fact, offhand, there was probably 60, 70 or more items that were actually tested by the lab, correct?
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?  A. I originally did this analysis in 2005. Since that time I have left the bench as a D.N.A. examiner and no longer participate in the proficiency test cycle, therefore in 2007, a blood sample from John Henry Ramirez was submitted to the laboratory, and, at that time, I was not performing D.N.A. examinations, so this was given the another examiner to obtain a D.N.A. profile from him and compare that profile to profiles that I had previously obtained in 2005.  Q. Okay. Let me back up on the date. Are you sure it was you got the one from him in 2007 or was it 2008?  A. Let me look. I'm sorry, it was submitted in March of 2008.  Q. So, essentially, for the jury, you did some blood testing in D.N.A. with the samples that you had at the time, which would have been Pablo Castro, the victim in this case; Angela Rodriguez, a suspect in this case; and Christina Chavez, another suspect in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro.  A. Yes.  Q. But you, again, supervised that and you have her report and you've collaborated with her on those.  A. Well, I have reviewed her work and reviewed her report.  Q. I keep saying, "collaborated." I didn't mean to say it that way. But you're aware of her report and the results she obtained.  A. Yes, I am.  Q. And did and in reviewing her reports, you agree with them.  A. Yes, I do.  Q. Did I ask you before the trial, some time ago, to come up with a chart or help us make a chart of all the items that you've tested in this case?  A. Yes.  Q. In fact, offhand, there was probably 60, 70 or more items that were actually tested by the lab, correct?  A. I have to go back and count them, but there
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Can you tell us why another person did the analyst analysis along with you or after you did your initial one?  A. I originally did this analysis in 2005.  Since that time I have left the bench as a D.N.A. examiner and no longer participate in the proficiency test cycle, therefore in 2007, a blood sample from John Henry Ramirez was submitted to the laboratory, and, at that time, I was not performing D.N.A. examinations, so this was given the another examiner to obtain a D.N.A. profile from him and compare that profile to profiles that I had previously obtained in 2005.  Q. Okay. Let me back up on the date. Are you sure it was you got the one from him in 2007 or was it 2008?  A. Let me look. I'm sorry, it was submitted in March of 2008.  Q. So, essentially, for the jury, you did some blood testing in D.N.A. with the samples that you had at the time, which would have been Pablo Castro, the victim in this case; Angela Rodriguez, a suspect in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And I'm just trying to get the jury the sequence of events. And so based on that another lab report was issued by Ms. Castro.  A. Yes. Q. But you, again, supervised that and you have her report and you've collaborated with her on those. A. Well, I have reviewed her work and reviewed her report. Q. I keep saying, "collaborated." I didn't mean to say it that way. But you're aware of her report and the results she obtained. A. Yes, I am. Q. And did and in reviewing her reports, you agree with them. A. Yes, I do. Q. Did I ask you before the trial, some time ago, to come up with a chart or help us make a chart of all the items that you've tested in this case? A. Yes. Q. In fact, offhand, there was probably 60, 70 or more items that were actually tested by the lab, correct?

C	ase 2:12-cv-00410 Document <u>31-50 Filed o</u>	<u>า 01</u>	./26/15 In TXSD Page 23 07 54
	77		79
1	by making a chart showing what items were tested and	1	MR. SKURKA: Those charts are so wide
2	what the results of those tests were?	2	they don't fit on the ELMO projector, so I took the
3	A. Yes, we did.	3	liberty of making 13 copies of what the exhibits were.
4	Q. Are those charts would those charts help	4	I've showed them to Mr. Garza. I don't think there's
5	aid the jury in recognizing the results of your	5	objection to it, but it might be easier for the jurors
6	testing?	6	to follow along with that
7	A. Yes.	7	THE COURT: That's fine.
8	MR. SKURKA: Your Honor, I tender State's	8	, MR. SKURKA: instead of having to keep
9	Exhibit 196 and well, first of all, I'll tender	9	going back and forth on the ELMO.
10	them to defense to the witness.	10	THE COURT: That's fine.
11	Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) Do you recognize what's been	11	MR. SKURKA: And I've laid them out there
12	marked as 196 and 197?	12	for them.
13	A. Yes. This is a chart of the D.N.A. results	13	THE COURT: All right. I'll I'll
14	that Robin Castro and I prepared for you.	14	explain that to the jurors.
15	Q. Okay. Could you say the number of the	15	MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Judge.
16	exhibit, please.	16	THE COURT: Anything else?
17	A. It's Exhibit 19 State's Exhibit 197.	17	MR. SKURKA: No, Your Honor.
18	Q. And what's 196?	18	MR. JONES: So we need a legal size ELMO.
19	A. State's Exhibit 196 is a summary of the	19	THE COURT: I guess so. All right.
20	serology results and D.N.A. results of all the items	20	Let's bring them in.
21	that were tested.	21	MR. SKURKA: I am going to use the ELMO
22	Q. Again, did you review that with Ms. Olson	22	in some places.
23	Castro and agree with those, too?	23	(Jury enters courtroom.)
24	A. Yes, I did.	24	THE COURT: All right. Be seated,
25	MR. SKURKA: Okay. Your Honor, I've	25	please.
25	Pik. Skokka. Okay. Tour Honor, 1 ve		picase:
	78		80
1	78 already previously given this to Defense, but I'll	1	
1 2	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll		All right, ladies and gentlemen, before
2	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them	1 2 3	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into
2 3	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.		All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those
2 3 4	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.	2 3 4	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those.
2 3 4 5	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.	2 3 4 5	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've
2 3 4 5 6	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) For the record, I'm going to	2 3 4	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've given you copies so that you can follow the testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) For the record, I'm going to show you 196 first. Is that essentially a master list	2 3 4 5 6 7	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've given you copies so that you can follow the testimony.  All right. You may proceed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) For the record, I'm going to show you 196 first. Is that essentially a master list of all the items that were tested, and 197 would be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've given you copies so that you can follow the testimony.  All right. You may proceed.  MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Your Honor. May
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) For the record, I'm going to show you 196 first. Is that essentially a master list of all the items that were tested, and 197 would be a list of the ones that matches were obtained with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've given you copies so that you can follow the testimony.  All right. You may proceed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) For the record, I'm going to show you 196 first. Is that essentially a master list of all the items that were tested, and 197 would be a list of the ones that matches were obtained with people involved in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've given you copies so that you can follow the testimony.  All right. You may proceed.  MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Your Honor. May I approach?  THE COURT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) For the record, I'm going to show you 196 first. Is that essentially a master list of all the items that were tested, and 197 would be a list of the ones that matches were obtained with people involved in this case?  A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've given you copies so that you can follow the testimony. All right. You may proceed. MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Your Honor. May I approach? THE COURT: Yes. Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) I show you what's now been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) For the record, I'm going to show you 196 first. Is that essentially a master list of all the items that were tested, and 197 would be a list of the ones that matches were obtained with people involved in this case?  A. That's correct.  MR. SKURKA: Your Honor, this might be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've given you copies so that you can follow the testimony.  All right. You may proceed.  MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Your Honor. May I approach?  THE COURT: Yes.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) I show you what's now been marked as State's Exhibit No. 198. Can you identify
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) For the record, I'm going to show you 196 first. Is that essentially a master list of all the items that were tested, and 197 would be a list of the ones that matches were obtained with people involved in this case?  A. That's correct.  MR. SKURKA: Your Honor, this might be a good time to take a break, because we still have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've given you copies so that you can follow the testimony.  All right. You may proceed.  MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Your Honor. May I approach?  THE COURT: Yes.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) I show you what's now been marked as State's Exhibit No. 198. Can you identify that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) For the record, I'm going to show you 196 first. Is that essentially a master list of all the items that were tested, and 197 would be a list of the ones that matches were obtained with people involved in this case?  A. That's correct.  MR. SKURKA: Your Honor, this might be a good time to take a break, because we still have  I'm ready to go into the actual evidence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've given you copies so that you can follow the testimony.  All right. You may proceed.  MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Your Honor. May I approach?  THE COURT: Yes.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) I show you what's now been marked as State's Exhibit No. 198. Can you identify that?  A. Yes. State's Exhibit 198 is the copy of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) For the record, I'm going to show you 196 first. Is that essentially a master list of all the items that were tested, and 197 would be a list of the ones that matches were obtained with people involved in this case?  A. That's correct.  MR. SKURKA: Your Honor, this might be a good time to take a break, because we still have  I'm ready to go into the actual evidence.  THE COURT: All right. Let's take a few,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've given you copies so that you can follow the testimony.  All right. You may proceed.  MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Your Honor. May I approach?  THE COURT: Yes.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) I show you what's now been marked as State's Exhibit No. 198. Can you identify that?  A. Yes. State's Exhibit 198 is the copy of the notes that I took as I examined each item of evidence,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) For the record, I'm going to show you 196 first. Is that essentially a master list of all the items that were tested, and 197 would be a list of the ones that matches were obtained with people involved in this case?  A. That's correct.  MR. SKURKA: Your Honor, this might be a good time to take a break, because we still have  I'm ready to go into the actual evidence.  THE COURT: All right. Let's take a few, ladies and gentlemen. All rise for the jury.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've given you copies so that you can follow the testimony.  All right. You may proceed.  MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Your Honor. May I approach?  THE COURT: Yes.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) I show you what's now been marked as State's Exhibit No. 198. Can you identify that?  A. Yes. State's Exhibit 198 is the copy of the notes that I took as I examined each item of evidence, other than the swabbings. And it shows the stains
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) For the record, I'm going to show you 196 first. Is that essentially a master list of all the items that were tested, and 197 would be a list of the ones that matches were obtained with people involved in this case?  A. That's correct.  MR. SKURKA: Your Honor, this might be a good time to take a break, because we still have  I'm ready to go into the actual evidence.  THE COURT: All right. Let's take a few, ladies and gentlemen. All rise for the jury.  (Jury exits courtroom.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've given you copies so that you can follow the testimony.  All right. You may proceed.  MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Your Honor. May I approach?  THE COURT: Yes.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) I show you what's now been marked as State's Exhibit No. 198. Can you identify that?  A. Yes. State's Exhibit 198 is the copy of the notes that I took as I examined each item of evidence, other than the swabbings. And it shows the stains that were tested and where they were cut from.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) For the record, I'm going to show you 196 first. Is that essentially a master list of all the items that were tested, and 197 would be a list of the ones that matches were obtained with people involved in this case?  A. That's correct.  MR. SKURKA: Your Honor, this might be a good time to take a break, because we still have  I'm ready to go into the actual evidence.  THE COURT: All right. Let's take a few, ladies and gentlemen. All rise for the jury.  (Jury exits courtroom.)  (Short recess.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've given you copies so that you can follow the testimony.  All right. You may proceed.  MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Your Honor. May I approach?  THE COURT: Yes.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) I show you what's now been marked as State's Exhibit No. 198. Can you identify that?  A. Yes. State's Exhibit 198 is the copy of the notes that I took as I examined each item of evidence, other than the swabbings. And it shows the stains that were tested and where they were cut from.  Q. For the record, State's Exhibit No. 198 is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) For the record, I'm going to show you 196 first. Is that essentially a master list of all the items that were tested, and 197 would be a list of the ones that matches were obtained with people involved in this case?  A. That's correct.  MR. SKURKA: Your Honor, this might be a good time to take a break, because we still have I'm ready to go into the actual evidence.  THE COURT: All right. Let's take a few, ladies and gentlemen. All rise for the jury.  (Jury exits courtroom.)  (Short recess.)  THE COURT: Have a seat, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've given you copies so that you can follow the testimony.  All right. You may proceed.  MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Your Honor. May I approach?  THE COURT: Yes.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) I show you what's now been marked as State's Exhibit No. 198. Can you identify that?  A. Yes. State's Exhibit 198 is the copy of the notes that I took as I examined each item of evidence, other than the swabbings. And it shows the stains that were tested and where they were cut from.  Q. For the record, State's Exhibit No. 198 is actually one eight pages, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) For the record, I'm going to show you 196 first. Is that essentially a master list of all the items that were tested, and 197 would be a list of the ones that matches were obtained with people involved in this case?  A. That's correct.  MR. SKURKA: Your Honor, this might be a good time to take a break, because we still have  I'm ready to go into the actual evidence.  THE COURT: All right. Let's take a few, ladies and gentlemen. All rise for the jury.  (Jury exits courtroom.)  (Short recess.)  THE COURT: Have a seat, please.  Okay. We're on the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've given you copies so that you can follow the testimony.  All right. You may proceed.  MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Your Honor. May I approach?  THE COURT: Yes.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) I show you what's now been marked as State's Exhibit No. 198. Can you identify that?  A. Yes. State's Exhibit 198 is the copy of the notes that I took as I examined each item of evidence, other than the swabbings. And it shows the stains that were tested and where they were cut from.  Q. For the record, State's Exhibit No. 198 is actually one eight pages, correct?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) For the record, I'm going to show you 196 first. Is that essentially a master list of all the items that were tested, and 197 would be a list of the ones that matches were obtained with people involved in this case?  A. That's correct.  MR. SKURKA: Your Honor, this might be a good time to take a break, because we still have I'm ready to go into the actual evidence.  THE COURT: All right. Let's take a few, ladies and gentlemen. All rise for the jury.  (Jury exits courtroom.)  (Short recess.)  THE COURT: Have a seat, please.  Okay. We're on the record.  MR. SKURKA: Just briefly, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've given you copies so that you can follow the testimony.  All right. You may proceed.  MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Your Honor. May I approach?  THE COURT: Yes.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) I show you what's now been marked as State's Exhibit No. 198. Can you identify that?  A. Yes. State's Exhibit 198 is the copy of the notes that I took as I examined each item of evidence, other than the swabbings. And it shows the stains that were tested and where they were cut from.  Q. For the record, State's Exhibit No. 198 is actually one eight pages, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And these are from your work notes or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) For the record, I'm going to show you 196 first. Is that essentially a master list of all the items that were tested, and 197 would be a list of the ones that matches were obtained with people involved in this case?  A. That's correct.  MR. SKURKA: Your Honor, this might be a good time to take a break, because we still have I'm ready to go into the actual evidence.  THE COURT: All right. Let's take a few, ladies and gentlemen. All rise for the jury.  (Jury exits courtroom.)  (Short recess.)  THE COURT: Have a seat, please.  Okay. We're on the record.  MR. SKURKA: Just briefly, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've given you copies so that you can follow the testimony.  All right. You may proceed.  MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Your Honor. May I approach?  THE COURT: Yes.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) I show you what's now been marked as State's Exhibit No. 198. Can you identify that?  A. Yes. State's Exhibit 198 is the copy of the notes that I took as I examined each item of evidence, other than the swabbings. And it shows the stains that were tested and where they were cut from.  Q. For the record, State's Exhibit No. 198 is actually one eight pages, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And these are from your work notes or sketches of the items that you tested, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) For the record, I'm going to show you 196 first. Is that essentially a master list of all the items that were tested, and 197 would be a list of the ones that matches were obtained with people involved in this case?  A. That's correct.  MR. SKURKA: Your Honor, this might be a good time to take a break, because we still have  I'm ready to go into the actual evidence.  THE COURT: All right. Let's take a few, ladies and gentlemen. All rise for the jury.  (Jury exits courtroom.)  (Short recess.)  THE COURT: Have a seat, please.  Okay. We're on the record.  MR. SKURKA: Just briefly, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Yes.  MR. SKURKA: I introduced Exhibits No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've given you copies so that you can follow the testimony.  All right. You may proceed.  MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Your Honor. May I approach?  THE COURT: Yes.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) I show you what's now been marked as State's Exhibit No. 198. Can you identify that?  A. Yes. State's Exhibit 198 is the copy of the notes that I took as I examined each item of evidence, other than the swabbings. And it shows the stains that were tested and where they were cut from.  Q. For the record, State's Exhibit No. 198 is actually one eight pages, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And these are from your work notes or sketches of the items that you tested, correct?  A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	already previously given this to Defense, but I'll show them what's marked as 196 and 197, and offer them into evidence.  MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor.  THE COURT: They're admitted.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) For the record, I'm going to show you 196 first. Is that essentially a master list of all the items that were tested, and 197 would be a list of the ones that matches were obtained with people involved in this case?  A. That's correct.  MR. SKURKA: Your Honor, this might be a good time to take a break, because we still have I'm ready to go into the actual evidence.  THE COURT: All right. Let's take a few, ladies and gentlemen. All rise for the jury.  (Jury exits courtroom.)  (Short recess.)  THE COURT: Have a seat, please.  Okay. We're on the record.  MR. SKURKA: Just briefly, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	All right, ladies and gentlemen, before the break a couple of exhibits were introduced into evidence. On the bar, there, you have copies of those exhibits. Each one of you should get one of those. They apparently don't fit neatly on the ELMO, so we've given you copies so that you can follow the testimony.  All right. You may proceed.  MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Your Honor. May I approach?  THE COURT: Yes.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) I show you what's now been marked as State's Exhibit No. 198. Can you identify that?  A. Yes. State's Exhibit 198 is the copy of the notes that I took as I examined each item of evidence, other than the swabbings. And it shows the stains that were tested and where they were cut from.  Q. For the record, State's Exhibit No. 198 is actually one eight pages, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And these are from your work notes or sketches of the items that you tested, correct?

Case 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed on 01/26/15 in TXSD Page 24 of 54 83 1 THE JUROR: Can I ask a question. Some of my basis in selecting stains was the 2 concentration of the stain or how much stain was THE COURT: No. 2 present and the likelihood I felt that I would get a 3 MR. SKURKA: The jury doesn't have this 3 4 copy. 4 D.N.A. profile. Q. What do you mean when you say, 5 5 THE JUROR: Oh, okay. THE COURT: This is a different exhibit. "Representative sample," please? 6 6 7 A. Well, it's not possible to test every single 7 MR. JONES: Okav. stain that is at a crime scene. D.N.A. testing is 8 MR. GARZA: No objection, Your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: It's admitted. 9 involved, it's long, and it's a costly procedure. So 10 MR. SKURKA: Judge, just to clarify it 10 I tested samples from various areas of the van, in order to obtain the D.N.A. profiles. If it was deemed 11 for the record and the jurors, State's 198 is not the 11 it was necessary to test -- do additional testing 12 same thing they have in their hands. These will fit later on, so -- just some of the testing failed, then on the ELMO, so we can use that -- ELMO for that, but 13 13 these other charts aren't going to fit on there. 14 that option was used also. 14 15 Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) Okay. Ms. Smith, let's --15 Q. Let's look at, say, for example, No. 1 on that list. It's identified as a "swab from the front let's pick it up, and I'm going to show you those 16 16 other two exhibits, 196 and 197. And so the jury will 17 passenger side door panel of the Ford van." It says, 17 18 know which one we're talking about, this diagram I'm 18 "Apparent blood, but no D.N.A. evidence performed -analysis performed." Explain why not. 19 -- I'm sorry, that chart is what I've referred to as 19 the master list, correct? 20 A. Yes. This was a very faint stain, and so, 20 instead I selected the swab from the passenger side 21 A. Yes. 21 door handle, rather than the door panel. It would be Q. And that shows, if you look at the last page, 22 there was some 62 items tested or looked at? 23 in close proximity to one another. 23 24 Q. Okay. 24 A. Yes. 25 25 Q. Now, did you find matches for D.N.A. purposes A. And obtained a D.N.A. profile. on all those 62 items? Q. So, for example, if you're looking at items 1 1 2 one and two on this list, since you had them both from A. No, only on some of them. Only some of the 2 3 items were selected for D.N.A. testing. the same area, you only tested one of them. 4 Q. Okay. But that is the list of all the items 4 A. That's correct. Q. That's not to say that wasn't John Henry 5 that were given you to look or observe and try to get 5 Ramirez' blood on there, that's just to say you didn't D.N.A. from. 6 6 7 test it. 7 A. Yes, it is. A. I didn't test it, so I can't tell you whose Q. Okay. Why -- I'm sorry, is the second list, 8 8 9 this chart, that looks more like a horizontal chart 9 blood it was. 10 Q. Did you feel the need to test it or did you 10 than a vertical chart, that would be -- where it says 11 "D.N.A. Results," that's the one where the matches 11 think you had a representative sample? A. No, I felt that was a representative sample. 12 were made, correct? 12 13 Q. Looking at No. 3, for an example, "Swab from 13 A. Yes. Those were the results for all the the ignition switch of the Ford van," and it says, 14 items that I tested for D.N.A. 14 although there was apparent blood, "No D.N.A. analysis 15 Q. Okay. Now, that we have that straight, I 15 were performed." Why not a D.N.A. analysis are want to show you, go back to 196, there's -- there's a 16 16 17 performed on that? lot of items on there, but some of those items do not 17 18 have -- they say things like, "no testing done," or 18 A. Again, I selected a nearby location from the

"Trace amount not -- not tested." I guess, what I'm

trying to ask is, did you try to get D.N.A. from each

A. No. I selected a representative sample of

were submitted to me from a Ford van. I selected a

representative sample of stains from the Ford van.

items from certain areas. For example, numerous items

and every one of those 62 items?

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

steering wheel of the Ford van.

of the stains to each other.

A. No. 4.

Q. And, for the record, you're looking at No. 4.

Q. So you actually had two things, one from the

steering wheel and one from the ignition switch, but

you just tested one of them because of the proximity

19

20

21

22

23

24

Case 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed on 01/26/15 in TXSD Page 25 of 54 87 A. Yes. 1 A. Yes. -1 2 Q. Okay. I'm going to switch from that list, 2 Q. Is there a need, for example, if you had, oh, now, to what we call the D.N.A. testing, that's the 3 my gosh, six stains from all parts of the steering wheel, say, we're going around the signal -- the master list, and I want to switch to the second list, 4 4 if I could, please. Now, your testimony -- and that's steering wheel, do you need to test every stain on the 5 5 6 six different locations on the steering wheel? State's Exhibit No. 197? 7 7 A. I would not typically test every stain. I A. Yes, 197. would select some stains. What I -- what I'm looking Q. Okay. If everybody has their copy of that, 8 8 to do is to, depending upon the circumstances, explain what the -- across the road -- I'm sorry 9 10 across the column, what that means. associate a victim with the crime scene, a suspect 10 A. Well, the first column describes the item with the crime scene, or the crime scene with the 11 11 number and a description of the evidence that was 12 victim or the suspect, to interrelate those 12 submitted to me. For example, in the very first row, participants in the crime. And once I have done that, 13 13 then that element has been established. 14 it says, "Item 2, apparent blood was detected on the 14 passenger door handle swab from the Ford van." And Q. Okay. So, again, would it be necessary to 15 15 then a description of where it was taken, which is 16 test all those things? 16 actually repetitive, it was from the passenger side 17 A. No. 17 door handle. It was then collected by Bill Kirksey Q. Earlier in the testimony we had somebody talk 18 18 about a pool of blood that was kind of a widespread and submitted to our laboratory on August 16th, of 19 19 pool of blood at the scene. And it was asked whether 200-- of August 16th of 2004, and it was submitted by 20 20 they should -- need to examine every two inches of Bill Kirksey. I then examined and performed the test 21 21 22 22 on that item of evidence. that stain from the top of the stain to the side of 23 the stain to the -- each end of the stain. Is that 23 Then the final column, it says, "D.N.A. necessary in something like that? 24 Results," describes the type of profile that I 24 25 obtained, which was a single source profile, which was A. No, sir. 25 86 later found to be consistent with the D.N.A. profile 1 Q. So, if the I.D. tech was to testify that he did not take a stain from each part of the blood 2 of John Henry Ramirez. 2 3 And then there's a probability statement. puddle, would that be wrong --3 This is the probability of randomly selecting an 4 A. No, it would not. individual out of the population that is unrelated who 5 5 Q. -- as he trained and your training in could also be a contributor to this profile, and the the scientific community, to take a representative 6 6 7 probability -- this is just one probability listed. 7 sample? This probability is 1 in 17.79 quintillion, and --A. Yes. 8 8 9 Q. Okay. There was also testimony from an 9 Q. Before we start talking about each thing in the -- in the chart, tell us what you mean that you identification technician that there was a shirt that 10 10 had to do that statistical analysis to get those 11 had blood spots all around the front of the shirt, and 11 numbers, and not just in that case, but how you do it his testimony was he didn't need to test all 35 12 12 in D.N.A. testing. bloodstains, he just obtained a representative sample. 13 13 A. Correct. Once I have a match, I can perform 14 Is he doing the right thing there? 14 A. Yes. a statistical analysis, calculating the frequency of 15 15 Q. So that's not unusual to have items submitted the profile with a population. And that translates to 16 16 to you that you don't test, ultimately. --17 if I were to go out into a population and randomly 17 18 A. That's correct. 18 select someone that's not related to -- that's Q. -- for a variety of reasons. So looking at 19 19 unrelated, what is the probability that that person's D.N.A. profile would also match the evidentiary 20 these 62 items, you didn't -- you may not have tested 20 profile? And you can see, particularly in this case, 21 all those and you've listed in the charts what didn't 21 22 the probability is very small that you would have a 22 -- what you didn't test, correct? match from someone else. A. Yes. 23 23 24 Q. Okay. And you're giving us an explanation of 24 Q. Okay. Let's go back to the list again, the

why they didn't -- why you didn't test it, correct?

25

master list. Did you examine all the items on that

С	ase 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed or	n <b>01</b>	./26/15 in TXSD Page 26 of 54
	89		91
1	list marked State's Exhibit 197 and found matches on	1	this D.N.A. profile would be would be 1 in 17.79
2	just those items?	2	quintillion among Caucasians, or 1 in 46.88 sextillion
3	A. (No response.)	3	among Blacks, or 1 in 20.41 quintillion among
4	Q. I'm looking at 197.	4	Hispanics. So based upon these probabilities, I could
5	A. 197, the D.N.A. chart. Yes, I I examined	5	say to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty
6	all of I performed testing on all of these items,	6	that John Henry Ramirez is the source of the stain on
7	with the exception of some of the known blood samples	7	this swab.
	that were submitted.	8	Q. And why is that? Because how many people are
8	Q. I understand. Let's go ahead and go down the	9	on the Earth's surface?
9			A. About six and a half billion.
10	chart, please, now that it's admitted into evidence.	10	
11	What is the No. 1 item on the chart?	11	Q. And how much is a quintillion?
12	A. No. 1 is Item 2. It's a blood swab from the	12	A. It's a very large number.
13	passenger door handle of the Ford van.	13	Q. It's over that, isn't it?
14	MR. SKURKA: May I approach, Your Honor	14	A. It has a it has a quintillion has 15
15	THE COURT: Yes.	15	place values behind the decimal.
16	Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) I'm going to show you what	16	Q. So you can say with a reasonable scientific
17	we've set aside and has already been admitted into	17	certainty that John Henry Ramirez left the blood on
18	evidence as State's Exhibit No. 159. Can you identify	18	the door handle.
19	that?	19	A. Yes, sir.
20	A. Yes, I can identify this by my case number	20	Q. Let's go to the next item. No. 2 says it's
21	and my initials on the evidence.	21	"apparent blood on the steering wheel of Ford van."
22	Q. Did you test State's Exhibit 197?	22	And I'm going to show you what's been marked as
23	A. I tested State's Exhibit 159.	23	State's Exhibit and introduced as State's Exhibit
24	Q. I'm sorry, 159. I'm getting the numbers	24	157. Can you identify that?
25	mixed up. I apologize.	25	A. Again, I can identify this by my case number
	90		92
1	A. Yes, I did.	1	and initials.
2	Q. And does that that item 159 correspond	2	Q. Does 157 represent the swab from the steering
3	to the No. 1 one on the list?	3	wheel of the Ford van?
4	A. Yes, it does.	4	A. Yes, it does.
5	Q. Okay. I think I've got your copy, and you've	5	Q. And, again, could you put the State's exhibit
6	got the I've got the one that's marked State's	6	number on that.
7	Exhibit 197.	7	A. Yes, sir.
8	A. This is mine.	8	Q. What was the result of testing No. 2 to
9	Q. Okay, that's yours?	9	"Apparent blood on steering wheel of the Ford van"?
10	A. This is mine. It was in my case file.	10	A. I obtained a mixture. The minor component of
	Q. Okay.	11	the mixture was consistent with Pablo Castro. The
11		12	major component of the mixture was consistent with
12		13	John Henry Ramirez.
13	that, if you would like to.		
14	Q. That's what I'd like to do because I'd like	14	Q. So would it be fair to say you found both the
15	for you to put the exhibit number that it went to. If	15	victim, Pablo Castro's blood on there, and John Henry
16	you would write in the chart, on 197, what exhibit	16	Ramirez on that swab?
17	number it was in that little box, just put SX-159.	17	A. On
18	A. (Witness complying.)	18	Q. On the steering wheel van steering wheel
19	Q. So, looking at 159, which is identified as	19	of the van.
20	that swab from the Ford van passenger side door	20	A. Yes, sir. The probability of selecting
20			i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i

23

24

21

22

23

24

handle, whose blood was on that?

A. The D.N.A. profile obtained from it was

Ramirez. The probability of selecting an unrelated

person at random who could also be a contributor of

consistent with the D.N.A. profile of John Henry

unrelated person at random who could have contributed

Castro would be approximately 1 in 833 for Caucasians,

22 the minor component that was consistent with Pablo

world population -- I stand corrected, it's 6.3

1 in 722 for Blacks, and 1 in 393 for Hispanics in a

1

6

- 1 billion. In the major component of the profile that
- 2 was consistent with John Henry Ramirez, again, I could
- 3 state to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty
- 4 that John Henry Ramirez is the source of the stain.
- 5 Q. Okay. So we know now that the source of the
  - blood on the steering wheel of the Ford van was Pablo
- 7 -- was John Henry Ramirez.
- 8 A. Yes, sir.

6

- 9 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next one, which is
- 10 marked No. 3 in the list, and it's been identified as
- 11 "Stains A & B, apparent blood on Pablo Castro's
- 12 jeans." Did you test Pablo Castro's jeans?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. And I'm going to show you what's been marked
- 15 as State's Exhibit No. -- do you recognize that item
- 16 I've placed in front of you?
- 17 A. Yes. Again, I recognize this by my case
- 18 number and initials.
- 19 Q. What is it?
- 20 A. 106. It's State's Exhibit 106.
- 21 Q. What is it?
- 22 A. These are jeans from Pablo Castro.
- 23 Q. Did you also have some sketches to show where
- 24 exactly the stains were located on the jeans?
- 25 A. Yes, I do.

94

- 1 Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked
- 2 State's Exhibit 198, up on the ELMO. There should be
- 3 a laser pointer up there. Show us, using the sketch,
- 4 where the bloodstains, if any, were found on there and
- 5 which are the ones you tested.
- 6 A. Well, these are my sketchings of the stains
- 7 that were on the pants. I selected the stain, here,
- 8 Stain A, and tested it for D.N.A.; and I selected
- 9 Stain B down here, and tested it for D.N.A.
- 10 Q. Where were -- where was all the bloodstains
- 11 on all those, how many places was it in?
- 12 A. All of these have the appearance of blood
- 13 that you see marked on this -- on the pants.
- 14 Q. So there's numerous where that shaded area is
- 15 there.
- 16 A. Yes. In fact, this is pretty much
- 17 blood-soaked. In this area was spottings of blood.
- 18 I'm looking for staining by their size and shape that
- 19 may be from someone other than the victim. Typically,
- 20 blood on the victim's clothing is from the victim.
- 21 Q. So of all these other bloodstains you just
- 22 took two representative samples, here and here --
- 23 A. Right.
- Q. -- correct? And can you tell the jury what
- 25 the results of that sample was?

- A. The D.N.A. profile obtained was a single
- 2 source profile consistent with Pablo Castro from both
- 3 Stains A and B.
- 4 Q. So, again, to a scientific certainty, Pablo
- 5 Castro's blood are the ones that's on those jeans.
  - A. Yes. In this instance, the statistics for
- each population group are such that I could state to a
- 8 reasonable scientific certainty those stains are from
- 9 Pablo Castro.
- 10 Q. Thank you. Let's go down to the next list.
- 11 And this one, I think there's about -- the next three,
- 12 which is on the list as 4, 5 and 6. I'm going to show
- 13 you an item that's already been admitted as State's
- 14 Exhibit 148. Can you look at that and examine it and
- 15 see if you recognize that.
- 16 A. Yes. Again, I recognize it by my case number
- 17 and initials.
- 18 Q. What is it?
- 19 A. It is a T-shirt found inside the Ford van.
- 20 Q. Okay. And can you open -- can you hold it up
- 21 and display it for the jury, please.
  - A. (Witness complying.)
  - Q. Is that the same shirt you tested?
- 24 A. Yes.

22

23

- Q. And can you show us where you took the
- 1 samples from.
- 2 A. I cut a blood stain from here, marked Stain
- 3 A, and a blood stain from here marked Stain B. I also
- 4 took cuttings from the collar in an attempt to find
- 5 epithelial cells, Stain C and Stain D.
- 6 Q. So two of the items you were looking for
- 7 blood, and two you were looking for epithelial cells.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Let's go to the sketch now as part of State's
- 10 Exhibit 198. Is that a sketch of the same shirt that
- 11 you did in your work?
- 12 A. Yes, it is.
- 13 Q. Okay. Using the laser pointer, can you show
- 14 us where the bloodstains were and where you took the
- 15 sketch -- the cuttings or samples.
- 16 A. I collected a sample here, marked Stain A,
- 17 and a sample here, marked Stain B, and then cut from
- 18 the neckline, Stain C and Stain D.
- Q. Would it be fair to say there was more
- 20 bloodstains than just the two that you tested here?
- 21 A. Yes. Those are sketches of other areas that
- 23 Q. Okay. Did you test all those?

have the appearance of blood.

24 A. No, I did not.

22

25

Q. Did you need to test all those?

96

	ase 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed o		99
1	A. No, I did not.	1	1.638 billion for Hispanics.
2	Q. Did you feel that this was a representative	2	Q. So what does who you said this was a
3	sample of the bloodstains from that area?	3	mixture. So it is simply a mixture of John Henry
4	A. Yes, sir.	4	Ramirez, Angela Rodriguez and Pablo Castro's.
5	Q. Why do you look at the neckline for	5	A. Correct.
6	epithelial cells?	6	Q. How can we have three people mixed in the
7	A. This T-shirt was found inside the van, so I	7	same place?
8	looked at the neckline because it was relatively free	8	A. Well, there are cells present on that collar
9	of bloodstains, and that's an area that tends to rub	9	from three individuals.
10	against the body. I'm looking to possibly identify	10	Q. And, just for record to be clear, those
11	who may have worn the T-shirt.	11	aren't bloodstains.
12	Q. So a collar of the shirt, for example, would	12	A. I there was no visible bloodstain there.
13	rub against the skin, causing some of those epithelial	13	Q. But you got what I meant was the D.N.A.
14	cells to rub off?	14	was obtained from the skin cells, not the blood.
15	A. It can, yes.	15	A. When I don't see blood, it's logical to
16	Q. Okay. Now that you've looked at that exhibit	16	assume that it comes from skin cells.
17	and tested it, what were the results of your stain	17	Q. Okay. And all three peoples' skin cells were
18	analysis or the epithelial analysis on that exhibit?	18	on that shirt.
19	A. The D.N.A. profile obtained from Stains A	19	A. I mean, there is a possibility of there being
20	from Stains A and Stain B were consistent with the	20	some blood in a minute amount that could not be
21	D.N.A. profile of Pablo Castro.	21	detected.
22	Q. Let's take that one at a time. I'm sorry, go	22	Q. Okay.
23	ahead, were you just going to tell them the	23	A. I'm I'm sorry, but and that could be
24	probability?	24	it could be contamination from one stain over to
25	A. Yes. I can say to a reasonable degree of	25	another. And I have the statistical probabilities for
	98		10
1	scientific certainty that Pablo Castro is the source	1	the matches to Angela Rodriguez and Pablo Castro.
2	of Stain A and Stains B.	2	Q. I I think those are probably already shown
3	Q. So, for the record, the white T-shirt that	3	in the charts. I just want to verify that all of
4	was located inside the white (sic) van, that blood	4	three of them's skin cells were on Stain C.
5	here on the front of the shirt belonged to Pablo	5	A. Correct.
6	Castro came from Pablo Castro.	6	Q. And Stain D, what did that show? And I'm at
7	A. Stains A and B came from Pablo Castro,	7	the top of the second page, would be No. 6.
8	excluding that he	8	A. Stain D was consistent with a mixture from
9	Q. Red van.	9	Angela Rodriguez and John Henry Ramirez.
10	A has an identical twin.	10	Q. So on that stain which one is D, up there?
11	Q. I meant to say red van, instead of white	11	This is D.?
12	white van. Okay, so those came from Pablo Castro.	12	A. Yes.
13	What about the results of the epithelial cells from	13	Q. So on that section of the cutting you took,
14	the neckline?	14	it consists of Angela Rodriguez and John Henry
15	A. I tested Stain C and obtained a mixture that	15	Ramirez'?
16	was consistent with John Henry Ramirez, Angela	16	A. Correct.
17	Rodriguez, and Pablo Castro. And then I have	17	Q. Okay. Let's go to the next item, which is
18	probabilities for those mixtures.	18	marked No. 7, and if I could approach and show you the
19	Q. Tell us what those are, please.	19	next item, and I'll give you the number, would be
20	A. The stains what was consistent with John	20	State's Exhibit No. 153 and 162. That would be
	Henry Ramirez, he could not be excluded, the	21	153, identified as the Visa card itself, and 162 has
21	T.G , Training Ly no obtain not be excluded, the	- T	,
	probability of selecting a unrelated person at random	22	been identified as the blood sample or swab from the
22	probability of selecting a unrelated person at random	22	been identified as the blood sample or swab from the Visa card.
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	probability of selecting a unrelated person at random who could also be a contributor to Stain C on this item is approximately 1 in 7.199 billion for	22 23 24	been identified as the blood sample or swab from the Visa card.  Now, for the record, did you test the card

25 or the swab?

25 Caucasians, 1 in 244.2 billion for Blacks, and 1 in

С	ase 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed o	n 01	1/26/15 in TXSD Page 29 of 54
	101		103
1	A. I test the swab.	1	Pablo Castro?
2	Q. Okay. But the swab came from the Visa card.	2	A. Yes, sir.
3	A. Yes, it was identified to me as coming from	3	Q. The next item were some I'm looking at No.
4	the Visa card.	4	9 on the list, is apparent blood on pizza receipts.
5	Q. What was the results of the testing of the	5	I'm going to show you what's been marked as 161 and
6	blood apparent blood on the Visa card that said	6	160. Those have been identified to the jury as coming
7	Gilbert Lopez, Jr. On it?	7	from the pizza receipts found in Item 152. Did you test
8	A. I obtained a partial D.N.A. profile that was	8	the pizza receipts in this case?
9	consistent with John Henry Ramirez.	9	A. This is a separate receipt.
10	Q. Was there a high probability of it's his	10	Q. I know, 160 and 161. Which one did you test,
11	blood?	11	do you recall?
12	A. The probability of selecting unrelated person	12	A. I repeated State's Exhibit 160.
13	at random who could be a source of this profile is 1	13	Q. Okay. What was the result of your analysis
14	in 243.6 billion for Caucasians, 1 in 17.36 trillion	14	on the pizza receipt?
15	for Blacks, and 1 in 496.8 billion for Hispanics.	15	A. It was apparent blood and I obtained a
16	Q. So with a reasonable degree of scientific	16	partial mixture D.N.A. profile consistent with Angela
17	certainty, did who left the blood on that Visa	17	Rodriguez and Pablo Castro.
18	card?	18	Q. So, again, the the partial mixture means
19	A. Well, I'm not able to make that statement on	19	that there was blood coming from Angela Rodriguez and
20	a partial profile. I just have to leave it with the	20	Pablo Castro there.
21	probability that you have. That's the probability of	21	A. Consistent with Angela Rodriguez and Pablo
22	going out into the population randomly selecting an	22	Castro.
23	individual that their profile would also match this.	23	Q. Angela Rodriguez and Pablo Castro. So we
24	Q. That's the difference of having a full	24	have two people on that.
25	profile and a partial profile.	25	A. Correct. And the probability of randomly
	102		104
1	A. Yes, sir.	1	selecting a person who would match the profile
2	Q. Okay. But that is it would be fair to say	2	consistent with Angela Rodriguez is 1 in 545.6 billion
3	that is a high probability; is it not?	3	for Caucasians, 1 in 474.8 trillion for Blacks, and 1 $$
4	A. Yes, sir.	4	in 13.13 billion for Hispanics. And then, likewise,
5	Q. Let's go to the next one. I'm going to show	5	for Pablo Castro, the probability of a random person
6	you State's Exhibit No. 163 and State's Exhibit No	6	matching this profile is 1 in 421.2 million for
7	where is the number? Oh, it's on the bottom. I'm	7	Caucasians, 1 in 17.12 billion for Blacks, and 1 in
8	sorry. On the bottom itself, 150 and 163.	8	154.1 million for Hispanics.
9	A. I have 163 for this.	9	Q. But the bottom line is the blood on the pizza
		"	
10	Q. This is 162.	10	receipt looks like it came from Angela Rodriguez and
	<ul><li>Q. This is 162.</li><li>A. 153.</li></ul>	l	
10		10	receipt looks like it came from Angela Rodriguez and
10 11	A. 153.	10 11	receipt looks like it came from Angela Rodriguez and Pablo Castro?
10 11 12	<ul><li>A. 153.</li><li>Q. 153. Okay. The next item I was showing you</li></ul>	10 11 12	receipt looks like it came from Angela Rodriguez and Pablo Castro?  A. That's correct.
10 11 12 13	<ul><li>A. 153.</li><li>Q. 153. Okay. The next item I was showing you</li><li>is 163 and 150. And those have been identified 163</li></ul>	10 11 12 13	receipt looks like it came from Angela Rodriguez and Pablo Castro?  A. That's correct.  Q. The next item is "apparent blood on an
10 11 12 13 14	A. 153. Q. 153. Okay. The next item I was showing you is 163 and 150. And those have been identified 163 has been identified as a swab taken from this vodka	10 11 12 13 14	receipt looks like it came from Angela Rodriguez and Pablo Castro?  A. That's correct.  Q. The next item is "apparent blood on an armrest swab from the Dodge van." And I show you
10 11 12 13 14 15	A. 153. Q. 153. Okay. The next item I was showing you is 163 and 150. And those have been identified 163 has been identified as a swab taken from this vodka bottle. I know you didn't look at the bottle itself,	10 11 12 13 14 15	receipt looks like it came from Angela Rodriguez and Pablo Castro?  A. That's correct. Q. The next item is "apparent blood on an armrest swab from the Dodge van." And I show you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 165. Did you
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. 153. Q. 153. Okay. The next item I was showing you is 163 and 150. And those have been identified 163 has been identified as a swab taken from this vodka bottle. I know you didn't look at the bottle itself, you looked at the swab, correct?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	receipt looks like it came from Angela Rodriguez and Pablo Castro?  A. That's correct.  Q. The next item is "apparent blood on an armrest swab from the Dodge van." And I show you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 165. Did you test the swab contained in 165 from the armrest of the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. 153. Q. 153. Okay. The next item I was showing you is 163 and 150. And those have been identified 163 has been identified as a swab taken from this vodka bottle. I know you didn't look at the bottle itself, you looked at the swab, correct? A. Yes.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	receipt looks like it came from Angela Rodriguez and Pablo Castro?  A. That's correct. Q. The next item is "apparent blood on an armrest swab from the Dodge van." And I show you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 165. Did you test the swab contained in 165 from the armrest of the Dodge van?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. 153. Q. 153. Okay. The next item I was showing you is 163 and 150. And those have been identified 163 has been identified as a swab taken from this vodka bottle. I know you didn't look at the bottle itself, you looked at the swab, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tell the jury what the results of testing the	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	receipt looks like it came from Angela Rodriguez and Pablo Castro?  A. That's correct.  Q. The next item is "apparent blood on an armrest swab from the Dodge van." And I show you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 165. Did you test the swab contained in 165 from the armrest of the Dodge van?  A. Yes, I did.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. 153. Q. 153. Okay. The next item I was showing you is 163 and 150. And those have been identified 163 has been identified as a swab taken from this vodka bottle. I know you didn't look at the bottle itself, you looked at the swab, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tell the jury what the results of testing the swab on the vodka bottle would be.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	receipt looks like it came from Angela Rodriguez and Pablo Castro?  A. That's correct. Q. The next item is "apparent blood on an armrest swab from the Dodge van." And I show you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 165. Did you test the swab contained in 165 from the armrest of the Dodge van?  A. Yes, I did. Q. With what results?

24

25

22

23

24

25

twin.

reasonable degree of scientific certainty Pablo Castro

Q. So the blood found on that bottle came from

is the source of the stain, excluding an identical

22 state to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty

Q. So you can explain -- you can tell the jury

that Pablo Castro's blood was on the armrest of the

Pablo Castro is the source of this stain.

Case 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed on 01/26/15 in TXSD Page 30 of 54 107 105 gearshift lever. Did you test that swab from the Bic Dodge van? 1 2 2 A. That's correct. lighter? 3 A. Yes, I did. It was an apparent --3 Q. And the next item is dollar bills. And I'm on the top of page 3. Did you test some dollar bills 4 Q. With what results? 4 A. It was an apparent bloodstain consistent with 5 5 in this case? John Henry Ramirez, and I could state to a reasonable 6 6 A. Yes, sir. degree of scientific certainty that John Henry Ramirez 7 Q. I show you what's been marked as State's 7 Exhibit No. 167, which is -- was reported to be a swab is the source of this blood stain, excluding an 8 taken from Item No. 151, the dollar bills. Do you 9 identical twin. 9 Q. So your testimony would be that John Henry recognize that swab? 10 10 Ramirez left the blood on that Bic lighter. A. Yes, I do. 11 11 12 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. What is it? I'm sorry, I think I've already Q. How about the next one where it said, 13 13 explained what it is. Let just ask you what the "Apparent blood on cloth steering wheel cover," what 14 results of your testing was. 14 15 were the results on that? A. It was a swabbing of an apparent bloodstain 15 A. I did not obtain a D.N.A. profile that was 16 on a dollar bill in the Ford van. I obtained a 16 interpretable. mixture of Pablo -- that was -- the major component of 17 17 the mixture was consistent with Pablo Castro, and 18 Q. Explain to the jury when that means. 18 A. Either the quality or quantity of the data therefore, I could state to a reasonable degree of 19 19 20 was such that I could not make a comparison. 20 scientific certainty the stain originated from Pablo Q. There just wasn't enough to test or it was 21 21 Castro. Q. So we know Pablo Castro's blood was on that 22 too degraded to test? 22 23 A. I -- in my opinion, it was too degraded to dollar bill found under the Dickies pants in the Ford 23 24 24 test. van. 25 Q. Is that unusual for blood? 25 A. Yes, sir. 108 106 A. It does happen. 1 Q. The next item is No. 12 on the list, which is 1 2 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next thing which the "apparent blood on gearshift from the Ford van." 2 Did you take a swabbing -- or test a swabbing from the 3 is "apparent blood from envelope swab from the parking 3 gearshift lever on the swab on the Ford van? lot." I believe that would be swab No. -- State's 4 Exhibit No. 108. 108. Did you test the swab in 5 5 A. Yes, I did. 6 State's Exhibit No. 108 that's coming from the 6 Q. And, again, the -- the gearshift lever has envelope from the parking lot containing -- envelope 7 been identified as State's Exhibit 155, but I'll show 7 containing a pay stub from the parking lot? you the exhibit of the swab, which is 166. You have 8 8 A. Yes, I did. There was apparent blood. The 9 9 that? D.N.A. profile was consistent with that of Pablo A. Yes. 10 10 11 Castro, and I could state to a reasonable degree of Q. Whose blood was found on the gearshift lever 11 scientific certainty Pablo Castro is the source of of the Ford van? 12 12 A. I obtained a profile consistent with Pablo 13 this stain. 13 Q. So that was Pablo Castro's blood on the pay Castro, and I could state to reasonable degree of 14 14 stub and envelope. 15 15 scientific certainty he is the source of the stain. Q. So Pablo Castro's blood was found on the 16 A. Yes, sir. 16 Q. The next page, and I'm looking at the top of gearshift lever. 17 17 page 4, did you then test a "swab from the Ford van 18 18 A. Yes, sir. front passenger side door pocket"? 19 Q. The next item is reported to be a Bic lighter 19 A. Yes, I did. found in the Ford van. Did you test a swab or the Bic 20 20 Q. That has been admitted -- that swab has been 21 lighter itself in that case? 21

22

23

22

23

24

25

A. Yes, sir. I tested the swab.

Q. Okay. And, for the record, the Bic lighter

has already been introduced as State's Exhibit No.

156, and 164 would be the swab taken from the

24 analysis of the blood on the Ford van front passenger 25 side door pocket?

admitted in evidence as State's Exhibit 158. And

going across the chart, what was the results of your

	ase 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed o	11 O I	<u>/26/15 in TXSD Page 31 of 54</u>
	109		111
1	A. It was an apparent blood stain. The D.N.A.	1	A. Yes, sir.
2	profile was consistent with John Henry Ramirez, and I	2	Q. What were the results of testing the stains
3	could state to a reasonable degree of scientific	3	on Christina Chavez' T-shirt or tank top?
4	certainty that John Henry Ramirez is the source of	4	A. From Stain A, this stain, I obtained a
5	this stain, excluding an identical twin.	5	mixture. The major component of that mixture was
6	Q. So the Defendant in this case, John Henry	6	consistent with Pablo Castro, to the extent that I
7	Ramirez, left the blood on the front door pocket?	7	could say to a reasonable degree of scientific
8	A. Yes, sir.	8	certainty Pablo Castro is the source of Stain A.
9	Q. The next item has several things. I'm	9	Q. So that's Pablo Castro's blood on the back of
10	looking I'm sorry, yeah, on Item 58-F that you've	10	Christina Chavez' shirt?
11	labeled in your chart, that is "Christina Chavez'	11	A. Yes, sir.
12	white tank top." And ask you to open this up, please.	12	Q. And the other stain, Stain C?
13	A. It is packaged well.	13	A. I tested Stain C, an apparent bloodstain, and
14	Q. We know, we've spent a day yesterday	14	obtained a D.N.A. profile consistent with John Henry
15	unpackaging a lot of stuff. If you could display that	15	Ramirez. I could state to a reasonable degree of
16	item to the jury, please, and tell us if you tested	16	scientific certainty John Henry Ramirez is the source
17	that item.	17	of Stain C on the T-shirt.
18	A. This is the item.	18	Q. So your testimony would be John Henry
19	Q. Identified as Christina Chavez' undershirt or	19	Ramirez, the Defendant in this case, left his blood on
20	muscle shirt?	20	the back of Christina's tank top.
21	A. That's correct. I tested several stains and	21	A. Yes, sir.
22	I cut stains from here, Stain A, Stain B and Stain C	22	Q. The next clothing item I have to show has
23	from the back of the T-shirt.	23	been introduced as the shorts worn by the other
24	Q. How about the front? Can you show us the	24	Co-Defendant in this case, Angela Rodriguez. And I'm
25	front of the T-shirt?	25	going to ask you to go ahead and open that up and tell
	110		112
1	A. The front of the T-shirt shows areas that I	1	us if you tested that.
2	tested for the presence of blood. I did not cut	2	A. I did not get the State's exhibit number from
3	stains from the front of the shirt.	3	the T-shirt written down.
4	Q. I'm going to show you that diagram that was	4	
5		'	MR. SKURKA: What's the number for that.
- 1	introduced in evidence, 198. I say, "diagram," it's	5	MR. SKURKA: What's the number for that.  It's on the other bag. Let me get it for
6	introduced in evidence, 198. I say, "diagram," it's really a sketch, I suppose. Using a laser pointer,		
6		5	It's on the other bag. Let me get it for you.  Would that be 199, Mary?
	really a sketch, I suppose. Using a laser pointer,	5	It's on the other bag. Let me get it for you.
7	really a sketch, I suppose. Using a laser pointer, where is the front of the shirt in that picture?	5 6 7	It's on the other bag. Let me get it for you.  Would that be 199, Mary?  COURT REPORTER: No, I don't the next number, or what.
7 8	really a sketch, I suppose. Using a laser pointer, where is the front of the shirt in that picture?  A. This is the front of the shirt.	5 6 7 8	It's on the other bag. Let me get it for you.  Would that be 199, Mary?  COURT REPORTER: No, I don't the next number, or what.  MR. SKURKA: The one that says Angela
7 8 9	really a sketch, I suppose. Using a laser pointer, where is the front of the shirt in that picture?  A. This is the front of the shirt.  Q. And can you show us where you saw	5 6 7 8 9	It's on the other bag. Let me get it for you.  Would that be 199, Mary?  COURT REPORTER: No, I don't the next number, or what.  MR. SKURKA: The one that says Angela Rodriguez' clothes.
7 8 9 10	really a sketch, I suppose. Using a laser pointer, where is the front of the shirt in that picture?  A. This is the front of the shirt.  Q. And can you show us where you saw bloodstains, first, and then show us the bloodstains	5 6 7 8 9	It's on the other bag. Let me get it for you.  Would that be 199, Mary?  COURT REPORTER: No, I don't the next number, or what.  MR. SKURKA: The one that says Angela Rodriguez' clothes.  THE WITNESS: Christina Chavez, the
7 8 9 10 11	really a sketch, I suppose. Using a laser pointer, where is the front of the shirt in that picture?  A. This is the front of the shirt.  Q. And can you show us where you saw bloodstains, first, and then show us the bloodstains that you tested.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	It's on the other bag. Let me get it for you.  Would that be 199, Mary?  COURT REPORTER: No, I don't the next number, or what.  MR. SKURKA: The one that says Angela Rodriguez' clothes.  THE WITNESS: Christina Chavez, the T-shirt.
7 8 9 10 11 12	really a sketch, I suppose. Using a laser pointer, where is the front of the shirt in that picture?  A. This is the front of the shirt.  Q. And can you show us where you saw bloodstains, first, and then show us the bloodstains that you tested.  A. Well, there are some weak staining here that I did some testing on that was positive for apparent blood, but the stains are very small and faint. This	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	It's on the other bag. Let me get it for  you.  Would that be 199, Mary?  COURT REPORTER: No, I don't the next  number, or what.  MR. SKURKA: The one that says Angela  Rodriguez' clothes.  THE WITNESS: Christina Chavez, the  T-shirt.  MR. SKURKA: I'm sorry, Christina Chavez.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	really a sketch, I suppose. Using a laser pointer, where is the front of the shirt in that picture?  A. This is the front of the shirt.  Q. And can you show us where you saw bloodstains, first, and then show us the bloodstains that you tested.  A. Well, there are some weak staining here that I did some testing on that was positive for apparent	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	It's on the other bag. Let me get it for  you.  Would that be 199, Mary?  COURT REPORTER: No, I don't the next number, or what.  MR. SKURKA: The one that says Angela  Rodriguez' clothes.  THE WITNESS: Christina Chavez, the  T-shirt.  MR. SKURKA: I'm sorry, Christina Chavez.  (Pause in proceedings.)
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	really a sketch, I suppose. Using a laser pointer, where is the front of the shirt in that picture?  A. This is the front of the shirt.  Q. And can you show us where you saw bloodstains, first, and then show us the bloodstains that you tested.  A. Well, there are some weak staining here that I did some testing on that was positive for apparent blood, but the stains are very small and faint. This	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	It's on the other bag. Let me get it for  you.  Would that be 199, Mary?  COURT REPORTER: No, I don't the next  number, or what.  MR. SKURKA: The one that says Angela  Rodriguez' clothes.  THE WITNESS: Christina Chavez, the  T-shirt.  MR. SKURKA: I'm sorry, Christina Chavez.  (Pause in proceedings.)  MR. SKURKA: 191. Thank you, Mary.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	really a sketch, I suppose. Using a laser pointer, where is the front of the shirt in that picture?  A. This is the front of the shirt.  Q. And can you show us where you saw bloodstains, first, and then show us the bloodstains that you tested.  A. Well, there are some weak staining here that I did some testing on that was positive for apparent blood, but the stains are very small and faint. This is the back of the shirt. On the back of the shirt we	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	It's on the other bag. Let me get it for  you.  Would that be 199, Mary?  COURT REPORTER: No, I don't the next number, or what.  MR. SKURKA: The one that says Angela  Rodriguez' clothes.  THE WITNESS: Christina Chavez, the  T-shirt.  MR. SKURKA: I'm sorry, Christina Chavez.  (Pause in proceedings.)  MR. SKURKA: 191. Thank you, Mary.  COURT REPORTER: You're welcome.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	really a sketch, I suppose. Using a laser pointer, where is the front of the shirt in that picture?  A. This is the front of the shirt.  Q. And can you show us where you saw bloodstains, first, and then show us the bloodstains that you tested.  A. Well, there are some weak staining here that I did some testing on that was positive for apparent blood, but the stains are very small and faint. This is the back of the shirt. On the back of the shirt we have Stain A, Stain B and Stain C that I also tested for the presence of blood, and I cut these stains for possible D.N.A. analysis.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	It's on the other bag. Let me get it for  you.  Would that be 199, Mary?  COURT REPORTER: No, I don't the next  number, or what.  MR. SKURKA: The one that says Angela  Rodriguez' clothes.  THE WITNESS: Christina Chavez, the  T-shirt.  MR. SKURKA: I'm sorry, Christina Chavez.  (Pause in proceedings.)  MR. SKURKA: 191. Thank you, Mary.  COURT REPORTER: You're welcome.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) Okay. Now, we're going to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	really a sketch, I suppose. Using a laser pointer, where is the front of the shirt in that picture?  A. This is the front of the shirt.  Q. And can you show us where you saw bloodstains, first, and then show us the bloodstains that you tested.  A. Well, there are some weak staining here that I did some testing on that was positive for apparent blood, but the stains are very small and faint. This is the back of the shirt. On the back of the shirt we have Stain A, Stain B and Stain C that I also tested for the presence of blood, and I cut these stains for	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	It's on the other bag. Let me get it for  you.  Would that be 199, Mary?  COURT REPORTER: No, I don't the next number, or what.  MR. SKURKA: The one that says Angela  Rodriguez' clothes.  THE WITNESS: Christina Chavez, the  T-shirt.  MR. SKURKA: I'm sorry, Christina Chavez.  (Pause in proceedings.)  MR. SKURKA: 191. Thank you, Mary.  COURT REPORTER: You're welcome.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) Okay. Now, we're going to the one that's been marked as Angela Rodriguez'
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	really a sketch, I suppose. Using a laser pointer, where is the front of the shirt in that picture?  A. This is the front of the shirt.  Q. And can you show us where you saw bloodstains, first, and then show us the bloodstains that you tested.  A. Well, there are some weak staining here that I did some testing on that was positive for apparent blood, but the stains are very small and faint. This is the back of the shirt. On the back of the shirt we have Stain A, Stain B and Stain C that I also tested for the presence of blood, and I cut these stains for possible D.N.A. analysis.  Q. Why didn't you test or cut out samples from the front of the shirt?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	It's on the other bag. Let me get it for  you.  Would that be 199, Mary?  COURT REPORTER: No, I don't the next  number, or what.  MR. SKURKA: The one that says Angela  Rodriguez' clothes.  THE WITNESS: Christina Chavez, the  T-shirt.  MR. SKURKA: I'm sorry, Christina Chavez.  (Pause in proceedings.)  MR. SKURKA: 191. Thank you, Mary.  COURT REPORTER: You're welcome.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) Okay. Now, we're going to  the one that's been marked as Angela Rodriguez'  shorts, and its number is
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	really a sketch, I suppose. Using a laser pointer, where is the front of the shirt in that picture?  A. This is the front of the shirt.  Q. And can you show us where you saw bloodstains, first, and then show us the bloodstains that you tested.  A. Well, there are some weak staining here that I did some testing on that was positive for apparent blood, but the stains are very small and faint. This is the back of the shirt. On the back of the shirt we have Stain A, Stain B and Stain C that I also tested for the presence of blood, and I cut these stains for possible D.N.A. analysis.  Q. Why didn't you test or cut out samples from	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It's on the other bag. Let me get it for  you.  Would that be 199, Mary?  COURT REPORTER: No, I don't the next number, or what.  MR. SKURKA: The one that says Angela  Rodriguez' clothes.  THE WITNESS: Christina Chavez, the  T-shirt.  MR. SKURKA: I'm sorry, Christina Chavez.  (Pause in proceedings.)  MR. SKURKA: 191. Thank you, Mary.  COURT REPORTER: You're welcome.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) Okay. Now, we're going to the one that's been marked as Angela Rodriguez'  shorts, and its number is  MR. SKURKA: I think that would be is
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	really a sketch, I suppose. Using a laser pointer, where is the front of the shirt in that picture?  A. This is the front of the shirt.  Q. And can you show us where you saw bloodstains, first, and then show us the bloodstains that you tested.  A. Well, there are some weak staining here that I did some testing on that was positive for apparent blood, but the stains are very small and faint. This is the back of the shirt. On the back of the shirt we have Stain A, Stain B and Stain C that I also tested for the presence of blood, and I cut these stains for possible D.N.A. analysis.  Q. Why didn't you test or cut out samples from the front of the shirt?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It's on the other bag. Let me get it for  you.  Would that be 199, Mary?  COURT REPORTER: No, I don't the next  number, or what.  MR. SKURKA: The one that says Angela  Rodriguez' clothes.  THE WITNESS: Christina Chavez, the  T-shirt.  MR. SKURKA: I'm sorry, Christina Chavez.  (Pause in proceedings.)  MR. SKURKA: 191. Thank you, Mary.  COURT REPORTER: You're welcome.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) Okay. Now, we're going to  the one that's been marked as Angela Rodriguez'  shorts, and its number is  MR. SKURKA: I think that would be is  that 190, Mary?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	really a sketch, I suppose. Using a laser pointer, where is the front of the shirt in that picture?  A. This is the front of the shirt.  Q. And can you show us where you saw bloodstains, first, and then show us the bloodstains that you tested.  A. Well, there are some weak staining here that I did some testing on that was positive for apparent blood, but the stains are very small and faint. This is the back of the shirt. On the back of the shirt we have Stain A, Stain B and Stain C that I also tested for the presence of blood, and I cut these stains for possible D.N.A. analysis.  Q. Why didn't you test or cut out samples from the front of the shirt?  A. The stains were very small and the size of the stain didn't lend them well for D.N.A. testing.  Q. So you just decided to do the ones on the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	It's on the other bag. Let me get it for  you.  Would that be 199, Mary?  COURT REPORTER: No, I don't the next  number, or what.  MR. SKURKA: The one that says Angela  Rodriguez' clothes.  THE WITNESS: Christina Chavez, the  T-shirt.  MR. SKURKA: I'm sorry, Christina Chavez.  (Pause in proceedings.)  MR. SKURKA: 191. Thank you, Mary.  COURT REPORTER: You're welcome.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) Okay. Now, we're going to  the one that's been marked as Angela Rodriguez'  shorts, and its number is  MR. SKURKA: I think that would be is  that 190, Mary?  COURT REPORTER: On 190, I have "Clothes"
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	really a sketch, I suppose. Using a laser pointer, where is the front of the shirt in that picture?  A. This is the front of the shirt.  Q. And can you show us where you saw bloodstains, first, and then show us the bloodstains that you tested.  A. Well, there are some weak staining here that I did some testing on that was positive for apparent blood, but the stains are very small and faint. This is the back of the shirt. On the back of the shirt we have Stain A, Stain B and Stain C that I also tested for the presence of blood, and I cut these stains for possible D.N.A. analysis.  Q. Why didn't you test or cut out samples from the front of the shirt?  A. The stains were very small and the size of the stain didn't lend them well for D.N.A. testing.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It's on the other bag. Let me get it for  you.  Would that be 199, Mary?  COURT REPORTER: No, I don't the next  number, or what.  MR. SKURKA: The one that says Angela  Rodriguez' clothes.  THE WITNESS: Christina Chavez, the  T-shirt.  MR. SKURKA: I'm sorry, Christina Chavez.  (Pause in proceedings.)  MR. SKURKA: 191. Thank you, Mary.  COURT REPORTER: You're welcome.  Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) Okay. Now, we're going to  the one that's been marked as Angela Rodriguez'  shorts, and its number is  MR. SKURKA: I think that would be is  that 190, Mary?

Case 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed on 01/26/15 in TXSD Page 32 of 54 115 1 (Witness opening package.) A. Yes. This is a towel collected from Brewster 2 and Stroman. I examined the item and selected two 2 Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) Okay. Now that you've held stains for testing. them up to the jury, can you describe them and where 3 Q. How many bloodstains were on that towel, 4 the stains were that you found on those shorts. 4 altogether? 5 A. These are the blue jean shorts from Angela 5 A. There are numerous stains on the towel. 6 Rodriguez. I've tested various stains for the 6 7 Okay. And do cuttings indicate that -- where 7 presence of blood and selected cuttings from Stain A, you took them from or to where? Stain B and from the back of the shorts, Stain C. 8 8 Yes. I selected Stain A from this location 9 Q. Okay. We've put the sketch up there behind 9 10 you. And using the laser pointer, can you show us 10 and Stain B from this location. Q. Does that correspond with the sketch that we where the stains were and where you took the cuttings 11 11 have on the board behind you of State's Exhibit No. --12 12 from, please. Α. 189. 13 A. From the front of the shorts, Stain A; I took 13 Q. -- 189? 14 a cutting of Stain B; and a cutting of Stain C; and 14 A. Yes, sir. This is Stain A from the towel and Stains A and B were tested for D.N.A. 15 15 then Stain B from the towel. Q. Were there more stains, though, besides just 16 16 17 Q. Okay. Again, did you need to test all the the ones you tested, correct? 17 18 Α. Yes, sir. 18 blood from all that towel? 19 A. No, sir. 19 Q. Again, did you take a representative sample Q. Tell the jury what the results of the stain from those shorts, instead of testing every stain on 20 20 21 testing that you did on the white towel found from the 21 the shorts? 22 brush along Brewster Street. 22 A. Yes, sir. 23 A. Stain A, the D.N.A. profile was consistent 23 Q. Tell the jury what the results of your blood testing was, your D.N.A. testing, on the shorts worn 24 with John Henry Ramirez. I can state to a reasonable 24 25 degree of scientific certainty John Henry Ramirez is 25 by Angela Rodriguez. 114 116 the source of the stain, excluding identical twins; 1 A. The D.N.A. profile I obtained from Stain A was consistent with a mixture. Pablo Castro was the 2 and from Stain B, I obtained a mixture. A major 2 3 major component of the mixture, so I could state to a 3 component of that mixture was from John Henry Ramirez. Again to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty, 4 reasonable degree of scientific certainty Pablo Castro 4 John Henry Ramirez is the source of Stain B. 5 is the source of Stain A. 5 6 Q. So that's John Henry Ramirez, the Defendant's 6 Q. And which one is Stain A, again? 7 blood on that rag? 7 A. Stain A is this stain. 8 A. Yes, sir. 8 Q. Thank you. 9 Q. The next item that you tested is listed as A. And Stain B, I obtained a profile consistent 9 apparent bloodstain on the bottom of the sandal? Did 10 with Pablo Castro. Again, I could state with a 10 reasonable degree of scientific certainty Pablo Castro 11 you receive that item to test? 11 12 is the source of Stain B. 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. What was the results of that testing from the 13 Q. So your testimony would be that Pablo bloodstain found on the bottom of the sandal? Castro's bloods were on the front of Angela Rodriguez' 14 14 15 A. I obtained a partial D.N.A. profile 15 shorts? consistent with Pablo Castro. 16 A. Yes, sir. 16 Q. When you say, "partial profile," what does 17 Q. Two more items I need you to look at. What's 17 been marked State's Exhibit No. 189, and ask you if that mean, again? 18 18 A. It means I was not able to obtain data from 19 19 you can take the contents of that out and examine it,

20

21

22

23

24

25

20

21

22

23

24

25

please. It's already been opened. Hopefully, you

exhibit, can you display it to the jury and tell us

Q. Now that you've removed the contents of that

don't have to do that again.

whether you tested that item.

A. (Witness complying.)

all 16 locations.

Yes, sir.

profile?

Α.

Q. But you did find enough to do a partial

have been the contributor for that blood on the

And does that show that Pablo Castro could

119 questions I have. Thank you, Ms. Smith. 1 sandal? 2 THE COURT: You want to --2 A. Yes, sir. MR. GARZA: Can we wait till after lunch, 3 Q. The last four things I want to show you, and 3 Your Honor? 4 4 I think we'll be through, this was -- I think this was THE COURT: Yes, you can. All right, 5 admitted --5 6 ladies and gentlemen, here's -- we're going to do COURT REPORTER: It's on the bottom. 6 7 something a little bit different today. Don't -- I'm 7 THE WITNESS: State's Exhibit -not going to need you till 2:00 today, so take a 8 8 Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) It's on the bottom, sorry. leisurely lunch, but we'll see you back at 2:00. State's Exhibit No. 85. I forgot to give you the 9 9 All rise for the jury. number for that sandal. That is the sandal that you 10 10 11 (Jury exits courtroom.) just testified that had Pablo Castro's blood on it, 11 THE COURT: Okay. Now, Mr. Garza --12 12 right? A. Yes, sir. I received a swab from the sandal. MR. GARZA: Your Honor --13 13 14 MR. SKURKA: Shall we approach, Your Q. A swab from that. And go ahead and put the 14 Honor? number of the sandal, 85. 15 15 16 MR. GARZA: Yeah, we'd rather do that, A. (Witness complying.) 16 17 Your Honor --17 Q. Okay. These last few things are 107, 194 and THE COURT: Okay. 18 18 195. On your chart you have it listed as blood sample MR. JONES: -- or if we could ask the 19 of Pablo Castro. So what number would -- State's 19 20 Court to clear the courtroom, so I can take up a exhibit number would that be to put on your chart? 20 21 matter. 21 A. State's Exhibit 107. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Let's just wait till 22 Q. And, for the record, that's a known sample of 23 the jurors clear the floor and we'll take a little Pablo Castro? 23 break and we'll have a little conference. 24 24 A. Yes, sir. 25 (Pause in proceedings.) Q. Okay. The next thing is blood sample of 25 120 118 (Proceedings continued outside presence of Angela Rodriguez, and do you have an exhibit that 1 1 2 jury.) 2 corresponds to that? THE COURT: All right. Let's on the 3 3 A. State's Exhibit 194 contains a sample from 4 record. 4 Angela Rodriguez and Christina Chavez. Mr. Ramirez, I understand from your 5 Q. Could you go ahead and write that in on the 5 attorneys that you may want to plead guilty. 6 chart, too, now that you have the State's exhibit 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: I might. 7 numbers. 8 THE COURT: All right. It's your choice. A. (Witness complying.) And State's Exhibit 8 195 contains a known blood sample from John Henry 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. It's not your 10 Ramirez. attorney's choice, it's always your choice, okay? Q. Okay. Could you write that in the chart, 11 11 I'm going to take a little bit of extra 12 12 please. time. You know, that's why -- that's why we're not 13 13 A. (Witness complying.) bringing the jury back until 2:00. This is an 14 Q. And then, finally, for the record, all those 14 important decision for you to make. It is your blood samples of Pablo Castro, Angela Rodriguez, 15 15 choice, but I do want you to go over these -- over Christina Chavez and John Ramirez that were just shown 16 16 your options with your attorneys, okay? That's why to you, those were the samples you used to identify 17 17 18 I'm -- that's why I'm giving this extra time for you those other exhibits, correct? 18 A. Those were the samples that I obtained the 19 to do that, okay? 19 These attorneys are very well experienced D.N.A. profiles from to compare to the other exhibits, 20 20 in capital cases. They have probably tried more 21 21 yes. capital cases than any two defense lawyers in the 22 Q. Just as you said at the beginning, you have a 22 county. That's why I appointed them to represent you, 23 23 known sample and test it against these other samples. okay? You must know, and, of course, they've probably 24 24 A. Yes, sir.

25

MR. SKURKA: I think that's all the

25

already told you this, but you must know that even if

$\overline{}$	ase 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed o	<u>n 01</u>	/26/15 in TXSD Page 34 of 54
14	121		123
1	you do plead guilty on the guilt or innocence phase,	1	All right, well, we'll see you-all at, I
2	then we'd still go into the punishment phase because	2	guess, at 2:00?
3	the punishment phase is not a part of the phase that	3	MR. GARZA: Yes.
4	the law allows you to plead guilty when the State	4	MR. SKURKA: Yes, Your Honor.
5	seeks the death penalty, okay? They've probably	5	THE COURT: And, in the meantime, I
6	already told you that, but I wanted to inform you of	6	suppose the State should should Mr. Ramirez take
7	that on the record, okay?	7	that option, I guess, the State
8	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.	8	MR. SKURKA: I'll have my witness on
9	THE COURT: So, I want I want to	9	standby.
10	you're going to go, I guess, visit with him	10	THE COURT: Have all your witnesses on
11	MR. GARZA: Right, Your Honor.	11	standby, just in case he decides not to. It's
12	MR. JONES: We'll be	12	certainly his right not to plead guilty if he chooses.
13	THE COURT: over the lunch hour.	13	MR. SKURKA: Yes, Your Honor.
14	MR. JONES: Yeah, we'll be over there	14	THE COURT: But, if he does choose to
15	about 1:00. He's going to have to eat.	15	plead guilty I guess start working on the paperwork.
16	THE COURT: Okay. About 1:00. I need	16	MR. SKURKA: Mr Mr. Schimmel's going
17	the jail does need to give him his attorneys some	17	to assist me with that, Your Honor.
18	time to talk to to their client.	18	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well,
19	MR. JONES: Yeah, if you could inform	19	we'll see you-all after lunch. 2:00.
20	them that we'll be over there right around 1:00.	20	(Noon recess.)
21	THE COURT: Okay.	21	(Out of the presence of the jury.)
22	MR. GARZA: So he'll have time to eat.	22	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Be seated,
23	THE COURT: Yeah, we want him we want	23	please. Okay. We're back on the record. Now, I
24	you to eat	24	understand you-all can be seated. I understand
25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.	25	we've got well, let me do this, I understand that
	122		124
1	THE COURT: Mr. Ramirez. And,	1	the that, Mr. Ramirez, you you've decided
2	obviously, I want to give you some time to think about	2	you've spoken with your lawyers.
3	this, okay?	_	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1		3	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.
4	THE DEFENDANT: All right.		
4 5	THE DEFENDANT: All right.  THE COURT: But I do understand from what	3	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.
1		3 4	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that.
5	THE COURT: But I do understand from what	3 4 5	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that.  You did speak with them; is that right.
5	THE COURT: But I do understand from what I from what I was told by your Defense Counsel,	3 4 5 6	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that.  You did speak with them; is that right.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
5 6 7	THE COURT: But I do understand from what I from what I was told by your Defense Counsel, that you handed them a note, that it was your	3 4 5 6 7	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that.  You did speak with them; is that right.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. And I guess between
5 6 7 8	THE COURT: But I do understand from what I from what I was told by your Defense Counsel, that you handed them a note, that it was your suggestion to do this.	3 4 5 6 7 8	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that.  You did speak with them; is that right.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. And I guess between your counsel with them you've decided to continue on
5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: But I do understand from what I from what I was told by your Defense Counsel, that you handed them a note, that it was your suggestion to do this.  THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that.  You did speak with them; is that right.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. And I guess between your counsel with them you've decided to continue on with the trial, and that's fine.
5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: But I do understand from what I from what I was told by your Defense Counsel, that you handed them a note, that it was your suggestion to do this.  THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh. THE COURT: Is that right.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that.  You did speak with them; is that right.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. And I guess between your counsel with them you've decided to continue on with the trial, and that's fine.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT: But I do understand from what I from what I was told by your Defense Counsel, that you handed them a note, that it was your suggestion to do this.  THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh. THE COURT: Is that right. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that.  You did speak with them; is that right.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. And I guess between your counsel with them you've decided to continue on with the trial, and that's fine.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then have
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: But I do understand from what I from what I was told by your Defense Counsel, that you handed them a note, that it was your suggestion to do this.  THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh. THE COURT: Is that right. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. I guess sometime this	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that.  You did speak with them; is that right.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. And I guess between your counsel with them you've decided to continue on with the trial, and that's fine.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then have a seat and we'll we'll move along.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: But I do understand from what I from what I was told by your Defense Counsel, that you handed them a note, that it was your suggestion to do this.  THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Is that right.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. I guess sometime this morning you handed one of your lawyers a note that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that.  You did speak with them; is that right.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. And I guess between your counsel with them you've decided to continue on with the trial, and that's fine.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then have a seat and we'll we'll move along.  Okay. To that end, I understand the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: But I do understand from what I from what I was told by your Defense Counsel, that you handed them a note, that it was your suggestion to do this.  THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh. THE COURT: Is that right. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. I guess sometime this morning you handed one of your lawyers a note that stated, "Can I still plead guilty?"	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that.  You did speak with them; is that right.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. And I guess between your counsel with them you've decided to continue on with the trial, and that's fine.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then have a seat and we'll we'll move along.  Okay. To that end, I understand the State has some exhibits they want to introduce and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: But I do understand from what I from what I was told by your Defense Counsel, that you handed them a note, that it was your suggestion to do this.  THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh. THE COURT: Is that right. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. I guess sometime this morning you handed one of your lawyers a note that stated, "Can I still plead guilty?" THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that.  You did speak with them; is that right.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. And I guess between your counsel with them you've decided to continue on with the trial, and that's fine.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then have a seat and we'll we'll move along.  Okay. To that end, I understand the State has some exhibits they want to introduce and you've shown them to the Defense.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: But I do understand from what I from what I was told by your Defense Counsel, that you handed them a note, that it was your suggestion to do this.  THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh. THE COURT: Is that right. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. I guess sometime this morning you handed one of your lawyers a note that stated, "Can I still plead guilty?" THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And and that you wanted to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that. You did speak with them; is that right.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. And I guess between your counsel with them you've decided to continue on with the trial, and that's fine.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then have a seat and we'll we'll move along.  Okay. To that end, I understand the State has some exhibits they want to introduce and you've shown them to the Defense.  MR. SKURKA: We have, Your Honor.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: But I do understand from what I from what I was told by your Defense Counsel, that you handed them a note, that it was your suggestion to do this.  THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh. THE COURT: Is that right. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. I guess sometime this morning you handed one of your lawyers a note that stated, "Can I still plead guilty?" THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And and that you wanted to talk to them about this.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that.  You did speak with them; is that right.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. And I guess between your counsel with them you've decided to continue on with the trial, and that's fine.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then have a seat and we'll we'll move along.  Okay. To that end, I understand the State has some exhibits they want to introduce and you've shown them to the Defense.  MR. SKURKA: We have, Your Honor.  THE COURT: These are these are
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: But I do understand from what I from what I was told by your Defense Counsel, that you handed them a note, that it was your suggestion to do this.  THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh. THE COURT: Is that right. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. I guess sometime this morning you handed one of your lawyers a note that stated, "Can I still plead guilty?"  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And and that you wanted to talk to them about this. THE DEFENDANT: Correct.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that.  You did speak with them; is that right.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. And I guess between your counsel with them you've decided to continue on with the trial, and that's fine.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then have a seat and we'll we'll move along.  Okay. To that end, I understand the State has some exhibits they want to introduce and you've shown them to the Defense.  MR. SKURKA: We have, Your Honor.  THE COURT: These are these are photographs that are going to I think pertain to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: But I do understand from what I from what I was told by your Defense Counsel, that you handed them a note, that it was your suggestion to do this.  THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Is that right.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. I guess sometime this morning you handed one of your lawyers a note that stated, "Can I still plead guilty?"  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: And and that you wanted to talk to them about this.  THE DEFENDANT: Correct.  THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm going to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that. You did speak with them; is that right. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. And I guess between your counsel with them you've decided to continue on with the trial, and that's fine. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then have a seat and we'll we'll move along. Okay. To that end, I understand the State has some exhibits they want to introduce and you've shown them to the Defense. MR. SKURKA: We have, Your Honor. THE COURT: These are these are photographs that are going to I think pertain to Dr. Fernandez' testimony, your next witness.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: But I do understand from what I from what I was told by your Defense Counsel, that you handed them a note, that it was your suggestion to do this.  THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh. THE COURT: Is that right. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. I guess sometime this morning you handed one of your lawyers a note that stated, "Can I still plead guilty?" THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And and that you wanted to talk to them about this. THE DEFENDANT: Correct. THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm going to give you that chance.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that. You did speak with them; is that right. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. And I guess between your counsel with them you've decided to continue on with the trial, and that's fine. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then have a seat and we'll we'll move along. Okay. To that end, I understand the State has some exhibits they want to introduce and you've shown them to the Defense. MR. SKURKA: We have, Your Honor. THE COURT: These are these are photographs that are going to I think pertain to Dr. Fernandez' testimony, your next witness. MR. SKURKA: That's correct, Your Honor.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: But I do understand from what I from what I was told by your Defense Counsel, that you handed them a note, that it was your suggestion to do this.  THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Is that right.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. I guess sometime this morning you handed one of your lawyers a note that stated, "Can I still plead guilty?"  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: And and that you wanted to talk to them about this.  THE DEFENDANT: Correct.  THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm going to give you that chance.  THE DEFENDANT: All right.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that. You did speak with them; is that right. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. And I guess between your counsel with them you've decided to continue on with the trial, and that's fine.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then have a seat and we'll we'll move along. Okay. To that end, I understand the State has some exhibits they want to introduce and you've shown them to the Defense.  MR. SKURKA: We have, Your Honor. THE COURT: These are these are photographs that are going to I think pertain to Dr. Fernandez' testimony, your next witness. MR. SKURKA: That's correct, Your Honor. MR. GARZA: That's correct, Judge.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: But I do understand from what I from what I was told by your Defense Counsel, that you handed them a note, that it was your suggestion to do this.  THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Is that right.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. I guess sometime this morning you handed one of your lawyers a note that stated, "Can I still plead guilty?"  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: And and that you wanted to talk to them about this.  THE DEFENDANT: Correct.  THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm going to give you that chance.  THE DEFENDANT: All right.  THE COURT: Okay.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that. You did speak with them; is that right. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. And I guess between your counsel with them you've decided to continue on with the trial, and that's fine. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then have a seat and we'll we'll move along. Okay. To that end, I understand the State has some exhibits they want to introduce and you've shown them to the Defense. MR. SKURKA: We have, Your Honor. THE COURT: These are these are photographs that are going to I think pertain to Dr. Fernandez' testimony, your next witness. MR. SKURKA: That's correct, Your Honor. MR. GARZA: That's correct, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. And do we have the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: But I do understand from what I from what I was told by your Defense Counsel, that you handed them a note, that it was your suggestion to do this.  THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Is that right.  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. I guess sometime this morning you handed one of your lawyers a note that stated, "Can I still plead guilty?"  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: And and that you wanted to talk to them about this.  THE DEFENDANT: Correct.  THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm going to give you that chance.  THE DEFENDANT: All right.  THE COURT: Okay.  THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. Appreciate	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.  THE COURT: I gave you time to do that. You did speak with them; is that right. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. And I guess between your counsel with them you've decided to continue on with the trial, and that's fine. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then have a seat and we'll we'll move along. Okay. To that end, I understand the State has some exhibits they want to introduce and you've shown them to the Defense. MR. SKURKA: We have, Your Honor. THE COURT: These are these are photographs that are going to I think pertain to Dr. Fernandez' testimony, your next witness. MR. SKURKA: That's correct, Your Honor. MR. GARZA: That's correct, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. And do we have the numbers on these?

Case 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed on 01/26/15 in TXSD Page 35 of 54 127 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, you please. 1 1 2 All right, cross? tell me when you're done and we'll go --2 3 MR. GARZA: Your Honor, we have no (Exhibits marked.) 3 MR. SKURKA: Judge, I got a note from the 4 questions of this witness. 4 witness office says, "Dr. Fernandez on his way in from 5 THE COURT: All right. And --5 Calallen. It may take a little bit to get here." 6 MR. SKURKA: No follow-up questions, Your 6 7 Honor. 7 THE COURT: Hum. THE COURT: -- any other questions? All 8 MR. SKURKA: I called him right at 2:00, 8 Judge, so he's only had, like, 10 minutes head start. 9 right. You're free to go about your business. Please 9 do not discuss your testimony with anyone while the THE COURT: Okay. Well, we'll have to 10 10 trial is going on, save the lawyers. All right? 11 11 deal with that. Okay. 12 THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. SKURKA: But, actually, Calallen's 12 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 13 13 not very far. 14 (Witness exits courtroom.) 14 THE COURT: Yeah, it's not very far once you get on the freeway. It's about 15 minutes. 15 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I will 15 tell you that I have, outside of your presence, We still got to take care of this, 16 16 admitted some exhibits that will pertain to the next 17 17 anyway. witness' testimony. Those exhibits are 199 through 18 18 (Pause in proceedings.) 19 226. THE COURT: Okay. 19 20 Mr. Skurka, the witness is not here, yet? 20 MR. SKURKA: Judge, for the record, Co-Counsel has marked State's Exhibit 179 -- I'm MR. SKURKA: Judge, I'm ready to call Dr. 21 21 22 Fernandez, but I got a note from the witness office 22 sorry, that's a 9, right -- 199 through 224 --23 said he's on his way in from Calallen. He should 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 arrive here shortly. MR. SKURKA: -- as photographs taken 24 THE COURT: All right. of the deceased Pablo Castro and/or his clothing. 25 25 128 126 MR. SKURKA: But he would be my next These exhibits I intend to introduce to the medical 1 1 witness and final witness, I believe, Your Honor. examiner, Ray Fernandez. I also have State's Exhibit 2 2 THE COURT: All right. 3 3 225 and 226. For the record, those are diagrams also All right, then, Ladies and gentlemen, I 4 4 done by Dr. Fernandez showing the location of the expect he'll be here shortly. We'll -- we'll bring wounds. I offer those into evidence at this time. 5 5 you right back out when he gets here. 6 6 THE COURT: All right. 7 So all rise for the jury. 7 MR. GARZA: We reviewed those photos and 8 (Jury exits courtroom.) we have no objection, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Okay. So it's 2- what to 9 THE COURT: I guess there's nothing else 9 we need to take up at this time? 10 10 226? MR. GARZA: No, Your Honor. 11 MR. SKURKA: 199 through 226. 11 THE COURT: Okay. THE COURT: Okay. All right, then, 12 12 MR. SKURKA: No, Your Honor. 13 13 they're admitted. 14 (Short recess.) All right. So I guess we're just waiting 14 for Dr. Fernandez. Let's go ahead and put her on and 15 (Jury enters courtroom.) 15 THE COURT: Raise your right hand. 16 16 then we can get her out of here. 17 (Oath administered.) 17 MR. SKURKA: Pam Smith. THE COURT: Pam Smith. Let's put her on 18 THE WITNESS: I do. 18 19 THE COURT: Be seated. the stand. 19 Mr. Skurka, you -- you may proceed. 20 (Witness enters courtroom.) 20 MR. SKURKA: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. You may take the 21 22 22 stand. 23 23 All right. Bring them in. (Jury enters courtroom.) 24 24

25

THE COURT: All right. Be seated,

131 129 1 REY FERNANDEZ, M.D., M.E., clinical pathology and a certification in forensic 2 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 2 pathology. 3 Q. Let's break that down. First of all, what's 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SKURKA: that mean? How do you get certified in those areas? 4 5 A. Well, certification means you've completed 5 Q. Please introduce yourself to the folks on the jury and tell them your full name. 6 the training that's a recognized postgraduate training 6 7 7 A. I'm Dr. Rey Fernandez. in that area, and then you submitted the -- passed the examination in that area, and then completed the 8 Q. How are you currently employed? 8 9 application fee for the -- for the certification. 9 A. I'm a medical doctor employed as medical 10 examiner for Nueces County. 10 Q. You said there's three areas that you're 11 Q. Can you tell the jury, basically, what the 11 certified in pathology, anatomical, clinical and 12 forensic? 12 duties of the medical examiner would be? 13 A. That's right. 13 A. The medical examiner's required by State law 14 What is pathology? Can you explain that to 14 to investigate any violent deaths, or unexpected the jury, please. 15 deaths, to examine the body, complete a report and 15 determine the cause of death, the manner of death. 16 A. Pathology is a study of the changes in the 16 tissues in the body due to disease or injury. Q. And what kind of -- can you briefly explain 17 17 18 to the jury your educational background, what schools 18 Do pathologists only -- are pathologists only 19 medical examiners? 19 you attended and degrees you may hold. 20 A. I graduated from Del Mar College and the 20 Α. No. They're --21 Q. What do they do? 21 University of Texas in Austin. I completed medical 22 Most pathologists are hospital pathologists. 22 school in 1989 from the University of Texas, San 23 There's a lot them working in the hospital pathology 23 Antonio, Texas. 1990, I completed a hospital 24 internship in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. It was six 24 lab. months working with patients with medical problems, 25 Q. What do they do? 25 132 130 six months working with patients with surgical. 1 A. These are -- this type of work in the 1 2 hospital involves looking at specimens from the 2 problems. '90 to '94, I completed hospital pathology 3 operating room, deciding if the section that's been 3 residency, University of California, Irvine, where I 4 worked in a hospital lab. '94 to '95, I completed 4 removed during surgery is benign or a malignant tumor. medical examiner training at the medical examiner 5 It involves doing the hospital laboratory testing in 5 6 office in Miami, Florida. '95 to 2002, I was employed 6 the different section of the lab, for instance, the 7 as a medical examiner in Miami, Florida. 2002, I was 7 blood bank, testing the blood for H.I.V., hepatitis, employed as a medical examiner in Dallas, Texas. And for pathogens, doing other chemistry tests in the lab 8 in 2003, I became employed as a medical examiner here 9 for different enzymes, looking at the bone marrow, 9 in Nueces County, in Corpus Christi. 10 different patients, to see if there's a different type 10 Q. So you've been a medical examiner in Nueces of cancer in the bone marrow or lymph nodes. 11 11 12 Q. How is that, Doctor, how is that kind of 12 County since 2003. A. That's right. 13 pathologist different from what you do as a forensic 13 14 Q. But altogether you've been either an 14 pathologist? Could you explain that term, "forensic 15 pathology," to the jury. 15 assistant medical examiner in Florida, or whatever, or 16 A. Forensic pathology has to do with the 16 Dallas. For how long altogether have you been a application of laboratory methods for the medical examiner? 17 17 investigation of medical. That would mean doing 18 A. Since '94, I was a medical examiner. 18 19 Q. Can you tell the jury any special license you 19 procedures in the lab like an autopsy procedure, 20 examining the body for injuries, reporting --20 may hold or certification you may hold. 21 A. I have a license, Texas medical license from 21 documenting those injuries and making a report, and 22 the Texas Medical Board, and then I have a Florida 22 also collecting any evidence and doing any testing of

23

24

25

the body that might help determine the cause of death.

Q. Would it be fair to say, then, that -- that

hospital pathologists work with defining diseases or

medical license from the Florida Medical Board, and

certification in anatomic pathology, certification in

then I have a certification in three areas, a

23

- 1 help people determine what the cause of disease or
- 2 tumors are, where forensic pathology is more in tune
- 3 with the legal system and courts?
- 4 A. That's true, except that about 90 percent of
- 5 the cases that the medical examiner handles has
- 6 nothing to do with the court system.
- 7 Q. Well, explain.
- 8 A. Well, a lot of the cases are -- most of the
- 9 cases the medical examiner is involved in are natural
- 10 deaths. Most of those are heart disease. And in
- 11 about 30 percent of those end up having to do with
- 12 other types of deaths, like accidental death or
- 13 suicides, and a lot of those cases there's a report
- 14 completed. And just like any case that comes in the
- 15 office there's a report completed.
  - Q. So what you're saying is the medical examiner doesn't necessarily work on criminal cases or -- or
- 17 doesn't necessarily work on criminal cases or
- 18 cases involving homicide, do they?
- 19 A. That's correct.

16

- 20 Q. Tell them what the, I guess, the statutory
- 21 requirements of the medical examiner in Texas to
- 22 investigate what type of death, please.
- 23 A. Any deaths that are -- deaths that are
- 24 reported to the medical examiner, the medical examiner
- 25 will do what's called an inquest, where there's an
- 134
- 1 investigation done. And that may involve information
- 2 collected over the phone, it may involve collected
- 3 medical records, it may involve also to the point of
- 4 bringing the body to the office and having the body
- 5 the examined and doing an examination of the body.
- 6 And those are the cases where the person dies in the
- 7 hospital within 24 hours of admission. All those
- 8 cases are, by law, supposed to be reported to the
- 9 medical examiner. Any case where the body is going to
- 10 be -- the family or funeral home is talking about
- 11 trying to get the body cremated, those bodies are also
- 12 investigated by the medical examiner. Any violent
- 13 deaths, unexpected deaths, those are also supposed --
- 14 required by law to be reported to the medical examiner
- 15 to investigate
- 15 to investigate.
- Q. So you don't end up testifying in court about the cause of death or manner of death in just criminal
- 18 cases or homicide cases, you actually may issue
- 19 reports in these other types of deaths.
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. And, in order to do an investigation, do you
- 22 always have to do an autopsy?
- A. No. That's at the discretion of the doctor
- 24 doing the investigating.
- 25 Q. What is an autopsy?

- 1 A. An autopsy is a laboratory procedure involved
- 2 looking at the outside of the body for any disease or
- 3 injury on the outside of the body, and then making an
- 4 -- an incision on the front of the body, what's called
- 5 a "Y incision," to expose the chest cavity, the
- 6 abdominal cavity, and then an incision is also made at
- 7 the top of the head to expose the cranial cavity, to
- 8 look inside the skull. So the doctor looks inside the
- 9 skull, looks inside the chest cavity, abdominal
- 10 cavity, examines all those organs in those cavities.
- 11 Then those organs are removed, they're examined and
- 12 they're weighed and they're looked at to determine if
- 13 they have any injury or disease.
- 14 Q. So -- but not everybody you have to do an
- 15 autopsy on, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. How many autopsies have you done in your life
- 18 or how many do you average a year as a medical
- 19 examiner?

16

21

23

24 25

4

16

- 20 A. Up to now, I've probably done more than
  - 3,000. And we do probably about maybe at least 300 a
- 22 year, here.
  - Q. When you do an autopsy are the purposes of
  - that is to determine the cause of death and manner of
  - death?
- 1 A. Yes. That's part of the reason that the
  - 2 medical examiner is doing the investigation, to
  - 3 determine the cause of death and manner of death.
    - Q. And let's talk with those terms of art.
  - 5 First of all, what does "cause of death" mean?
  - 6 A. The cause of death is that disease or injury
  - 7 that leads to the person dying.
  - 8 Q. And "manner of death," what does that mean?
  - 9 A. The manner of death tells us the cause of
  - 10 death comes about. If it's an injury that happened
  - 11 from a -- a fall or from a motor vehicle crash, it may
  - 12 be an accidental type of manner of death. If it's a
  - 13 injury that's caused by themselves, like a hanging or
  - 14 shooting themselves, it would be classified as
  - 15 suicide. If it --
    - Q. I'm sorry.
  - 17 A. If they die from a natural cause, heart
  - 18 disease, cancer, medical illness, things like that
  - 19 would be natural for the manner of death. If it's
  - 20 death at the hands of another person, then those are
  - 21 classified as homicides.
  - 22 Q. So you have about five different
  - 23 classifications?
  - 24 A. Yes.
    - Q. Homicide, suicide, accidental, natural --

24 and the National Association of Medical Examiners. 25 Q. Have you been qualified as an expert to

Pathologists, American Academy of Forensic Sciences,

Pathologists, the American Society of Clinical

22

23

24 Q. What are they?

Yes, sir.

22

23

25 These are photographs of the same shirt

- 1 showing the -- the cuts on the shirt.
- 2 Q. Were these photographs taken at or near the
- 3 time of the autopsy?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- MR. SKURKA: May I publish them to the 5
- 6 jury, Your Honor?
- 7 THE COURT: You may.
- Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) Before we get to the 8
- 9 examination of the body, I want to start with the
- 10 photographs, and we'll look at 199. Turn the lights
- off, please. There should be a laser pointer there in 11
- front of you, somewhere there. There it is. Can you 12
- tell us what we're looking at in 199. There it is. 13
- A. This is a photograph that shows the -- the 14
- front surface of the -- of the shirt, shows the blood 15
- staining at the top half, and at the left shoulder 16
- area it has areas where the shirt is -- has defects, 17
- 18 or -- or cuts.
- 19 Q. Just for record, is that the front of the
- shirt or the back of the shirt, that picture? 20
- 21 A. This is -- looks to be the front of the
- 22 shirt.

- 23 Q. Tell us about the defects you saw in the
- 24 shirt, as you examined it.
- 25 A. The defects were noted at the top left upper
  - arm area, the left -- and the front top of the left
- 2 shoulder area.
- 3 Q. What do you mean when you say, "defects"?
- 4 A. Those are areas where the shirt, the
- 5 material's been separated or cut.
- 6 Q. Looking at State's Exhibit No. 200, is that
- 7 the same shirt, but just the back side of it?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And does it show -- what would your 9
- 10 examination of the shirt reveal?
- A. This is -- showed the -- the back part of the 11
- 12 shirt and the bloodstains at the back, and also showed
- 13 similar four-type defects at the left upper back.
- 14 Q. Based on your experience and training as a
- medical examiner, do you have an opinion as to what 15
- caused those defects in the shirt, both on the front 16
- and the back? 17
- The opinion I have is that the shirt was worn 18
- 19 at the time the cuts happened, and these cuts on the
- 20 shirt correspond to the -- to the sharp force injuries
- 21 or cuts on the surface of the body.
- 22 Q. Are those cuts or defects in the shirt
- consistent with knife wounds? 23
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. And are they consistent with the location of

- the wounds you found on Pablo Castro?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. In other words, where we see the holes in the

143

144

- shirt, when you did the autopsy there were wounds
- underneath that, correct? 5
  - A. That is correct.
- 7 And the shirt itself is there in front of us
- and that does show the same things, too, does it not? 8
  - A. Yes.

6

9

14

17

- 10 Q. Okay. Now, let's take the shirt off and go
- to the next thing that you did. Once you said you did 11
- the -- the removal of the shirt, did you also look for 12
- 13 any other injuries?
  - A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And can you tell us your evidence of injury
- that you saw when you first viewed the body? 16
  - A. The notation was that there was a total of 29
- 18 sharp force injuries. This included 10 that were
- called "stab wounds," which means they were 19
- 20 penetrating-type wounds. Those are wounds where they
- actually penetrated into the body, and then there was 21
- 22 19 incised wounds. Those are longer than they are
- 23 deep. They run parallel to the surface of the body.
- 24 Q. Can you tell us, you said, "sharp force
  - wounds." What does "sharp force wounds" means?
- 25 142
  - Sharp force means they're done with an 1
    - object, sharp edged-type weapon that cuts the body. 2
    - 3 It's not blunt force trauma. It's not from a blunt
    - force applied to the body, it's from a sharp force
    - 5 applied to the body.

8

22

24

- 6 Q. Give us an example of a blunt force, as
- opposed to a -- what was called a blunt force trauma, 7
  - as opposed to sharp force injury.
- 9 A. Well, a blunt force would be like wood or an
- object striking the surface of the body that's blunt 10
- 11 shape, not sharp edged, and caused bruising or tearing
- 12 of the skin. Sharp force injuries are the ones where
- the sharp edged-type weapon actually cutting the 13
- surface of the body, cutting the skin, actually 14
- 15 penetrating into the body.
- Q. You mentioned that 10 of these 29 wounds were 16 stab wounds. How far did some of those stab wounds 17
- 18 penetrate the body of Mr. Castro?
- A. Some of them went about three-and-a-half 19 20 inches to four inches.
- 21 Q. We'll go into detail on which ones did what,
- 23 and a half to four inches in the person's body?
  - A. That's right.
- 25 Q. Are those consistent, those penetration or

but some of the penetrating wounds you say went three

- 1 stab wounds, consistent with being stabbed with a
- 2 knife that may be five and a half or six inches long?
- 3 A. Yes, it would be.
- 4 Q. Just for the record, you said it was
- 5 consistent with knife stab wounds, correct?
- 6 A. That's right.
- 7 Q. Is a knife a deadly weapon in that it's
- 8 capable of causing serious bodily injury or death in
- 9 the manner and means of its use?
- 10 A. Yes, it is.
- 11 Q. Again, the difference between stab wounds and
- 12 incise wounds, you mentioned incise wounds are longer
- 13 than deeper. What do you mean by that?
- 14 A. That means a cut on an inside wound would be
- 15 cutting along the surface of the body, or cutting
- 16 through the skin itself, but not penetrating deeper
- 17 below that. If you measure the stab wound, it may
- 18 have three-and-a-half inches on its depth, go
- 19 three-and-a-half inches deep into the body, but the
- 20 surface on the outside of the body is was only an inch
- 21 or an inch and a half.
- Q. What is -- are any of these wounds, would you
- 23 describe them as slashes or stab marks or both? How
- 24 would you describe them?
- 25 A. The incise wounds where they're cutting
- 146
- parallel to the surface of the body, cut along the
- 2 surface, those would be the type that you would call
- 3 slash-type wounds.
- 4 Q. Okay. So, Mr. Castro had 29 wounds, 10 of
- 5 them stabs or penetrating wounds and 19 slash wounds.
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Okay. I'm just trying to put it down in
- 8 layperson's terms.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. You said that you started your
- 11 evidence -- I'm sorry, your examination. Do you start
- 12 from head to toe, or how do you start it?
- 13 A. Yes. There's an examination started from the
- 14 outside of the body and looking at the -- the surface
- of the body for the -- for the injuries on the surface
- 16 of the body.
- 17 Q. I'm going to just go along with your report
- 18 and ask, as the first part, what did you see first
- 19 when you did your examination of Mr. Castro?
- 20 A. There was four stab wounds that were noted at
- 21 the back of the body, at the left back.
- 22 Q. Okay. I'm sorry, I'm looking at the -- page
- 23 2. I think you're already ahead of me. Where it
- 24 says, "Evidence of Injury"?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- 1 Q. Okay. I'm talking about the area -- did you
- 2 have a part that looked around the face of Mr. Castro?

148

- 3 I want to start with that area, first --
- 4 A. Oh, okay.
- 5 Q. -- the head and the face. Are you with me
- 6 there?
- 7 A. Yes. Under "Evidence of Blunt Force Trauma."
- 8 The section under blunt force trauma, there's a
- 9 notation is that the right upper eyelid, there was a
- 10 one-half inch round contusion or bruise in the right
- 11 upper eyelid.
- 12 Q. Now, a minute ago you said these other 29 are
- 13 sharp stab wounds or incise wounds, but now you said
- 14 you did see some blunt force trauma.
  - A. Correct.

15

23

3

- 16 Q. What is that indicative of?
- 17 A. That's evidence of that part of the body that
- 18 was impacted, the surface impacted against that part
- 19 of the body, or that part of the body was impacted
- 20 with some blunt surface.
- Q. Could that be consistent with being hit with
- 22 a hand or a fist or a punch?
  - A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Or a kick, maybe?
- A. Yes.
- 1 Q. Okay. So he had a bruise or contusion around
- 2 his right upper eyelid; is that correct?
  - A. That's right.
- 4 Q. And what other contusions, if any, did he
- 5 have as a result of blunt force trauma?
- 6 A. The right side of the neck had a similar
- 7 one-half inch contusion or bruise.
- 8 Q. And the lower lip -- I'm sorry, where's the
- 9 next -- did you find any other marks on the person's
- 10 face?
- 11 A. The next section is a -- below there. It's a
- 12 lower lip at the left side. There was a one-quarter
- 13 inch laceration or tear.
- 14 Q. These photographs have already been admitted
- 15 into evidence, and I want to ask you to look at them
- 16 and see if you can show the jury what we're talking
- 17 about with these bruises. I'm going to show you
- 18 what's marked State's Exhibit No. 214. What does that
- 19 show? And using the laser pointer, please.
- 20 A. The left eyelid -- on the right eyelid area,
- 21 there was -- that's where a discoloration was or where
- 23 Q. That's the one you've previously described?
  - A. Yes, sir.

the bruise was.

22

24

Q. And right now I'm just talking about those

parts of the bruises. We'll get to the other wounds
 in a minute. What about -- you said there was also a

3 bruise on the right side of the neck; is that correct?

4 A. Yes, sir.

5 Q. I'm going to show you what's marked 222 and

6 see if that shows that bruise.

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Can you show the jury where we're looking at?

A. This is the right side of the neck here, and

10 at the center here is the bruise, the right side of

11 the neck.

9

16

23

12 Q. And you said there was also a laceration, a

13 small laceration of the lower lip at the left side; is

14 that correct?

15 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Now let's move to the next part that

17 you examined wounds on, and I think you were going to

18 talk about the back. Can you tell us what, if

19 anything, you saw on the back of Mr. Castro in the

20 area of wounds.

21 A. The surface of the back, that's where the

22 four stab wounds were noted.

Q. Where exactly -- can you tell us or describe

24 the type of injuries? Were those penetrating wounds

25 or incise wounds?

150

1 A. These were penetrating, they were stab

2 wounds. They went deep into the underlying muscle and

3 the tissue in that underlying area.

4 Q. I'm going to show you what's been introduced

5 as State's Exhibit No. 209. Is that a 4 or a 9? 209.

6 What does that photograph depict?

7 A. This is a photograph that shows the back

8 surface of the body, shows the left back, and it shows

9 the -- the four stab wounds that were noted at the

10 left back here, here, here, and at this location.

11 Q. Would you start at the top right and then go

12 to the left and go down and describe in detail those

13 wounds that Mr. Castro suffered as a result of stab

14 wounds in his back.

15 A. This was a wound at the back that had a

16 pointed -- when you looked at it, you could see a

17 pointed margin at the top here, and at this area it

18 was flattened. So it would be like triangular shape,

19 with the base down here and a pointed margin at that

20 top area.

21 Q. What does that indicate to you, if anything?

22 A. That would be an indication to me of a sharp

23 edged weapon that penetrated into that part of the

24 body with one of the edges being sharp.

25 Q. Is that consistent with a knife wound?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2 Q. What damage, if anything, did that wound

3 cause and how far did it penetrate?

A. That wound at the -- at the back --

5 Q. And just for the record, we're talking about

6 looking at the back in the upper right.

7 A. That one went about four-and-a-half inches

8 down into the soft tissue in the muscle, in that area.

9 Q. It penetrated four-and-a half inches into

10 Pablo Castro's body?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Did it hit anything, I know what they call

13 them, "vital organs"?

14 A. That one did not get into the lung in that

15 area. It went into the soft tissue of the muscle and

16 went downward.

17

25

7

21

Q. Is that a fatal wound?

18 A. That by itself contributed to the death, but

19 it's not considered itself a fatal-type wound.

20 Q. Let's get that cleared up with the jury.

21 What does "a fatal wound" mean?

22 A. A fatal wound would be one that cuts through

23 some -- a major blood vessels or goes into some of the

24 vital organs in the body.

Q. So when you say it was a contributing wound,

1 what did you mean?

2 A. That means there is some blood loss from that

3 wound, but it's not hitting a major artery, or a major

4 vein or organs.

5 Q. So although that wasn't a fatal wound, it was

6 a contributing cause of Pablo Castro's death?

A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. Let's go to the -- that -- the left of that

9 wound, and I'm talking about the top wound of the

10 three there on the left side of the picture we're

11 looking at.

12 A. This wound at the left was a wound that was

13 going into the -- the back. And it did go four

14 inches, but it took more of a path into the -- into

15 the cavity. And it actually went into the -- the

16 left -- the left lung.

17 Q. So that wound that you've shown on the left

18 of the one on the top, three wounds, the one on the

19 top, it penetrated four inches into Mr. Castro?

20 A. That's correct.

Q. And what damage, if any, did it cause?

22 A. That one actually cut the underlying organ

23 that's the left lung.

Q. What damage does it cause when your lung is

25 cut by a knife?

152

1 There is a couple of changes that happen.

2 One of them is blood loss going into that -- to that

3 part of the body. The -- also that left lung is

4 collapsing. Normally, it's supposed to be able to

5 expand and collapse, but when you injure it that way,

6 it collapses. So there's difficulty with breathing,

7 because the lung is injured and it also has difficulty

8 with breathing because the blood is starting to fill

9 into that side of the chest.

10 Q. And what would that cause?

11 A. That's a fatal-type injury.

12 Q. So it's your -- your testimony that that is a

13 fatal wound?

14

A. Yes, sir.

15 Q. The next wound underneath it, in the middle

16 of those three in a row, can you describe that wound,

17 the depth of that wound and what, if any, injury it

18 caused --

19 A. This --

20 Q. -- the damage it caused.

21 A. This wound at this location also has the

22 pointed margin at the top and the slanted margin at

23 the bottom, and it went -- it went into the body and

24 it went downward, downward into the soft tissue of the

25 muscle about four inches.

154

1 Q. Again, did that cause any -- or hit any vital

2 organs?

3 A. No. That did not go into the lung itself.

4 It went into the soft tissue of the muscle.

5 Q. Would that be also a contributing wound, if

6 it wasn't a fatal wound?

7 A. That is correct.

8 Q. The final one on his back of the four wounds

9 Mr. Castro received on the back, the one at the

10 bottom, can you tell us -- describe that wound, how

11 far it went in and what damage, if any, it caused.

12 A. This one, at this location, also had the

13 flatten marks at the bottom and pointed mark at the

14 top and went into the soft tissue of the muscle, and

15 it went about four inches in depth, also. It didn't

16 go into the lung and didn't go into the chest cavity.

Q. So, again, it went into the soft issue or the

18 muscle area?

17

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. But it went in four inches, correct?

21 A. Yes, sir.

22 Q. Did that -- was that a fatal wound to Mr.

23 Castro?

A. No. That's not a fatal wound by itself, but

25 it's the wound that contributed to the death.

1 Q. So of those -- in summation, before we move

2 on to the next area, of those four wounds, there was

3 only one of those which is the fatal wound, which is

4 the one you indicated on the top left?

A. This location here.

6 Q. Okay. And the other ones were contributing

7 factors?

8 A. Yes, sir.

9 Q. Now, based on the results of those stab

10 wounds in the back, would that result in any type of

11 amount of blood being found in the chest cavity or the

12 body cavity?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Can you explain to the jury how much blood

15 was found and where it was found?

16 A. The left side of the chest had about 200 cc's

17 of blood. Normally, there's no blood in that -- in

18 that -- in that chest cavity, so this has about 200

19 cc's of blood in that chest cavity.

20 Q. So in that -- would that be the left lung or

21 chest cavity, is were the left lung is?

22 A. Inside the left chest cavity is where the

23 left lung is.

Q. Okay. So in that cavity there would usually

25 be no blood at all.

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. And there was 200 cc's -- and, I'm sorry, we

3 don't know the metric system very well, so can you

4 tell us approximately how much 200 cc's of blood would

5 be?

6 A. If you had a soda can, that would be about

7 350 cc's, so this would be about half or -- somewhere

8 close to half of a soda can.

9 Q. And was that blood -- based on your medical

10 experience and training, was that blood the result of

11 that wound to the left lung?

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. Let's move on to the next part of your

14 report, unless there's anything else -- I'm sorry,

15 I've got a couple of more photographs to show you,

16 208.

17 MR. SKURKA: Show them that, briefly,

18 please.

21

25

19 Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) Does that show the same

20 wounds or just all three of them a little closer up?

A. Yes.

Q. And 207, does that show the other wound on

23 the back, just in closer detail?

24 A. Yes, sir.

Q. Can you show us -- you were talking about the

156

Case 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed on 01/26/15 in TXSD Page 43 of 54 edge of the wound, or something, to show them the 1 2 Castro? 2 shape that you can tell it's a knife would. Maybe -there you go. Might be a little closer on that. 3 A. It's noted the third paragraph, the left 3 4 A. As you get to the edge over here, you've got

-- you see where it's kind of pointed, and you see 5 another side here where it's more flattened, so it has 6

a large triangular shape, and that's the typical shape 7

for a stab wound. 8

9 Q. Okay. So that -- all those wounds in the 10 back are consistent with knife stab wounds.

11 A. Yes, sir.

12 Q. Okay. Let's move on to the next area, if we

could, please. In your report -- and I believe that's 13 in I guess the front or the upper torso? You tell me 14

15 what we're looking at.

A. It's the right -- right collar bone area. 16

17 It's the second paragraph on page 3.

18 Q. Okay. That's the right shoulder or the left

shoulder? 19

20 That would be the right one.

Q. Okay. What did you find when you examined 21

22 the right shoulder?

23 A. Over the right -- right clavicular, the right

collar bone area, there was a stab wound. It had a 24

25 pointed margin at the top and a flat margin at the

158

bottom. That stab wound was about half an inch --

2 inch and a half on its outside surface. It went

two-and-a-half inches deep into the soft tissue and

4 into the muscle.

5 Q. I have a photograph marked State's Exhibit

No. 221. Does that show that wound? 6

7 A. Yes, sir.

10

20

Q. And, for the jury, can you use the laser 8

pointer and show which one we're talking about? 9

A. At this location here.

11 MR. SKURKA: If could you go in closer on

that, Mr. Schimmel, please. All the way in. 12

Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) You said that wound was 13

one-and-a-half inches long? 14

15 On the outside surface.

Q. We'll get it focused here in a second. Okay. 16

So that was one-and-a-half inches long and it 17

18 penetrated how deep?

A. It went about two-and-a-half inches deep. 19

Q. But did this hit any fatal -- I'm sorry, any

21 vital organs or anything?

22 A. No.

23 Q. So was that not -- that was not a fatal

wound, it was a contributory wound? 24

25 A. That's correct. Q. What is the next wound that you saw on Mr.

collar bone area, it was another stab wound that had

the flat margin at the bottom and the pointed margin

at the top. It was an inch and a half and it went

about three-and-a-half inches --7

8 Q. Is that --

A. -- deep into the body.

Q. I'm sorry. Is that wound shown on that 10

11 photograph?

9

16

25

12 A. It's going to be -- you might have a

photograph that shows --13

14 Q. It's more in the left shoulder?

15 A. It's starting to come out here.

Q. Okay. State's Exhibit No. 220, maybe that's

-- you want the left side of the neck, is what you're 17

18 saying, correct?

A. Yes. All this area in here. 19

Q. Okay. Is that a better photograph of it? 20

21 A. Yes, sir.

22 Q. Okay. Show us which one we're talking about

23 and I'll have him close in on it a little bit.

A. The right -- the left collar bone area had 24

the wound. It -- you're starting to see some of it,

here but it's kind of out of focus.

2 Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear what you said.

3 A. I think you're getting out of focus in here.

Q. Okay. Let me see if I can get a better 4

photograph of that. Let's show you 217. Does that

6 show the wound?

7 A. Can you lift it up a little?

8 Q. Yes.

9 A. Yeah, they're getting better; there. Yes,

10 sir.

11 Q. Thank you. Show us that wound and describe

the damage it did, please. 12

13 A. There's one that's one and a half inch here,

and then the other one that's closest, it's two inches 14

15 here.

17

20

24

16 Q. What damage, if any, did that cause?

A. This was a wound that went into the soft

tissue. It didn't go into any of the vessels or any 18

of the organs. 19

Q. So was that a fatal wound to Mr. Castro?

21 Α.

22 Q. What's the next -- what other wounds did you

23 notice at or around the neck area?

A. The one next to it here at this location was

a two-inch stab wound.

160

22

23

24

25

the vein. The -- the artery is -- is carrying the

blood from the heart to the brain and into the head. Every time the heart pumps a burst of blood is going

through that artery that's going shooting up toward --

toward the head and the brain. So every time the

called the jugular -- "external jugular vein," and

that caused severe bleeding or blood loss in that

that's a major vein at that part of the neck. That --

Q. Is this wound that you pointed down here, is

21 22

23

24

25

part.

24

25

9

10

11

12 13

15 for the jury that you noted around the neck area? 16

A. On the lower neck there was another slashing 17 18 wound or incise wound going across the surface at the 19 front.

Q. Are those other wounds fatal wounds, those 20 21 slashing-type wounds around the chin?

22 A. No. These are not fatal type.

Q. Are those consistent with stab wounds or stab

24 slash -- or knife slashing?

23

25 A. They're consistent with knife slashing.

where there was small cuts or what we call "incise wounds" or "slash wounds" on the surface of the nose. 17

The upper part of the nose, up in this location, going 18 up toward the -- the upper eyelid on the right was a 19 20 slash or incise wound that went along this surface.

21 Q. Are any of these on his face penetrating 22 wounds or are they slash wounds?

These are slash-type wounds.

Q. I have another close-up of the area around the nose. It's marked State's Exhibit 213. Does that

A. This is a photograph that shows the -- the left side of the head at the left ear. It shows some blood on the surface of the ear. It shows the slash wound into the skin in front of the left ear and also some more on the slash area here on the earlobe.

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q. What about these spots that are up here in the hair above the left ear, what are they?

A. Some of these -- these are at the -- there's no cuts that were noted in that part, but it looks like it's got the same dark staining that's probably from the blood area.

16 wounds. Can you explain to the jury what I mean when

17 I say defense wounds?

A. Defense wounds would be the type you'd expect to see on the hands or the back of the arms, that someone is trying to defend themselves when they're being attacked.

Q. Can you demonstrate or show on your own body how those -- where those usually are?

A. If someone is coming at the person with a sharp-edged knife and they're being attacked, the

person may block it with their hand or they may end up 1

having their hand come in contact with it and get cut 2

3 in the back, or their forearm get cut in the back.

Q. What does that indicate to you when you see 4

5 the presence of defense wounds on a victim?

A. Well, that would indicate the person -- the 6

7 victim's alive and they're conscious during the

attack, and they're trying to defend themselves from 8

the attack. 9

10 Q. Did you find examples of defense wounds on

the victim in this case, Pablo Castro? 11

A. Yes, sir. 12

13 Q. Where?

14 A. The back of the right forearm, or on this

area, there was a half-inch slash at the back of that 15

16 right forearm.

Q. I believe I have a photograph of it marked 17

State's Exhibit 206. Is that visible on that 18

19 photograph?

20 A. This is a photograph that has some stain on

21 it, but it's starting to show up in this area.

Q. Okay. I think I have the other one, 205. 22

23 Yes, sir. Α.

24 Q. Now, using your own arm, can you corres -- or

show us where that corresponds to. 25

174

A. That would correspond to the part on the --

on the arm, on the forearm, at the top of the forearm. 2

Q. And would you classify that as a defense 3

4 wound on Pablo Castro's right forearm?

5 Α. Yes.

1

6

23

Q. You also mentioned there may be defense

wounds on the hands as if to fend off an attack. Did 7

you find any evidence of defense wounds on Mr. 8

9 Castro's hands?

10 A. Yes.

Q. Where? 11

A. The back area of the right hand, in the palm 12

surface, there was a quarter-inch cut, incise wound in 13

that area. The back of the left small finger of the 14

-- the right small finger, there was another one-half 15

inch cut. The back of the right middle finger had two 16

quarter-inch cuts. The palm surface -- actually on 17

the palm surface, on the right hand, on the same hand, 18

had another cut at the bottom of the fingers that was 19

an inch and a half. And the palm surface on the right 20

ring finger also had a cut going along the surface. 21

And the thumb on the right palm had a half-inch cut. 22 Q. I've lost count of how many defense wounds,

but how many defense wounds would that be altogether, 24

25 including the forearm and the hand? That's a total of eight.

Q. Now, are those included in your total of the

3 29 wounds?

1

2

4 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. So eight of those wounds are ones that 5

he received by trying to defend himself? 6

Yes. 7 Α.

Q. I've got some photographs. I know you used 8

your own hand to describe to the jury where these are 9

and what they look like, but let's show photographs to 10

the jury. 204, what is that a photograph of? 11

A. This is a photograph of the -- the back of 12

the right hand, showing some of the cuts at the back 13

of the -- of the middle finger, and then had cuts at 14

the -- at the back of the ring finger. You can start 15

to see some of the cuts here at the edge on the -- of 16

the palm, but it's got a -- it's a dark area on the 17

18 photo.

19 Q. What about the area right here in the middle

between I guess your middle and ring finger? 20

21 A. There's some bloodstain here. This is --

this is the cut here on the -- on the middle finger. 22

Q. Okay. So this isn't a cut, this is just a

stain? 24

23

25 Α. Yes, sir.

Q. The cuts are actually down here, around here

2 and here?

3 A. Yes, and in this location, but it's dark in

4 the photograph.

5 Q. You just can't see it, 'cause it's dark in

the photograph? Are the hands showing any evidence of 6

bruising or just the cuts and the bloodstains? 7

A. There's some bleeding in the tissue around 8

it. 9

10

13

23

24

Q. What does that indicate to you?

11 A. You get some bleeding around it from the --

from the knife itself bruising the tissue. 12

Q. By the penetration of the knife, that causes

the tissue to bruise or bleed around it? 14

15 By compressing the tissue and breaking some

of the small vessels. 16

Q. I've got another photograph that's a close-up 17

of the outside of the finger, I believe, 224? What 18

does that show? 19

20 A. This is a photograph that shows the -- the

21 finger, the back of the finger, and the cuts along the

22 back of the finger.

Q. Could you tell us what finger that is.

A. It looks like the ring or the small finger on

25 the right hand. 175

24

25

the surface.

Q. Okay. So those are, of course, not fatal

noted in your report and that you've described for the

jury, either through your testimony or through the

C	ase 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed o	<u>n 01</u>	
	181		183
1	photographs shown to the jury?	1	would be the minimum.
2	A. Yes.	2	Q. In that amount of time. What what would
3	MR. SKURKA: Thank you.	3	that infer to you?
4	Frank, can I have the lights, now,	4	A. As far as the the injuries themselves, you
5	please?	5	look at the pattern of the injuries or the stab
6	Q. (BY MR. SKURKA) I just had a couple of more	6	wounds, for instance, the ones on the back, they're
7	questions to finish up with, Doctor. Based on your	7	clustered together, they're close together, the ones
8	overall examination of the victim identified as Pablo	8	in the neck are close together, so that indicates to
9	Castro in this case, did you were you able to	9	me it's probably a very, very rapid-type action. With
10	determine the cause of death?	10	the four stab wounds in the back are a cluster of
11	A. Yes, sir.	11	wounds that happened one after probably one right
12	Q. Can you tell the jury your official ruling in	12	after another. The ones in the neck, they're close
13	the cause of death.	13	together, they're probably wounds that happened one
14	A. The cause of death was multiple sharp force	14	right after the other.
15	injuries.	15	Q. So does it infer that they were done rapidly?
16	Q. And are those multiple sharp force injuries,	16	A. Yes, sir.
17	again, consistent with being stabbed by a knife?	17	Q. Does it also infer a sense of rage, perhaps?
18	A. Yes.	18	MR. SKURKA: Objection. That calls for
19	Q. Did you also rule in the manner of death in	19	speculation.
20	this case involving Pablo Castro?	20	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to overrule
21	A. Yes, sir.	21	that. I mean, I can can you determine that,
22	Q. And what was the ruling as far as the manner	22	Doctor?
23	of death?	23	THE WITNESS: As far as determining
24	A. The manner of death was classified as	24	what the type of mental state the person's in
0.5		105	thethe envising these injuries. I spolt tall from the
25	homicide.	25	that's causing these injuries, I can't tell from the
25	182	25	184
1	Q. And what does homicide mean?	1	184 injuries themselves.
	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another.	1 2	184 injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.
1	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part	1 2 3	injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the
1 2	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that	1 2 3 4	injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?
1 2 3	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that was found or recovered by the police?	1 2 3 4 5	injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?  A. I don't remember when I've heard that.
1 2 3 4	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that was found or recovered by the police? A. No.	1 2 3 4 5 6	injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?  A. I don't remember when I've heard that.  Q. So you're not familiar with it?
1 2 3 4 5	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that was found or recovered by the police? A. No. Q. Okay. But it's still your testimony that it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?  A. I don't remember when I've heard that.  Q. So you're not familiar with it?  A. Off the hat, it doesn't ring a bell.
1 2 3 4 5 6	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that was found or recovered by the police? A. No. Q. Okay. But it's still your testimony that it was a knife wound.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?  A. I don't remember when I've heard that.  Q. So you're not familiar with it?  A. Off the hat, it doesn't ring a bell.  Q. The wounds that you've described to the jury
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that was found or recovered by the police? A. No. Q. Okay. But it's still your testimony that it was a knife wound. A. Consistent with a knife.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?  A. I don't remember when I've heard that.  Q. So you're not familiar with it?  A. Off the hat, it doesn't ring a bell.  Q. The wounds that you've described to the jury are some of them are different sizes; is that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that was found or recovered by the police? A. No. Q. Okay. But it's still your testimony that it was a knife wound. A. Consistent with a knife. Q. Consistent with a knife wound.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?  A. I don't remember when I've heard that.  Q. So you're not familiar with it?  A. Off the hat, it doesn't ring a bell.  Q. The wounds that you've described to the jury are some of them are different sizes; is that correct?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that was found or recovered by the police? A. No. Q. Okay. But it's still your testimony that it was a knife wound. A. Consistent with a knife. Q. Consistent with a knife wound. A. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?  A. I don't remember when I've heard that.  Q. So you're not familiar with it?  A. Off the hat, it doesn't ring a bell.  Q. The wounds that you've described to the jury are some of them are different sizes; is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that was found or recovered by the police? A. No. Q. Okay. But it's still your testimony that it was a knife wound. A. Consistent with a knife. Q. Consistent with a knife wound. A. Yes. MR. SKURKA: Dr. Fernandez, thank you. I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?  A. I don't remember when I've heard that.  Q. So you're not familiar with it?  A. Off the hat, it doesn't ring a bell.  Q. The wounds that you've described to the jury are some of them are different sizes; is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Could that be due to the use of different
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that was found or recovered by the police? A. No. Q. Okay. But it's still your testimony that it was a knife wound. A. Consistent with a knife. Q. Consistent with a knife wound. A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: Dr. Fernandez, thank you. I don't think I have any other questions.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?  A. I don't remember when I've heard that.  Q. So you're not familiar with it?  A. Off the hat, it doesn't ring a bell.  Q. The wounds that you've described to the jury are some of them are different sizes; is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Could that be due to the use of different knives, perhaps?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that was found or recovered by the police? A. No. Q. Okay. But it's still your testimony that it was a knife wound. A. Consistent with a knife. Q. Consistent with a knife wound. A. Yes. MR. SKURKA: Dr. Fernandez, thank you. I don't think I have any other questions. THE COURT: All right. Cross.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?  A. I don't remember when I've heard that.  Q. So you're not familiar with it?  A. Off the hat, it doesn't ring a bell.  Q. The wounds that you've described to the jury are some of them are different sizes; is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Could that be due to the use of different knives, perhaps?  A. It's possible.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that was found or recovered by the police? A. No. Q. Okay. But it's still your testimony that it was a knife wound. A. Consistent with a knife. Q. Consistent with a knife wound. A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: Dr. Fernandez, thank you. I don't think I have any other questions.  THE COURT: All right. Cross.  MR. GARZA: May I proceed, Your Honor.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?  A. I don't remember when I've heard that.  Q. So you're not familiar with it?  A. Off the hat, it doesn't ring a bell.  Q. The wounds that you've described to the jury are some of them are different sizes; is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Could that be due to the use of different knives, perhaps?  A. It's possible.  Q. Okay. Now, as far as the use of a serrated
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that was found or recovered by the police? A. No. Q. Okay. But it's still your testimony that it was a knife wound. A. Consistent with a knife. Q. Consistent with a knife wound. A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: Dr. Fernandez, thank you. I don't think I have any other questions.  THE COURT: All right. Cross.  MR. GARZA: May I proceed, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?  A. I don't remember when I've heard that.  Q. So you're not familiar with it?  A. Off the hat, it doesn't ring a bell.  Q. The wounds that you've described to the jury are some of them are different sizes; is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Could that be due to the use of different knives, perhaps?  A. It's possible.  Q. Okay. Now, as far as the use of a serrated knife, does a serrated knife have a tendency to leave
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that was found or recovered by the police? A. No. Q. Okay. But it's still your testimony that it was a knife wound. A. Consistent with a knife. Q. Consistent with a knife wound. A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: Dr. Fernandez, thank you. I don't think I have any other questions.  THE COURT: All right. Cross.  MR. GARZA: May I proceed, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Yes.  CROSS-EXAMINATION	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?  A. I don't remember when I've heard that.  Q. So you're not familiar with it?  A. Off the hat, it doesn't ring a bell.  Q. The wounds that you've described to the jury are some of them are different sizes; is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Could that be due to the use of different knives, perhaps?  A. It's possible.  Q. Okay. Now, as far as the use of a serrated knife, does a serrated knife have a tendency to leave some sort of different indications in their wound
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that was found or recovered by the police? A. No. Q. Okay. But it's still your testimony that it was a knife wound. A. Consistent with a knife. Q. Consistent with a knife wound. A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: Dr. Fernandez, thank you. I don't think I have any other questions.  THE COURT: All right. Cross.  MR. GARZA: May I proceed, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Yes.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. GARZA:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?  A. I don't remember when I've heard that.  Q. So you're not familiar with it?  A. Off the hat, it doesn't ring a bell.  Q. The wounds that you've described to the jury are some of them are different sizes; is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Could that be due to the use of different knives, perhaps?  A. It's possible.  Q. Okay. Now, as far as the use of a serrated knife, does a serrated knife have a tendency to leave some sort of different indications in their wound patterns?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that was found or recovered by the police? A. No. Q. Okay. But it's still your testimony that it was a knife wound. A. Consistent with a knife. Q. Consistent with a knife wound. A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: Dr. Fernandez, thank you. I don't think I have any other questions.  THE COURT: All right. Cross.  MR. GARZA: May I proceed, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Yes.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. GARZA: Q. Dr. Fernandez, from the amount of the of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?  A. I don't remember when I've heard that.  Q. So you're not familiar with it?  A. Off the hat, it doesn't ring a bell.  Q. The wounds that you've described to the jury are some of them are different sizes; is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Could that be due to the use of different knives, perhaps?  A. It's possible.  Q. Okay. Now, as far as the use of a serrated knife, does a serrated knife have a tendency to leave some sort of different indications in their wound patterns?  A. A serrated knife may or may not leave a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that was found or recovered by the police? A. No. Q. Okay. But it's still your testimony that it was a knife wound. A. Consistent with a knife. Q. Consistent with a knife wound. A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: Dr. Fernandez, thank you. I don't think I have any other questions.  THE COURT: All right. Cross.  MR. GARZA: May I proceed, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Yes.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. GARZA: Q. Dr. Fernandez, from the amount of the of stab wounds, are you able to tell how long it took for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?  A. I don't remember when I've heard that.  Q. So you're not familiar with it?  A. Off the hat, it doesn't ring a bell.  Q. The wounds that you've described to the jury are some of them are different sizes; is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Could that be due to the use of different knives, perhaps?  A. It's possible.  Q. Okay. Now, as far as the use of a serrated knife, does a serrated knife have a tendency to leave some sort of different indications in their wound patterns?  A. A serrated knife may or may not leave a different mark on the surface of the body.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that was found or recovered by the police? A. No. Q. Okay. But it's still your testimony that it was a knife wound. A. Consistent with a knife. Q. Consistent with a knife wound. A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: Dr. Fernandez, thank you. I don't think I have any other questions.  THE COURT: All right. Cross.  MR. GARZA: May I proceed, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Yes.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. GARZA: Q. Dr. Fernandez, from the amount of the of stab wounds, are you able to tell how long it took for them to be inflicted?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?  A. I don't remember when I've heard that.  Q. So you're not familiar with it?  A. Off the hat, it doesn't ring a bell.  Q. The wounds that you've described to the jury are some of them are different sizes; is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Could that be due to the use of different knives, perhaps?  A. It's possible.  Q. Okay. Now, as far as the use of a serrated knife, does a serrated knife have a tendency to leave some sort of different indications in their wound patterns?  A. A serrated knife may or may not leave a different mark on the surface of the body.  Q. Can you explain that?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that was found or recovered by the police? A. No. Q. Okay. But it's still your testimony that it was a knife wound. A. Consistent with a knife. Q. Consistent with a knife wound. A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: Dr. Fernandez, thank you. I don't think I have any other questions.  THE COURT: All right. Cross.  MR. GARZA: May I proceed, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Yes.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. GARZA: Q. Dr. Fernandez, from the amount of the of stab wounds, are you able to tell how long it took for them to be inflicted? A. As far as the amount of time it would take	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?  A. I don't remember when I've heard that.  Q. So you're not familiar with it?  A. Off the hat, it doesn't ring a bell.  Q. The wounds that you've described to the jury are some of them are different sizes; is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Could that be due to the use of different knives, perhaps?  A. It's possible.  Q. Okay. Now, as far as the use of a serrated knife, does a serrated knife have a tendency to leave some sort of different indications in their wound patterns?  A. A serrated knife may or may not leave a different mark on the surface of the body.  Q. Can you explain that?  A. With a serrated knife, it would be an edge
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And what does homicide mean? A. Homicide means death at the hands of another. Q. Was any knife ever presented to you as part of your investigation, what type or kind of knife that was found or recovered by the police? A. No. Q. Okay. But it's still your testimony that it was a knife wound. A. Consistent with a knife. Q. Consistent with a knife wound. A. Yes.  MR. SKURKA: Dr. Fernandez, thank you. I don't think I have any other questions.  THE COURT: All right. Cross.  MR. GARZA: May I proceed, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Yes.  CROSS-EXAMINATION  BY MR. GARZA: Q. Dr. Fernandez, from the amount of the of stab wounds, are you able to tell how long it took for them to be inflicted?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Injuries themselves.  THE COURT: All right.  Q. (BY MR. GARZA) Are you familiar with the term, "perseveration"?  A. I don't remember when I've heard that.  Q. So you're not familiar with it?  A. Off the hat, it doesn't ring a bell.  Q. The wounds that you've described to the jury are some of them are different sizes; is that correct?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Could that be due to the use of different knives, perhaps?  A. It's possible.  Q. Okay. Now, as far as the use of a serrated knife, does a serrated knife have a tendency to leave some sort of different indications in their wound patterns?  A. A serrated knife may or may not leave a different mark on the surface of the body.  Q. Can you explain that?

25 move the hand with a sharp-edged knife ten times, that

25 of the knife or if it drags along the surface of the

C	ase 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed o	n 01	/26/15 in TXSD Page 50 of 54
1	body, then you may see a pattern on the surface of the	1	A. Toxicology involves testing the blood for
1		2	drugs, drugs of abuse, alcohol.
2	body that would be consistent with a serrated-type		
3	knife.	3	Q. Did you do that test on on the victim in
4	Q. Were there any indications from any of these	4	this case, Pablo Castro?
5	wounds that you examined as to whether or not they	5	A. Yes, sir.
6	could have been caused by a serrated knife?	6	Q. Did you find evidence of alcohol in Mr.
7	A. I didn't see anything specifically on the	7	Castro's body?
8	surface that looked to me look a pattern from a	8	A. There was none detected.
9	serrated knife, but I can't say whether it is a	9	Q. Did you find evidence of marijuana in Mr.
10	serrated knife or not a serrated knife.	10	Castro's body?
11	MR. GARZA: Thank you, Doctor.	11	A. Yes, there was none detected.
12	Pass the witness.	12	Q. There was none detected. How about cocaine
13	THE COURT: Anything else?	13	or heroin or any other abusive or illegal drugs?
14	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	14	A. Yes, those drugs of abuse were tested for and
15	BY MR. SKURKA:	15	they were none detected.
16	Q. The fact that the wounds are different sizes,	16	Q. So Mr. Castro had no drugs or anything in his
17	does that necessarily mean there's two different or	17	body, correct?
18	three different knives?	18	A. For those tests, there were none detected.
19	A. No, it doesn't necessarily mean that.	19	MR. SKURKA: Thank you.
20	Q. What does what does it mean?	20	I'll pass the witness.
21	A. Well, you can have two different wounds	21	THE COURT: Anything else?
22	two difference appearances of the wound on the body	22	MR. GARZA: No other questions.
23	because of different factors. One of them may be the	23	THE COURT: All right. You may stand
24	knife goes in at a different angle, the person is	24	down.
25	moving that's being attacked and it causes a change in	25	MR. SKURKA: May he be excused, Your
	186		188
1	the shape of it. There's different factors that can	1	Honor?
2	cause you can have a different depth to the wound,	2	THE COURT: Yes, he may.
3	also, from the same knife.	3	Please don't discuss your testimony with
4	Q. So if you had one knife that was five or six	4	anyone, except for the lawyers.
5	inches long and you did different stabbings, as	5	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
6	opposed to angle, depth, direction, it might appear	6	MR. SKURKA: Your Honor, at this time
7	that they're different size wounds, but they could all	7	the State rests its case.
8	be coming from the same knife, could they not?	8	THE COURT: All right. Ladies and
9	A. Yes. Those are the type of factors that	9	gentlemen, I'm going to go ahead and let you go for
10	would cause that. That's right.	10	the day, all right? Be back at 9:00 tomorrow and we
11	Q. And did you see any evidence in Mr. Castro's	11	will resume the trial.
12	wounds that a knife was, like you said, dragged along	12	All right, all rise for the jury.
13	the body, that would reveal a serrated knife?	13	(Jury exits courtroom.)
14	A. No. I didn't see anything that I'd call a	14	THE COURT: All right. Be seated. What
15	pattern from a serrated knife.	15	says Defense Counsel?
16	Q. So it is your testimony is that it could	16	MR. GARZA: Your Honor, at this time,
17	be a serrated knife, you just can't tell the	17	the Defense Counsel also rests.
18	difference if it's a serrated knife or straight edge.	18	THE COURT: All right. I suppose there
19	A. That's correct.	19	being no rebuttal, therefore
20	Q. Okay. The last question I want to ask you,	20	MR. SKURKA: No, Your Honor, no rebuttal.
	did Mr. Cast did you do a toxicology screen on Mr.	21	THE COURT: both sides close.
21	·		MR. SKURKA: Yes, Your Honor.
22	Castro?	22	MR. GARZA: Tes, Your Hollor.  MR. GARZA: That's correct.
23	A. Yes.	23	
24	Q. Can you tell the jury what you're talking	24	THE COURT: Okay. I'll let you what
25	about when I say "a toxicology screen"?	25	we'll do is I'll let you rest in front of the jury in

С	ase 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed o	<u>n 01</u>	
	189		19:
1	the morning, and then we'll go into closing arguments.	1	don't the question okay, so that's agreed.
2	Now, let's talk a little bit about the	2	We'll put that in.
3	Charge. I've got a Charge here. Is the Defense	3	The question then becomes lesser included
4	requesting any instructions, additions in addition	4	of murder. Let's see, what evidence do we have that
5	to what we have here?	5	there was a robbery or attempted robbery? Well, we
6	MR. GARZA: Your Honor, I think there's	6	have the the the Co-Defendant certainly
7	some indication possibly from some of	7	testified that they had planned the robbery; we have
8	my cross-examination, where I would ask the Court to	8	the Defendant running from the scene, running to
9	consider inserting in the Charge a an instruction	9	one not that Co-Defendant's sister's house, but
10	as to a lesser included offense.	10	another one, stating that they had robbed somebody; we
11	THE COURT: Being murder.	11	have
12	MR. GARZA: Murder. Yes. And also	12	MR. JONES: No, they didn't
13	accomplice, the the instruction on accomplice	13	THE COURT: testi
14	testimony.	14	MR. JONES: No. They said they stabbed
15	THE COURT: Oh, yeah. I think the	15	somebody.
16	accomplice testimony definitely needs to be in there.	16	MR. GARZA: No, a stabbing, that they
17	MR. JONES: This is an accomplice as a	17	stabbed somebody.
18	matter of law because he's a Co-Defendant.	18	THE COURT: Oh, okay, all right. All
19	THE COURT: Uh-huh.	19	right, then, scratch that.
20	MR. SKURKA: And my response, Your	20	MR. GARZA: I don't know that robbery was
		21	ever mentioned.
21	Honor, to the first thing, I don't see any evidence of	22	MR. SKURKA: Judge, may I clarify, too,
22	it being a lesser included of murder. The evidence is	23	that and I don't know, the Court probably hadn't
23	clear that this was a robbery. We've had, I know,	24	forgotten this, but the two eyewitnesses, Kashif Butt
24	evidence all over the place about this being a	25	and Mariano Cervantes, both testified that the
25	robbery, both from the Co-Defendants and the actual  190	20	19
_	evidence shown from the trial. I would I would	1	Defendant and his Co-Defendant were going through the
1			
2	reject the motion for the lesser included.	2	pockets of the person as he fell to the ground.  That's clearly evidence of robbery, going through the
3	As for the accomplice witness I guess I	3	
4	probably don't have a choice on that	4	pockets.
5	THE COURT: I don't you do.	5	THE COURT: Now, I I know that
6	MR. SKURKA: in saying that the	6	that, certainly I recall Mr. Butt testifying as to
7	accomplice witness should probably go in, and I'm	7	that after you showed him the statement. The other
8	assuming that we're we're talking about Christina	8	witnesses, I recall, though, recalled
9	Chavez	9	MR. GARZA: Nothing about that until he
10	THE COURT: Uh-huh.	10	was reminded of it.
11	MR. SKURKA: and the instruction says,	11	MR. SKURKA: Well
12	"You instructed instructed that she's an accomplice	12	THE COURT: Well, one of the witnesses, I
13	witness, as a matter of law," and then the law of	13	believe, recalled it and one of them did not, until he
14	corroboration on that. That that's the instruction	14	was reminded.
15	you're talking about, Mr. Jones and Mr. Garza?	15	MR. SKURKA: That's right. And just to
16	MR. GARZA: Correct.	16	clarify the record, Mr. Butt said that both of them
	MR. JONES: Right.	17	had gone through the pockets. Mr. Cervantes did not
17	MR. JONES. Right.		remember it, until he refreshed his memory, and said
17 18	MR. SKURKA: Okay.	18	
		18 19	that I think the girl had gone through the pockets.
18	MR. SKURKA: Okay.		
18 19	MR. SKURKA: Okay. THE COURT: Okay.	19	that I think the girl had gone through the pockets.
18 19 20	MR. SKURKA: Okay.  THE COURT: Okay.  MR. SKURKA: If the Court requires that,	19 20	that I think the girl had gone through the pockets.  THE COURT: Yeah, then we also had
18 19 20 21	MR. SKURKA: Okay.  THE COURT: Okay.  MR. SKURKA: If the Court requires that,  I can put that in	19 20 21	that I think the girl had gone through the pockets.  THE COURT: Yeah, then we also had testimony from the Co-Defendant that that
18 19 20 21 22	MR. SKURKA: Okay.  THE COURT: Okay.  MR. SKURKA: If the Court requires that,  I can put that in  THE COURT: Yeah, let's put	19 20 21 22	that I think the girl had gone through the pockets.  THE COURT: Yeah, then we also had testimony from the Co-Defendant that that MR. SKURKA: \$1.25.

	ase 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed of	<u> </u>	
	193		195
1	MR. SKURKA: The dollar was recovered.	1	they they their in-court memory was that they
2	THE COURT: It was bloody.	2	didn't see the Defendant going through the pockets.
3	MR. SKURKA: And it was bloody. And it	3	The actual money that the dollar bill came from
4	was found in the Ford van.	4	Angela and not the Defendant.
5	THE COURT: Okay.	5	Also, the the manner the
6	MR. SKURKA: Also, I would point out,	6	Co-Defendant said when they set out to so-called "go
7	Judge, the extraneous offenses that were brought in to	7	rob some places," their agreement was that nobody
8	show the intent, or the other thing of robbery	8	would be hurt. And so him the Defendant stabbing
9	THE COURT: Well	9	somebody is inconsistent with that agreement.
10	MR. SKURKA: since he robbed the two	10	THE COURT: Well, but if
11	Whataburgers, I brought those in to show the intent or	11	MR. JONES: He may have been operating
12	motive or plan or intent was to rob, and the other	12	from some
13	ones since they just kept doing robberies.	13	MR. SKURKA: Except he carried a knife to
14	THE COURT: But the question is, do they	14	that robbery.
15	have any evidence to show the lesser included? I	15	MR. JONES: He may have been operating
16	mean, you've certainly got evidence to get to the	16	under some some different motive at the time of the
17	jury. I mean, I I don't think I don't think	17	actual because that raises an issue, which I think
18	they're	18	Har .
19	MR. JONES: It's not an option.	19	THE COURT: Well, that that pitch
20	THE COURT: they're saying that.	20	isn't a good one. I I disagree with you. I mean,
21	MR. JONES: No.	21	if you believe her, that they set out to do a robbery,
22	THE COURT: That's no even the issue.	22	then I don't think you get there. The question is, if
23	The issue becomes whether there's	23	the jury does not believe her in any way, shape or
24	MR. SKURKA: But the Blockburger test	24	form, and they reject her testimony, is there evidence
25	shows that it's to get a lesser included, you have	25	to support the lesser included.
	194		196
1	to have evidence of the lesser included.	1	That's where I that's where I'm coming
2	AND CADTA MAIN CHILD		
-	MR. GARZA: Well, some of the evidence	2	from because if you believe her
3	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue	3	from because if you believe her MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow
1			·
3	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue	3	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow
3 4	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could	3 4	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.
3 4 5	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could have just constituted a theft, Judge, and	3 4 5	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.  THE COURT: I think you're
3 4 5 6	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could have just constituted a theft, Judge, and  MR. JONES: Well, but but can I join	3 4 5 6	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.  THE COURT: I think you're MR. JONES: Let's see
3 4 5 6 7	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could have just constituted a theft, Judge, and  MR. JONES: Well, but but can I join in here?	3 4 5 6 7	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.  THE COURT: I think you're MR. JONES: Let's see MR. SKURKA: But it's not just her,
3 4 5 6 7 8	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could have just constituted a theft, Judge, and  MR. JONES: Well, but but can I join in here?  THE COURT: Uh-huh.	3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.  THE COURT: I think you're MR. JONES: Let's see MR. SKURKA: But it's not just her, Judge. Remember and disagreeing with Mr. Jones, it
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could have just constituted a theft, Judge, and  MR. JONES: Well, but but can I join in here?  THE COURT: Uh-huh.  MR. JONES: All right, the question is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.  THE COURT: I think you're MR. JONES: Let's see MR. SKURKA: But it's not just her, Judge. Remember and disagreeing with Mr. Jones, it was established that Mr. Butt did see both of them go
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could have just constituted a theft, Judge, and  MR. JONES: Well, but but can I join in here?  THE COURT: Uh-huh.  MR. JONES: All right, the question is here, at the time the homicide took place, what was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.  THE COURT: I think you're MR. JONES: Let's see MR. SKURKA: But it's not just her,  Judge. Remember and disagreeing with Mr. Jones, it was established that Mr. Butt did see both of them go through pockets. It was that one witness that only
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could have just constituted a theft, Judge, and  MR. JONES: Well, but but can I join in here?  THE COURT: Uh-huh.  MR. JONES: All right, the question is here, at the time the homicide took place, what was the purpose of the homicide? In other words, was it	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.  THE COURT: I think you're MR. JONES: Let's see MR. SKURKA: But it's not just her,  Judge. Remember and disagreeing with Mr. Jones, it was established that Mr. Butt did see both of them go through pockets. It was that one witness that only saw her go through the pockets, but under the law of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could have just constituted a theft, Judge, and  MR. JONES: Well, but but can I join in here?  THE COURT: Uh-huh.  MR. JONES: All right, the question is here, at the time the homicide took place, what was the purpose of the homicide? In other words, was it was it committed in order to affect or to get the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.  THE COURT: I think you're MR. JONES: Let's see MR. SKURKA: But it's not just her, Judge. Remember and disagreeing with Mr. Jones, it was established that Mr. Butt did see both of them go through pockets. It was that one witness that only saw her go through the pockets, but under the law of parties, it doesn't really matter.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could have just constituted a theft, Judge, and  MR. JONES: Well, but but can I join in here?  THE COURT: Uh-huh.  MR. JONES: All right, the question is here, at the time the homicide took place, what was the purpose of the homicide? In other words, was it was it committed in order to affect or to get the money?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.  THE COURT: I think you're MR. JONES: Let's see MR. SKURKA: But it's not just her, Judge. Remember and disagreeing with Mr. Jones, it was established that Mr. Butt did see both of them go through pockets. It was that one witness that only saw her go through the pockets, but under the law of parties, it doesn't really matter.  MR. JONES: But the testimony of those
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could have just constituted a theft, Judge, and  MR. JONES: Well, but but can I join in here?  THE COURT: Uh-huh.  MR. JONES: All right, the question is here, at the time the homicide took place, what was the purpose of the homicide? In other words, was it was it committed in order to affect or to get the money?  THE COURT: Or was it just committed just	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.  THE COURT: I think you're MR. JONES: Let's see MR. SKURKA: But it's not just her, Judge. Remember and disagreeing with Mr. Jones, it was established that Mr. Butt did see both of them go through pockets. It was that one witness that only saw her go through the pockets, but under the law of parties, it doesn't really matter.  MR. JONES: But the testimony of those two guys across the street, you know, is, you know,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could have just constituted a theft, Judge, and  MR. JONES: Well, but but can I join in here?  THE COURT: Uh-huh.  MR. JONES: All right, the question is here, at the time the homicide took place, what was the purpose of the homicide? In other words, was it was it committed in order to affect or to get the money?  THE COURT: Or was it just committed just for the fun of it?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.  THE COURT: I think you're MR. JONES: Let's see MR. SKURKA: But it's not just her, Judge. Remember and disagreeing with Mr. Jones, it was established that Mr. Butt did see both of them go through pockets. It was that one witness that only saw her go through the pockets, but under the law of parties, it doesn't really matter.  MR. JONES: But the testimony of those two guys across the street, you know, is, you know, the jury's told they can believe all the testimony or
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could have just constituted a theft, Judge, and  MR. JONES: Well, but but can I join in here?  THE COURT: Uh-huh.  MR. JONES: All right, the question is here, at the time the homicide took place, what was the purpose of the homicide? In other words, was it was it committed in order to affect or to get the money?  THE COURT: Or was it just committed just for the fun of it?  MR. JONES: Or was it was it just a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.  THE COURT: I think you're MR. JONES: Let's see MR. SKURKA: But it's not just her, Judge. Remember and disagreeing with Mr. Jones, it was established that Mr. Butt did see both of them go through pockets. It was that one witness that only saw her go through the pockets, but under the law of parties, it doesn't really matter.  MR. JONES: But the testimony of those two guys across the street, you know, is, you know, the jury's told they can believe all the testimony or part of the testimony of the witnesses. It's a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could have just constituted a theft, Judge, and  MR. JONES: Well, but but can I join in here?  THE COURT: Uh-huh.  MR. JONES: All right, the question is here, at the time the homicide took place, what was the purpose of the homicide? In other words, was it was it committed in order to affect or to get the money?  THE COURT: Or was it just committed just for the fun of it?  MR. JONES: Or was it was it just a just kill the guy cause he wanted to kill him, okay?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.  THE COURT: I think you're MR. JONES: Let's see MR. SKURKA: But it's not just her, Judge. Remember and disagreeing with Mr. Jones, it was established that Mr. Butt did see both of them go through pockets. It was that one witness that only saw her go through the pockets, but under the law of parties, it doesn't really matter.  MR. JONES: But the testimony of those two guys across the street, you know, is, you know, the jury's told they can believe all the testimony or part of the testimony of the witnesses. It's a possibility that that the jury could could
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could have just constituted a theft, Judge, and  MR. JONES: Well, but but can I join in here?  THE COURT: Uh-huh.  MR. JONES: All right, the question is here, at the time the homicide took place, what was the purpose of the homicide? In other words, was it was it committed in order to affect or to get the money?  THE COURT: Or was it just committed just for the fun of it?  MR. JONES: Or was it was it just a just kill the guy cause he wanted to kill him, okay?  THE COURT: Cause he wanted to kill him	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.  THE COURT: I think you're MR. JONES: Let's see MR. SKURKA: But it's not just her, Judge. Remember and disagreeing with Mr. Jones, it was established that Mr. Butt did see both of them go through pockets. It was that one witness that only saw her go through the pockets, but under the law of parties, it doesn't really matter.  MR. JONES: But the testimony of those two guys across the street, you know, is, you know, the jury's told they can believe all the testimony or part of the testimony of the witnesses. It's a possibility that that the jury could could actually not believe
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could have just constituted a theft, Judge, and  MR. JONES: Well, but but can I join in here?  THE COURT: Uh-huh.  MR. JONES: All right, the question is here, at the time the homicide took place, what was the purpose of the homicide? In other words, was it was it committed in order to affect or to get the money?  THE COURT: Or was it just committed just for the fun of it?  MR. JONES: Or was it was it just a just kill the guy cause he wanted to kill him, okay?  THE COURT: Cause he wanted to kill him	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.  THE COURT: I think you're MR. JONES: Let's see MR. SKURKA: But it's not just her, Judge. Remember and disagreeing with Mr. Jones, it was established that Mr. Butt did see both of them go through pockets. It was that one witness that only saw her go through the pockets, but under the law of parties, it doesn't really matter.  MR. JONES: But the testimony of those two guys across the street, you know, is, you know, the jury's told they can believe all the testimony or part of the testimony of the witnesses. It's a possibility that that the jury could could actually not believe THE COURT: Yeah.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could have just constituted a theft, Judge, and  MR. JONES: Well, but but can I join in here?  THE COURT: Uh-huh.  MR. JONES: All right, the question is here, at the time the homicide took place, what was the purpose of the homicide? In other words, was it was it committed in order to affect or to get the money?  THE COURT: Or was it just committed just for the fun of it?  MR. JONES: Or was it was it just a just kill the guy cause he wanted to kill him, okay?  THE COURT: Cause he wanted to kill him or	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.  THE COURT: I think you're MR. JONES: Let's see MR. SKURKA: But it's not just her, Judge. Remember and disagreeing with Mr. Jones, it was established that Mr. Butt did see both of them go through pockets. It was that one witness that only saw her go through the pockets, but under the law of parties, it doesn't really matter.  MR. JONES: But the testimony of those two guys across the street, you know, is, you know, the jury's told they can believe all the testimony or part of the testimony of the witnesses. It's a possibility that that the jury could could actually not believe THE COURT: Yeah. MR. JONES: Christina Chavez at all,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could have just constituted a theft, Judge, and  MR. JONES: Well, but but can I join in here?  THE COURT: Uh-huh.  MR. JONES: All right, the question is here, at the time the homicide took place, what was the purpose of the homicide? In other words, was it was it committed in order to affect or to get the money?  THE COURT: Or was it just committed just for the fun of it?  MR. JONES: Or was it was it just a just kill the guy cause he wanted to kill him, okay?  THE COURT: Cause he wanted to kill him or  MR. JONES: So now, the the  THE COURT: That's all we have, really.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.  THE COURT: I think you're MR. JONES: Let's see MR. SKURKA: But it's not just her, Judge. Remember and disagreeing with Mr. Jones, it was established that Mr. Butt did see both of them go through pockets. It was that one witness that only saw her go through the pockets, but under the law of parties, it doesn't really matter.  MR. JONES: But the testimony of those two guys across the street, you know, is, you know, the jury's told they can believe all the testimony or part of the testimony of the witnesses. It's a possibility that that the jury could could actually not believe THE COURT: Yeah. MR. JONES: Christina Chavez at all, because she she had some serious discrepancies in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could have just constituted a theft, Judge, and  MR. JONES: Well, but but can I join in here?  THE COURT: Uh-huh.  MR. JONES: All right, the question is here, at the time the homicide took place, what was the purpose of the homicide? In other words, was it was it committed in order to affect or to get the money?  THE COURT: Or was it just committed just for the fun of it?  MR. JONES: Or was it was it just a just kill the guy cause he wanted to kill him, okay?  THE COURT: Cause he wanted to kill him or  MR. JONES: So now, the the  THE COURT: That's all we have, really.  MR. SKURKA: But there's no evidence of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.  THE COURT: I think you're MR. JONES: Let's see MR. SKURKA: But it's not just her, Judge. Remember and disagreeing with Mr. Jones, it was established that Mr. Butt did see both of them go through pockets. It was that one witness that only saw her go through the pockets, but under the law of parties, it doesn't really matter.  MR. JONES: But the testimony of those two guys across the street, you know, is, you know, the jury's told they can believe all the testimony or part of the testimony of the witnesses. It's a possibility that that the jury could could actually not believe  THE COURT: Yeah.  MR. JONES: Christina Chavez at all, because she she had some serious discrepancies in her testimony about whether which which goes to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that we would like to be able to be allowed to argue as part of our argument to the jury is that it could have just constituted a theft, Judge, and  MR. JONES: Well, but but can I join in here?  THE COURT: Uh-huh.  MR. JONES: All right, the question is here, at the time the homicide took place, what was the purpose of the homicide? In other words, was it was it committed in order to affect or to get the money?  THE COURT: Or was it just committed just for the fun of it?  MR. JONES: Or was it was it just a just kill the guy cause he wanted to kill him, okay?  THE COURT: Cause he wanted to kill him or  MR. JONES: So now, the the  THE COURT: That's all we have, really.  MR. SKURKA: But there's no evidence of this being an after-the-fact murder.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. JONES: Well, let's let's follow that.  THE COURT: I think you're MR. JONES: Let's see MR. SKURKA: But it's not just her, Judge. Remember and disagreeing with Mr. Jones, it was established that Mr. Butt did see both of them go through pockets. It was that one witness that only saw her go through the pockets, but under the law of parties, it doesn't really matter.  MR. JONES: But the testimony of those two guys across the street, you know, is, you know, the jury's told they can believe all the testimony or part of the testimony of the witnesses. It's a possibility that that the jury could could actually not believe  THE COURT: Yeah.  MR. JONES: Christina Chavez at all, because she she had some serious discrepancies in her testimony about whether which which goes to her credibility. So if you exclude if the jury

197 1 MR. SKURKA: There's other evidence of problery. 2 robbery. 3 MR. JONES: Let me finish. 4 THE COURT: Let him finish. 5 MR. JONES: If the jury believes 6 taking the two witnesses at the car wash, if they taking the two witnesses at the car wash, if they he's guilty of is is a homicide. 9 he's guilty of is is a homicide. 10 MR. SKURKA: Judge 11 MR. JONES: And we don't we don't know the motive, and they don't have to prove the motive. 11 MR. JONES: And we don't we don't know the motive, and they don't have to prove the motive. 12 the motive, and they don't have to prove the motive. 13 a lesser included 14 Court, I'll take away the appellate issue and agree to a lesser included 15 a lesser included 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. SKURKA: Tom with this coming back because of the lesser included. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. SKURKA: Tom't want this coming back because of the lesser included. 20 back because of the lesser included. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, done. 22 Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to put the accomplice witness 23 MR. SKURKA: Tom't want this coming back because of the lesser included. 24 MR. SKURKA: Stirre and you going to put the accomplice witness 25 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an series of the lesser included. 26 MR. SKURKA: I flant, back and you going to put the accomplice witness 26 MR. SKURKA: I flant, budge, if if appearance of the lesser included. 26 MR. SKURKA: I flat, budge, if if appearance of the lesser included. 27 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my secretary to go work on it right now and then before they leve today we can hammer it out. 28 MR. GARZA: We can hamp around. 29 MR. GARZA: We can hamp around. 29 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	_ C	ase 2:12-cv-00410 Document 31-50 Filed o	n 01	L/26/15 in TXSD Page 53 of 54
2 robbery. 3				
MR. JONES: Let me finish.  THE COURT: Let him finish.  MR. JONES: If the jury believes—  taking the two witnesses at the car wash, if they  believe that their in-court testimony before they were  impeached is — is what — is what happened, then all  he's guilty of is — is a homicide.  MR. SKURKA: Judge —  MR. SKURKA: Judge	1	MR. SKURKA: There's other evidence of	1	agreements?
THE COURT: Let him finish.  MR. JONES: If the jury believes —  taking the two witnesses at the car wash, if they  believe that their in-court testimony before they were  impeached is — is what — is what happened, then all  he's guilty of is — is a homicide.  MR. JONES: Wash. You need to come back here  mpeached is — is what — is what happened, then all  he's guilty of is — is a homicide.  MR. JONES: And we don't — we don't know  MR. SKURKA: You need to come back here  mad look at this other part.  MR. GARZA: On the lesser included.  MR. SKURKA: Pill make it easy for the  MR. SKURKA: No, the part about the  mr. SKURKA: I'll make it easy for the  MR. SKURKA: No, the part about the  mr. SKURKA: Tof murder.  THE COURT: Okay.  THE COURT: Okay.  MR. SKURKA: Tof murder.  THE COURT: Okay.  MR. SKURKA: Tof murder.  THE COURT: Okay.  MR. SKURKA: Tof murder.  THE COURT: Okay.  THE COURT: Okay.  MR. SKURKA: Tof murder.  THE COURT: Okay.  THE COURT: Okay.  MR. SKURKA: Tof murder.  THE COURT: Okay.  THE COURT: Okay.  MR. SKURKA: Tof murder.  THE COURT: Okay.	2	robbery.	2	MR. SKURKA: That's what we're working
5 MR. JONES: If the jury believes 6 taking the two witnesses at the car wash, if they 7 believe that their in-court testimony before they were 8 impeached is is what is what happened, then all 9 he's guilty of is is a homicide. 10 MR. SKURKA: Judge 11 MR. SKURKA: Judge 12 the motive, and they don't have to prove the motive. 13 MR. SKURKA: I'll make it easy for the 14 Court, I'll take away the appellate issue and agree to 15 a lesser included 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. SKURKA: I'll make it easy for the 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. SKURKA: No, the part about the 19 MR. SKURKA: I'll make it easy for the 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. SKURKA: For murder. 12 MR. SKURKA: of murder. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. SKURKA: I don't want this coming 15 back because of the lesser included. 26 back because of the lesser included. 27 THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, done. 28 Dack because of the lesser included. 29 put the accomplice witness 20 MR. SKURKA: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an 22 let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to 23 put the accomplice witness 24 MR. SKURKA: Yes, sir. 25 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an 26 language. 27 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if 28 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait. 29 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my 20 secretary to go work on it right now and then before 20 they leave today we can hammer it out. 21 MR. GARZA: We can hamg around. 22 MR. SKURKA: Let's do that. We've	3	MR. JONES: Let me finish.	3	on, the Charge. It should be ready in a few minutes.
taking the two witnesses at the car wash, if they believe that their in-court testimony before they were impeached is is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what is what happened, then all impeached is is what is what is what happened, then all impeached is what is what this cother part.  The COURT: Okay.  The COURT: Okay.  The COURT: We've got pretty much an is else included.  The COURT: Yeah, let's just wait.  MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if  Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree if the court is what happened, then all impeached in all look at this other part.  The COURT: Okay.  Th	4	THE COURT: Let him finish.	4	THE COURT: Okay. You-all work it out.
7 believe that their in-court testimony before they were 8 impeached is is what is what happened, then all 9 he's guilty of is is a homicide. 10 MR. SKURKA: Judge 11 MR. JONES: And we don't we don't know 12 the motive, and they don't have to prove the motive. 13 MR. SKURKA: I'll make it easy for the 14 Court, I'll take away the appellate issue and agree to 15 a lesser included 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. SKURKA: I don't want this coming 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. SKURKA: I don't want this coming 20 back because of the lesser included. 21 the Accounties withcess 22 Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to 23 put the accomplice witness 24 MR. SKURKA: The COURT: We've got pretty much an 25 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if 26 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree 37 MR. SKURKA: I fight part and you go don'd the sample of the lesser included. 38 THE COURT: Okay. 49 MR. SKURKA: You need to come back here 40 and look at this other part. 40 AMR. SKURKA: No, the part about the 41 THE COURT: If you-all can agree, it's 41 THE COURT: Okay. 41 THE COURT: Okay. 41 THE COURT: Okay. 41 THE COURT: Okay. 42 Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to 42 Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to 43 agreement on the Charge. Why don't you be here a 44 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an 45 agreement on the Charge. Why don't you be here a 46 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if 47 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree 48 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait. 49 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if 40 MR. SKURKA: The count is right now and then before 41 they leave today we can hammer it out. 48 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	5	MR. JONES: If the jury believes	5	MR. JONES: We agreed.
8   Impeached is is what is what happened, then all   9   he's guilty of is is a homicide.   9   MR. SKURKA: You need to come back here   10   MR. SKURKA: Judge   11   MR. JONES: And we don't we don't know   12   the motive, and they don't have to prove the motive.   12   MR. SKURKA: Till make it easy for the   13   lesser included.   14   Court, Till take away the appellate issue and agree to   15   a lesser included   15   a lesser included   16   THE COURT: Okay.   16   (Short recess.)   17   MR. SKURKA: of murder.   17   (Adjournment.)   18   MR. SKURKA: I don't want this coming   19   back because of the lesser included.   20   THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, done.   21   Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to   22   24   MR. SKURKA: Yes, sir.   24   THE COURT: We've got pretty much an   25   THE COURT: so we can look at the language.   198   THE COURT: so we can look at the language.   198   THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait.   198   MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my   198   SKURKA: I'll just get my   198   SKURKA: I'll just get my   198   THE COURT: Yeah, let's fust wait.   198   MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my   198   THE COURT: Yeah, let's fust wait.   198   THE COURT: Yeah, let's fust may be coretary to go work on it right now and then before they leave today we can hammer it out.   198   THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	6	taking the two witnesses at the car wash, if they	6	THE COURT: Okay.
9 MR. SKURKA: You need to come back here 10 MR. JONES: And we don't we don't know 11 MR. JONES: And we don't we don't know 12 the motive, and they don't have to prove the motive. 13 MR. SKURKA: JIII make it easy for the 14 Court, I'll take away the appellate issue and agree to 15 a lesser included 15 fine by me. You're working hard. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 16 (Short recess.) 17 MR. SKURKA: I don't want this coming 18 MR. SKURKA: I don't want this coming 19 back because of the lesser included. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, done. 21 Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to 22 put the accomplice witness 23 23 put the accomplice witness 23 24 MR. SKURKA: Yes, sir. 24 25 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an 198 26 agreement on the Charge. Why don't you be here a 2 little early so 3 MR. JONES: Yes. 198 3 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if 7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree 3 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait. MR. SKURKA: We can hang around. 198 3 MR. GARZA: We can hang around. 198 MR. SKURKA: We can hang around. 198 MR. SKURKA: We can hang around. 198 MR. SKURKA: We've	7	believe that their in-court testimony before they were	7	MR. SKURKA: Yeah, that's
10 MR. SKURKA: Judge 11 MR. JONES: And we don't we don't know 12 the motive, and they don't have to prove the motive. 13 MR. SKURKA: I'll make it easy for the 14 Court, I'll take away the appellate issue and agree to 15 a lesser included 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. SKURKA: of murder. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. SKURKA: I don't want this coming 20 back because of the lesser included. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, done. 22 Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to 23 put the accomplice witness 24 MR. SKURKA: Yes, sir. 25 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an 2 little early so 3 MR. JONES: Yes, 4 THE COURT: so we can look at the language. 6 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my 5 secretary to go work on it right now and then before 10 they leave today we can hammer it out. 12 MR. GARZA: We can hamg around. 13 and look at this other part. 11 MR. GARZA: On the lesser included. 12 MR. SKURKA: No, the part about the 13 lesser included. 14 THE COURT: If you-all can agree, it's 16 (Short recess.) 17 (Adjournment.) 18 (Adjournment.) 19 (Adjournment.) 19 (Adjournment.) 19 (Adjournment.) 20 (Adjournment.) 21 (Adjournment.) 22 (Adjournment.) 23 (Adjournment.) 24 (Adjournment.) 25 (Adjournment.) 26 (Adjournment.) 27 (Adjournment.) 28 (Adjournment.) 29 (Adjournment.) 20 (Adjournment.) 20 (Adjournment.) 21 (Adjournment.) 22 (Adjournment.) 23 (Adjournment.) 24 (Adjournment.) 25 (Adjournment.) 26 (Adjournment.) 27 (Adjournment.) 28 (Adjournment.) 29 (Adjournment.) 20 (Adjournment.) 20 (Adjournment.) 21 (Adjournment.) 22 (Adjournment.) 23 (Adjournment.) 24 (Adjournment.) 25 (Adjournment.) 26 (Adjournment.) 27 (Adjournment.) 28 (Adjournment.) 29 (Adjournment.) 20 (Adjournment.) 20 (Adjournment.) 21 (Adjournment.) 22 (Adjournment.) 23 (Adjournment.) 24 (Adjournment.) 25 (Adjournment.) 26 (Adjournment.) 27 (Adjournment.) 28 (Adjournment.) 29 (Adjournment.) 20 (Adjournment.) 20 (Adjournment.) 21 (Adjournment.) 22 (Adjournment.) 23 (Adjournment.) 24 (Adjournment.) 25 (Adjournment.) 26 (Adjournment.) 27 (Adjournme	8	impeached is is what is what happened, then all	8	THE COURT: Okay.
11 MR. JONES: And we don't we don't know 12 the motive, and they don't have to prove the motive. 13 MR. SKURKA: I'll make it easy for the 14 Court, I'll take away the appellate issue and agree to 15 a lesser included 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. SKURKA: of murder. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. SKURKA: I don't want this coming 19 back because of the lesser included. 20 back because of the lesser included. 21 THE COURT: Okay, Well, then, done. 22 Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to 23 put the accomplice witness 24 MR. SKURKA: Yes, sir. 25 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an  198 1 agreement on the Charge. Why don't you be here a little early so 3 MR. JONES: Yes. 4 THE COURT: so we can look at the language. 6 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if 7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree 8 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait. 9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my secretary to go work on it right now and then before they leave today we can hammer it out. 12 MR. GARZA: On the lesser included. 13 MR. SKURKA: No, the part about the lesser included. 14 MR. SKURKA: No, the part about the lesser included. 15 MR. SKURKA: No, the part about the lesser included. 16 (Short recess.) 17 (Adjournment.) 18 (Short recess.) 17 (Adjournment.) 18 (Short recess.) 19 (Adjournment.) 19 (Adjournment.) 19 (Adjournment.) 10 (Short recess.) 10 (Adjournment.) 10 (Short recess.) 11 (Adjournment.) 11 mR. CARZA: We can look at the lesser included. 12 (Adjournment.) 13 (Adjournment.) 14 (THE COURT: If you-all can agree, it's fine by me. You're working hard. 16 (Short recess.) 17 (Adjournment.) 18 (Adjournment.) 18 Jesser included. 19 (Adjournment.) 19 Jesser included. 10 (Short recess.) 10 (Adjournment.) 10 (Adjournment.) 11 mR. CARZA: We and agree to the lesser included. 11 THE COURT: If you-all can agree, it's fine by me. You're working hard. 16 (Short recess.) 17 (Adjournment.) 18 Jesser included. 18 Jesser included. 19 (Adjournment.) 19 Jesser included. 10 (Short recess.) 10 (Adjournment.) 11 agreement. 11 march court. 1	9	he's guilty of is is a homicide.	9	MR. SKURKA: You need to come back here
the motive, and they don't have to prove the motive.  MR. SKURKA: I'll make it easy for the  Court, I'll take away the appellate issue and agree to a lesser included  THE COURT: Okay.  MR. SKURKA: Tof murder.  MR. SKURKA: Tof murder.  MR. SKURKA: Tof murder.  THE COURT: Okay.  MR. SKURKA: I don't want this coming back because of the lesser included.  THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, done.  THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, done.  Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to  put the accomplice witness  MR. SKURKA: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: We've got pretty much an  198  agreement on the Charge. Why don't you be here a  little early so  MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if  Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree  THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait.  MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my secretary to go work on it right now and then before they leave today we can hammer it out.  MR. GARZA: We can hang around. THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	10	MR. SKURKA: Judge	10	and look at this other part.
13 MR. SKURKA: I'll make it easy for the 14 Court, I'll take away the appellate issue and agree to 15 a lesser included 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. SKURKA: of murder. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. SKURKA: I don't want this coming 20 back because of the lesser included. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, done. 22 Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to 23 put the accomplice witness 24 MR. SKURKA: Yes, sir. 25 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an  198 1 agreement on the Charge. Why don't you be here a 2 little early so 3 MR. JONES: Yes. 4 THE COURT: so we can look at the 15 language. 6 MR. SKURKA: I fact, Judge, if if 7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree 8 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait. 9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my 10 secretary to go work on it right now and then before 11 they leave today we can hammer it out. 12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	11	MR. JONES: And we don't we don't know	11	MR. GARZA: On the lesser included.
14 Court, I'll take away the appellate issue and agree to 15 a lesser included 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. SKURKA: of murder. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. SKURKA: I don't want this coming 19 back because of the lesser included. 20 back because of the lesser included. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, done. 22 Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to 23 put the accomplice witness 24 MR. SKURKA: Yes, sir. 25 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an  19 agreement on the Charge. Why don't you be here a 26 little early so 3 MR. JONES: Yes. 4 THE COURT: so we can look at the 5 language. 6 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if 7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree 8 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait. 9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my 10 secretary to go work on it right now and then before 11 they leave today we can hammer it out. 12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	12	the motive, and they don't have to prove the motive.	12	MR. SKURKA: No, the part about the
15 fine by me. You're working hard. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. SKURKA: of murder. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. SKURKA: I don't want this coming 19 back because of the lesser included. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, done. 22 Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to 23 put the accomplice witness 24 MR. SKURKA: Yes, sir. 25 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an 26 little early so 3 MR. JONES: Yes. 4 THE COURT: so we can look at the 5 language. 6 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if 7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree 8 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait. 9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my 10 secretary to go work on it right now and then before 11 they leave today we can hammer it out. 12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	13	MR. SKURKA: I'll make it easy for the	13	lesser included.
16 THE COURT: Okay.  17 MR. SKURKA: of murder.  18 THE COURT: Okay.  19 MR. SKURKA: I don't want this coming  20 back because of the lesser included.  21 THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, done.  22 Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to  23 put the accomplice witness  24 MR. SKURKA: Yes, sir.  25 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an  198  1 agreement on the Charge. Why don't you be here a  2 little early so  3 MR. JONES: Yes.  4 THE COURT: so we can look at the  1 language.  6 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if  7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree  8 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait.  9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my  10 secretary to go work on it right now and then before  11 they leave today we can hammer it out.  12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around.  13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	14	Court, I'll take away the appellate issue and agree to	14	THE COURT: If you-all can agree, it's
17 MR. SKURKA: of murder. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. SKURKA: I don't want this coming 20 back because of the lesser included. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, done. 22 Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to 23 put the accomplice witness 24 MR. SKURKA: Yes, sir. 25 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an 25 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an 26 ilittle early so 3 MR. JONES: Yes. 4 THE COURT: so we can look at the 5 language. 6 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if 7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree 8 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait. 9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my 10 secretary to go work on it right now and then before 11 they leave today we can hammer it out. 12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	15	a lesser included	15	fine by me. You're working hard.
18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. SKURKA: I don't want this coming 20 back because of the lesser included. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, done. 22 Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to 23 put the accomplice witness 24 MR. SKURKA: Yes, sir. 25 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an 25  198  1 agreement on the Charge. Why don't you be here a little early so 3 MR. JONES: Yes. 4 THE COURT: so we can look at the language. 6 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if 7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree 8 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait. 9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my 10 secretary to go work on it right now and then before they leave today we can hammer it out. 12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	16	THE COURT: Okay.	16	(Short recess.)
19 MR. SKURKA: I don't want this coming 20 back because of the lesser included. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, done. 22 Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to 23 put the accomplice witness 24 MR. SKURKA: Yes, sir. 25 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an 25  198  1 agreement on the Charge. Why don't you be here a 2 little early so 3 MR. JONES: Yes. 4 THE COURT: so we can look at the 1 language. 6 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if 7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree 8 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait. 9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my 10 secretary to go work on it right now and then before 11 they leave today we can hammer it out. 12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	17	MR. SKURKA: of murder.	17	(Adjournment.)
20 back because of the lesser included. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, done. 22 Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to 23 put the accomplice witness 24 MR. SKURKA: Yes, sir. 25 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an 25  198  1 agreement on the Charge. Why don't you be here a 2 little early so 3 MR. JONES: Yes. 4 THE COURT: so we can look at the 5 language. 6 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if 7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree 8 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait. 9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my secretary to go work on it right now and then before 11 they leave today we can hammer it out. 12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	18	THE COURT: Okay.	18	
21 THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, done. 22 Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to 23 put the accomplice witness 24 MR. SKURKA: Yes, sir. 25 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an 25  198  1 agreement on the Charge. Why don't you be here a 2 little early so 3 MR. JONES: Yes. 4 THE COURT: so we can look at the 5 language. 6 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if 7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree 8 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait. 9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my 10 secretary to go work on it right now and then before 11 they leave today we can hammer it out. 12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	19	MR. SKURKA: I don't want this coming	19	
22 Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to 23 put the accomplice witness 24 MR. SKURKA: Yes, sir. 25 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an 25  198  1 agreement on the Charge. Why don't you be here a 2 little early so 3 MR. JONES: Yes. 4 THE COURT: so we can look at the 5 language. 6 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if 7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree 8 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait. 9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my 10 secretary to go work on it right now and then before 11 they leave today we can hammer it out. 12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	20	back because of the lesser included.	20	
23 put the accomplice witness 24 MR. SKURKA: Yes, sir. 25 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an 25  198  1 agreement on the Charge. Why don't you be here a 2 little early so 3 MR. JONES: Yes. 4 THE COURT: so we can look at the 5 language. 6 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if 7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree 8 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait. 9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my 10 secretary to go work on it right now and then before 11 they leave today we can hammer it out. 12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	21	THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, done.	21	
24 25 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an  198  1 agreement on the Charge. Why don't you be here a  2 little early so  3 MR. JONES: Yes.  4 THE COURT: so we can look at the  5 language.  6 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if  7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree  8 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait.  9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my  10 secretary to go work on it right now and then before  11 they leave today we can hammer it out.  12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around.  13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	22	Let's stick it in there and we'll and you going to	22	
25 THE COURT: We've got pretty much an 198  1 agreement on the Charge. Why don't you be here a 2 little early so 3 MR. JONES: Yes. 4 THE COURT: so we can look at the 5 language. 6 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if 7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree 8 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait. 9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my 10 secretary to go work on it right now and then before 11 they leave today we can hammer it out. 12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	23	put the accomplice witness	23	
198  1 agreement on the Charge. Why don't you be here a  2 little early so  3 MR. JONES: Yes.  4 THE COURT: so we can look at the  5 language.  6 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if  7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree  8 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait.  9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my  10 secretary to go work on it right now and then before  11 they leave today we can hammer it out.  12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around.  13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	24	MR. SKURKA: Yes, sir.	24	
1 agreement on the Charge. Why don't you be here a 2 little early so 3 MR. JONES: Yes. 4 THE COURT: so we can look at the 5 language. 6 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if 7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree 8 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait. 9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my 10 secretary to go work on it right now and then before 11 they leave today we can hammer it out. 12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	25	THE COURT: We've got pretty much an	25	
2 little early so 3		198		-
MR. JONES: Yes.  HE COURT: so we can look at the  MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if  Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree  HE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait.  MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my  secretary to go work on it right now and then before  they leave today we can hammer it out.  MR. GARZA: We can hang around.  THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	1	agreement on the Charge. Why don't you be here a		
THE COURT: so we can look at the  language.  MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if  Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree  THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait.  MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my  secretary to go work on it right now and then before  they leave today we can hammer it out.  MR. GARZA: We can hang around.  THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	2	little early so		
5 language. 6 MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if 7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree 8 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait. 9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my 10 secretary to go work on it right now and then before 11 they leave today we can hammer it out. 12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	3	MR. JONES: Yes.		
MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree  THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait.  MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my secretary to go work on it right now and then before they leave today we can hammer it out.  MR. GARZA: We can hang around.  THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	4	THE COURT: so we can look at the		
7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree 8 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait. 9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my 10 secretary to go work on it right now and then before 11 they leave today we can hammer it out. 12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	5	language.		
7 Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree 8 THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait. 9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my 10 secretary to go work on it right now and then before 11 they leave today we can hammer it out. 12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	6	MR. SKURKA: In fact, Judge, if if		<b>3</b> -
9 MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my 10 secretary to go work on it right now and then before 11 they leave today we can hammer it out. 12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	7	Co-Counsel and Counsel will agree		
secretary to go work on it right now and then before they leave today we can hammer it out.  MR. GARZA: We can hang around.  THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	8	THE COURT: Yeah, let's just wait.		
they leave today we can hammer it out.  MR. GARZA: We can hang around.  THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	9	MR. SKURKA: I'll just get my		
12 MR. GARZA: We can hang around. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	10	secretary to go work on it right now and then before		
13 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've	11	they leave today we can hammer it out.		
	12	MR. GARZA: We can hang around.		
14 got	13	THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. We've		
· ·	14	got		
MR. GARZA: We'll stick around. We don't	15	MR. GARZA: We'll stick around. We don't		

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

do it.

have to leave.

another version brought up.

THE COURT: We've got plenty of time. MR. SKURKA: Actually, if you'll wait

If you don't mind, we can work in here --

THE COURT: Yeah, let's work it. Let's

THE COURT: You-all working on some

here a minute, I'll just call down to Loretta and have

(Short recess.)

1 THE STATE OF TEXAS ) COUNTY OF NUECES 2 I, Mary Lopez Buitron, Official Court Reporter 3 in and for the 94th Judicial District Court of Nueces County, 4 5 State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of portions of 6 evidence and other proceedings requested in writing by counsel 7 for the parties to be included in this volume of the Reporter's 8 9 Record, in the above-styled and numbered cause, all of which occurred in open court or in chambers and were reported by me. 10 11 I further certify that this Reporter's Record of the proceedings truly and correctly reflects the exhibits, if 12 13 any, admitted by the respective parties. I further certify that the total cost for the 14 preparation of this Reporter's Record is \$ and was 15 16 paid/will be paid by WITNESS MY OFFICIAL HAND this the the day of 17 18 , A.D., 2009. 19 20 MARY LOPEZ BUITRON, CSR, RPR, Texas CSR #2731 21 Expiration Date: 12/31/2009 22 Official Court Reporter 94th District Court 23 Nueces County, Texas 901 Leopard, Room 901 Corpus Christi, Texas 78401 24 (361)888-0658 25